

## **INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

### **Commission Procedure D-13**

#### **Field Training Officer Course**

---

As part of the Situation-Appropriate, Focused, Educated (SAFE) driving campaign, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) at its October 2008 meeting approved implementation of the recommendations in Volume I of the Driver Training Study. The reason for this study is that many peace officers are seriously injured or killed in traffic collisions. One of the recommendations was for POST staff to “enhance Field Training to include a driver training component”. It is essential to add this component to the Field Training Officer Course in order to reduce injuries and save lives.

As a result of the inclusion, the topical area of “Driver Safety” was added to POST Procedure D-13-4, which lists the minimum curriculum to be covered in the FTO course.

---

#### **Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting California Businesses, Including Small Businesses**

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has made an initial determination that the amended regulations will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting California businesses, including the ability to compete with businesses in other states. The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training has found that the proposed amendments will have no effect on California businesses, including small businesses, because the Commission sets selection and training standards for law enforcement and does not impact California businesses, including small businesses.

---

#### **Cost Impacts on Representative Private Persons or Businesses**

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with this proposed action.

---

#### **Assessment**

The adoption of the proposed regulation amendments will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the state of California and will not result in the elimination of existing businesses or create or expand businesses in the state of California.

---

#### **Considerations of Alternatives**

The Commission determined that there were no reasonable alternatives to the proposed regulation.

---

#### **Benefits Anticipated**

The specific benefits anticipated by the proposed amendments to the regulations will be to better prepare and protect officers and improve public safety. There would be no affect to benefits in regards to the environment, the prevention of discrimination, and the increase in openness and transparency in business and government.

---

#### **Economic Impact Analysis**

Because the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training sets selection and training standards for law enforcement, adoption of the proposed amendments of regulations will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the state of California nor result in the elimination of existing businesses or create or expand businesses in the state of California. There would be no benefits of the proposed amendments of regulations to the health and welfare of California residents or any impact which would affect worker safety or the states’ environment.

**INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

**Commission Procedure D-13**

**Field Training Officer Course**

---