Basic Course Workbook Series Student Materials

PC 832 Student Workbook Volume Two: Law and Evidence

Version 3.10

Basic Course Workbook Series Student Materials PC 832 Student Workbook Volume Two: Law and Evidence Version 3.10

© Copyright 2008 California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) All rights reserved.

> Published May 2007 Revised July 2008 Workbook Update May 2010 Correction June 2017 Correction January 2018 Correction April 2019 Revised September 2019 Revised December 2021 Revised April 2022

This publication may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form or by any means electronic or mechanical or by any information storage and retrieval system now known or hereafter invented, without prior written permission of the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, with the following exception:

California law enforcement or dispatch agencies in the POST program, POST-certified training presenters, and presenters and students of the California basic course instructional system are allowed to copy this publication for non-commercial use.

All other individuals, private businesses and corporations, public and private agencies and colleges, professional associations, and non-POST law enforcement agencies in-state or out-of-state may purchase copies of this publication, at cost, from POST as listed below:

From POST's Web Site: <u>www.post.ca.gov</u> Go to <u>Ordering Student Workbooks</u>

POST COMMISSIONERS

Joyce Dudley – Chair	District Attorney Santa Barbara County
Rick Braziel – Vice Chair	Educator Humboldt State University
Alan Barcelona	Special Agent California Department of Justice
Ingrid Braun	Sheriff-Coroner Mono County Sheriff's Department
Barry Donelan	Sergeant Oakland Police Department
Robert T. Doyle	Sheriff Marin County
Kelly Gordon	Chief Monterey Park Police Department
P. Lamont Ewell	Public Member
Geoff Long	Public Member
Tina Nieto	Chief Marina Police Department
James O'Rourke	Sergeant California Highway Patrol
Batine Ramirez	Sergeant Placer County Sheriff's Department
John Marsh Representing Rob Bonta Attorney General Ex-Officio Member	Chief Director of Division of Law Enforcement

THE ACADEMY TRAINING MISSION

The primary mission of basic training is to prepare students mentally, morally, and physically to advance into a field training program, assume the responsibilities, and execute the duties of a peace officer in society.

FOREWORD

The California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training sincerely appreciates the efforts of the many curriculum consultants, academy instructors, directors and coordinators who contributed to the development of this workbook. We must also thank the California law enforcement agency executives who allowed their personnel to participate in the development of these training materials.

This student workbook is part of the POST Basic Course Training System. The workbook component of this system provides a self-study document for every learning domain in the Basic Course. Each workbook is intended to be a supplement to, not a substitute for, classroom instruction. The objective of the system is to improve academy student learning and information retention and ultimately contribute to you becoming a peace officer committed to safety, and to the communities you will serve.

The content of each workbook is organized into sequenced learning modules to meet requirements as prescribed both by California law and the POST Training and Testing Specifications for the Basic Course.

It is our hope that the collective wisdom and experience of all who contributed to this workbook will help you, the student, to successfully complete the Basic Course and to enjoy a safe and rewarding career as a peace officer.

MANUEL ALVAREZ, Jr. Executive Director

PC 832 Student Workbook Volume Two: Law and Evidence

Table of Contents

	Торіс	See Page
Preface		v
Introc	luction	v
How	to Use the Student Workbook	vi
Section 1:	Introduction to Criminal Law Learning Domain 5	1-1
Overview		1-1
Origi	ns of the Law	1-1
C	arrent Law	1-2
Distir	ections in the Law	1-5
Le	etter of the Law vs. Spirit of the Law	1-6
Criminal and Civil Law		1-8
Crim	inal Law	1-10
Ci	rime and Punishment	1-11
El	ements of a Crime	1-12
A	ttempt to Commit a Crime	1-16
C	napter Synopsis	1-18
W	orkbook Learning Activities	1-19
Crim	inal Prosecution	1-22
C	assification of Crimes	1-24
Pr	incipals, Accessories, and Accomplices	1-27
Pe	cople Legally Incapable of Committing a Crime	1-30
Cł	apter Synopsis	1-35
W	orkbook Learning Activities	1-39

Table of Contents, Continued

	Торіс	See Page
Section 2:	Laws of Arrest	2-1
	Learning Domain 15	
Overview		2-1
Cons	titutional Protections and the Role of a	2-1
Pea	ce Officer	
0	verview of the U.S. and California Constitutions	2-3
Fe	ourth Amendment	2-4
Fi	ifth Amendment	2-6
Si	ixth Amendment	2-8
Fe	ourteenth Amendment	2-10
С	ivil Rights Statutes	2-11
Consensual Encounters		2-15
Consensual Encounters		2-16
Elevating Consensual Encounters		2-18
Deter	ntions	2-20
D	etentions	2-22
Reasonable Suspicion for a Detention		2-25
Appropriate Actions During a Detention		2-28
Searches and Seizures During a Detention		2-32
Use of Force/Physical Restraints During a		2-35
D	etention	
Arrests		2-36
Pı	robable Cause for Arrest	2-39
E	lements of a Lawful Arrest	2-42
In	formation given to an Arrestee	2-44
Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and		2-46
Fe	elonies	
W	Varrant Arrests	2-50
E	ntry into a Dwelling to Make an Arrest	2-52

Table of Contents, Continued

Торіс	See Page
Section 2: Laws of Arrest (continued)	
Private Person Arrests	2-55
Use of Force/Physical Restraints During an Arrest	2-59
Disposition of Arrestee	2-60
Immunity and Statue of Limitations	2-64
Administration of the Miranda Warning	2-67
The Miranda Warning	2-69
When the Miranda Warning is Required	2-70
Waiving or Invoking Miranda Rights	2-74
Exception to the Rule of Miranda	2-81
Crime Scene Interviews and Investigative	2-82
Interrogations	
Crime Scene Interviews	2-83
Investigative Interrogations	2-89
Chapter Synopsis	2-94
Workbook Learning Activities	2-104
Section 3: Search and Seizure	3-1
Learning Domain 16	
Overview	3-1
Basic Principles of Search and Seizure Law	3-1
Fourth Amendment Protections	3-3
Reasonable Expectation of Privacy	3-6
Probable Cause to Search	3-12
Warrantless Searches and Seizures	3-13
Warrantless Searches in General	3-15
Cursory/Frisk/Pat Searches	3-17
Consent Searches	3-22

Table of Contents, Continued

Section 3: Search and Seizure (continued)	
Exigent Circumstance Searches	3-30
Searches Incident to Arrest	3-35
Probation/Parole Searches	3-39
Searches and Seizures Involving Motor Vehicles	3-44
Probable Cause Searches of Vehicles	3-46
Plain View Seizures from Vehicles	3-51
Protective Searches of Vehicles	3-53
Consent Searches of Vehicles	3-55
Searches of Vehicles Incident to Custodial Arrest	3-57
Searches of Vehicles as Instrumentalities	3-60
Vehicle Inventories	3-62
Searches and Seizures Involving Bodily Intrusions	3-66
Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-68
Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-72
Use of Force During Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-76
Specific Circumstances	3-79
Chapter Synopsis	3-81
Workbook Learning Activities	3-89
Glossary	G-1

Preface

Student workbooks	The student workbooks are part of the POST Basic Course Instructional System. This system is designed to provide students with a self-study document to be used in preparation for classroom training.	
Regular Basic Course training requirement	Completion of the Regular Basic Course is required, prior to exercising peace officer powers, as recognized in the California Penal Code and where the POST-required standard is the POST Regular Basic Course.	
Student workbook elements	 The following elements are included in each workbook: chapter contents, including a synopsis of key points, supplementary material a glossary of terms used in this workbook. 	

How to Use the Student Workbook

Introduction This workbook provides an introduction to the training requirements for this Learning Domain. It is intended to be used in several ways: for initial learning prior to classroom attendance, for test preparation, and for remedial training.

To use the workbook most effectively, follow the steps listed below.

format

Workbook

Step	Action
1	Read the first two sections: How to Use the Workbook and Preface which provide an overview of how the workbook fits into the POST Instructional System and how it should be used.
2	Refer to the overview section at the start of each chapter to review the learning objectives.
3	Read the text.
4	Refer to the Chapter Synopsis section at the end of each chapter to review the key points that support the chapter objectives.
5	Complete the Workbook Learning Activities at the end of each section. These activities reinforce the material taught in the section.
6	Refer to the Glossary section for a definition of important terms. The terms appear throughout the text and are bolded and underlined the first time they appear (e.g., <u>term</u>).

Chapter 1

Introduction to Criminal Law Learning Domain 5

Overview

	Origins of the Law	
Learning need	Peace officers must know the origins of current law to know the role of law enforcement today.	
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for this section.	
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	 identify the relationship among: constitutional law statutory law case law 	5.01.4
In this section	This section focuses on the origins of criminal law. Refer to th for specific topics.	e chart below
	Торіс	See Page
	Current Law	1-2
	Section Synopsis	1-35
	Workbook Learning Activities	1-39

1-1

Current Law

Introduction	The three origins of law found in today's legal system are:	
	 constitutional law statutory law case law 	
Constitutional law and the	The rules and provisions found in the federal and state constitutions form the basis of modern constitutional law .	
Bill of Rights	The federal courts, as well as all local and state courts, are bound by the U.S. Constitution, which is the supreme law of the land. States may add to, but not take away, any of the civil liberties guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.	
	Law enforcement officers are also impacted and bound by the decisions of these courts.	
	Many of the rules governing criminal procedure, such as due process, search and seizure, self-incrimination, and equal protection, are set forth in the first 10 amendments to the U.S. Constitution, known as the Bill of Rights.	
Statutory law	Statutory law consists of the written laws enacted by a legislative body.	
	All crimes are statutory in California. To be enforceable, a law must be written, a concept based on the legal principle that "there is no crime if there is no statute," and a punishment must be provided.	
	Continued on next page	

Current Law, Continued

Statutory law (continued)	•	noted in the same statute that describes ing statute. <i>Penal Code Sections 18</i> and hose offenses without a specific	
	Both the U.S. and the California State <u>laws</u> , laws written after the fact to pu place and was not illegal at the time of must have been enacted before the all	nish an action that has already taken of commission. In other words, the law	
Codes	Statutory law is recorded in the various state, county, or municipal <u>codes</u> . State codes include all current statutes enacted by the state legislature. County and municipal codes include all current <u>ordinances</u> (statutes) enacted by a county or city. Statutes are arranged systematically in the codes for easy reference. The California codes most likely to be encountered by law enforcement officers are:		
	 Penal Code Vehicle Code Welfare and Institutions Code Health and Safety Code Evidence Code Business and Professions Code 	 Education Code Government Code Fish and Game Code California Code of Regulations 	
		Continued on next page	

Current Law, Continued

Case law	<u>Case law</u> is based upon previous appellate court decisions that are binding on lower court decisions. This principle is known as <u>precedent</u> .	
	The primary purposes of case law are to:	
	interpret the Constitutionclarify statutes	
	California trial courts must follow both state and federal appellate court decisions.	
Judicial review	Both the state and federal legislative branches can declare certain behavior to be criminal and punishable by law. However, this power is limited.	
	Civil and individual rights, particularly those found in the Bill of Rights, limit legislative authority. The judicial branch, through the power of <u>judicial</u> <u>review</u> , protects the rights of the individual from unconstitutional legislation.	

Distinctions in the Law

Overview		
Learning need	Peace officers must know the nuances of the written law to cor the law.	rectly interpret
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for the	nis section.
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	• differentiate between the letter of the law and the spirit of the law	5.02.1
	• differentiate between criminal and civil law	5.02.3
In this section	This section focuses on the important distinctions in the law. Refer to the chart below for specific topics.	
	Торіс	See Page
	Letter of the law vs. spirit of the law	1-6

Criminal and civil law

1-8

Letter of the Law vs. Spirit of the Law

Introduction	California's legal system is based partly on English common law. One critical distinction is that common law was bound to the <i>letter of the law</i> . The California legal system requires consideration of the <i>spirit of the law</i> and the intent of the legislature.	
Letter of the law	Letter of the law means the law is strictly applied in accordance with the literal meaning of the statute, leaving no room for interpretation.	
Spirit of the law	<u>Spirit of the law</u> means the law is applied in accordance with the intent of the legislature, the promotion of fairness and justice, and not solely in literal compliance with the words of the statute.	
Interpretation of the law	 Although California criminal law is required to be statutory, each code provision must be interpreted with regard to: its relationship to other statutes the intent of the legislative body the meaning of the words the scope of its effect Statutes must be interpreted by the courts and peace officers according to the spirit, rather than, the letter of the law. "All statutes must be construed according to the fair import of their terms, with a view to effect its objects to promote justice." (Penal Code Section 4)	

Letter of the Law vs. Spirit of the Law, Continued

Policing in our communities	A review of the world's criminal law systems reveals a common understanding of what constitutes serious crime. These crimes include murder, manslaughter, assault, rape, burglary, theft, perjury, and threats to the government such as treason. These crimes and the fear carried by these crimes have a substantial impact on communities, and law enforcement plays a major role in reducing them. Minor crimes such as graffiti, littering, and public disorder also have a negative impact on communities and need to be addressed. Criminal law can be used by peace officers to help reduce crime and the fear of crime, and to increase the quality of life for all citizens.	
Example	The following is an example of interpreting the spirit of the law.	
	<i>Penal Code Section 647(f)</i> was enacted to protect society and the inebriate from harm and inconvenience. If the statute is interpreted according to the strict letter of the law, every person who is publicly drunk and unable to care for themselves is subject to arrest and prosecution. However, there are circumstances when society and the inebriate are better served by applying the spirit of the law, making release to a friend or family member or provision of an escort home a better choice than arrest.	

Criminal and Civil Law

Introduction	Laws are classified as either criminal or civil and share the common purpose of controlling wrongful behavior. Frequently, an act will be committed that harms both the community and an individual, resulting in both civil action and criminal prosecution.
Criminal law	<u>Criminal law</u> deals with violations of the criminal statutes. Such violations are called <u>crimes</u> and are considered public wrongs against all the people of the State of California.
	The consequence for violating criminal law is prosecution. The state prosecutes a criminal when a crime has been committed.
	One aim of criminal law is punishment, which may include death, incarceration, fines payable to the city, county, state, or nation, and removal from office or disqualification from holding office. The purposes of punishment is to deter criminal behavior and to rehabilitate criminals.
Civil law	<u>Civil law</u> , on the other hand, deals with noncriminal violations of the law or private wrongs committed by one person against another. A civil wrong is called a <u>tort</u> , or in the case of failure to comply with the terms of a contract, a <u>breach of contract</u> .
	The purpose of civil law is redress , or in other words, to right a wrong.
	Under civil law, the injured party may file a lawsuit for monetary compensation or other relief, not including incarceration. In this case, the plaintiff is the injured party, and any compensation is paid to him or her.
	Continued on next page

Criminal and Civil Law, Continued

Civil law (continued)	Law enforcement officers have a duty to investigate any potential criminal violations, even in civil matters. If the matter is only civil, peace officers lack the authority to force a resolution to the dispute. Their duty is to stand by, preserve the peace and maintain order.		
	Example:	An officer could not force a tenant to pay back rent because the payment of the rent is a civil issue. However, if the landlord were to lock the tenant out of his apartment (without the proper court order) that would be a criminal law violation which the officer could enforce.	
Tort by omission	Omission of an act or negligence may also be a tort if it violates a legal duty owed to another person.		
	Example:	A store owner failed to alert customers to a hazardous situation, such as a wet floor, resulting in an injured customer. The store owner's failure to act could lead to a civil action.	
Civil actions by crime victims	A person who has been injured as a result of a crime may also file a civil action even though the state files criminal charges. Even when based upon the same occurrence, however, the criminal and civil law suits will proceed independently of each other. There are legal processes that may hold individuals responsible several times for the same act.		

Criminal Law

Overview			
Learning need	To enforce the law, peace officers must know what constitutes a crime and the information required to identify that a crime has occurred.		
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for th	is section.	
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID	
	• recall the statutory definition of a crime	5.03.1	
	• identify the basic elements common to all crimes	5.03.5	
	• identify the basic elements required of an attempt to commit a crime	5.03.6	
	• discuss general, specific, and transferred intent crimes	5.03.8	
	• differentiate between criminal intent and criminal negligence	5.03.9	
In this section	This section focuses on criminal law. Refer to the chart below topics.	for specific	
	Торіс	See Page	
	Crime and Punishment	1-11	
	Elements of a Crime	1-12	
	Attempt to commit a Crime	1-16	

Crime and Punishment

Introduction	Crimes are considered a collective wrong against the people of the State of California. Any person accused of committing a crime may be prosecuted and, if convicted, punished as prescribed by law. Enforcing the law on behalf of the state is the responsibility of law enforcement.
Definition of a crime	A crime is a violation of a criminal statute. <i>Penal Code Section 15</i> defines a crime or public offense as "an act committed or omitted in violation of a law forbidding or commanding it," and to which is annexed, upon conviction, a penalty that provides the following punishments for the crime:
	 death imprisonment fine, removal from office disqualification to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit in the State of California
Persons liable for punishment	 Persons liable for punishment under the laws of California (<i>Penal Code Section 27</i>) include: all persons who commit, in whole or in part, any crime within California all persons who commit any offense outside California which, if committed inside California, would be larceny, carjacking, robbery, or embezzlement and bring or are found with any portion of the stolen or embezzled property in California all persons outside California who cause, aid, advise, or encourage another person to commit a crime within California, and who are afterwards found in California all persons who commit perjury outside the state to the extent identified in <i>Penal Code Section 118</i>

Elements of a Crime

Introduction	To arrest a person for committing a crime, the elements of that crime must be substantiated.
Elements of the crime	<u>Elements of the crime</u> are the basic facts that must be proven by the prosecution to sustain a conviction. If any element is missing, that particular crime is not complete.
	<i>Penal Code Section 20</i> states that "in every crime or public offense, there must exist a union, or joint operation of act and intent, or criminal negligence."
	The following table identifies the elements that are basic to every animal

The following table identifies the elements that are basic to every crime:

Element	Further Explanation
Commission of a prohibited act, or an omission of a required act	There must have been an illegal human act or omission, not an act resulting from natural phenomena (lightning, earthquake, flood).
Presence of a designated state of mind (intent)	<u>Criminal intent</u> must exist to distinguish the crime from an accident or mistake of fact.

IntentAll crimes require criminal intent which means a mental state or frame of
mind that the person knowingly did the particular criminal act (break the law).
It may be general, specific or transferred.

The intent or intention is manifested by the totality of the circumstances connected with the offense (facts of the case). (*Penal Code Section 21(a*))

Elements of a Crime, Continued

Intent (continued)	In some crimes intent is presumed and does not have to be proven. These a called general intent crimes , i.e. battery, arson, transportation of drugs or e felon in possession of a firearm. In general, the person intentionally did that which the law declared to be a crime.		
	It does not matter that the person does not was against the law. General intent presur (or her) actions or was aware of his (or her no excuse.	nes that the person was aware of his	
	In other crimes intent is an element of the offense that must be proven. These are called specific intent crimes , i.e. burglary, or kidnapping for ransom.		
	Specific intent crimes are recognized by the language of the statutes, such as: with intent to, or, for the purpose of. When the definition of a crime refers to a person's intent to do some further act or achieve some additional consequence, the crime is one of specific intent. Unless this specific intent exists, the crime has not been committed.		
Examples:	General intent	Specific intent	

General intent	Specific intent
Possession of less than an ounce of marijuana, it is not necessary to prove intent, only that the marijuana was possessed.	Possession of marijuana with intent to sell; possession must be proven as well as intent to sell.

Elements of a Crime, Continued

Transferred intent	<u>Transferred intent</u> . When an <i>unlawful act</i> affects a person other than, or in addition to, the person it was intended to affect, the intent becomes transferred intent. Criminal intent in these instances is transferred from the intended victim to the <u>actual</u> victim.
	Intent may be transferred only if the act involved does not require a different state of mind or criminal intent.
	If an action is lawful there is no crime and transferred intent does not apply.
Examples	The defendant shot at an intended victim with intent to kill him, but instead hit and killed a bystander. The defendant is guilty of murder even though he did not have the specific intent to kill the bystander. The intent transfers from the intended victim to the bystander.
	During a dispute between motorists on the freeway, Smith rammed his car into Jones' car in an attempt to run Jones off the road. As a result, Jones' car struck Johnson's car, causing Johnson to lose control and hit a utility pole. Subsequently, Johnson died. Smith was charged with murder.
Criminal negligence	In certain crimes, <u>criminal negligence</u> meets the requirement of criminal intent. Negligence is the failure to exercise ordinary care. Criminal negligence is a negligent act that is aggravated or reckless and constitutes indifference to the consequences.
	Continued on next page

Elements of a Crime, Continued

Example	inside the clos expected to ex hours. The da	is sleeping 18-month-old daughter strapped in her car child seat ed car even though he knew the temperature that afternoon was ceed 90 degrees. He left the child unattended for a number of ughter died from the excessive heat buildup inside the vehicle. father had no intent of injuring his daughter, he is still criminally
Specific crime elements	establish that a For example, A	s its own set of specific elements that must be proven to a crime has been committed. <i>Penal Code Section 459</i> identifies the crime of burglary. It also crime of burglary is complete when:
		n or specified structure t to commit grand or petty theft or any felony.
	NOTE:	At a felony preliminary hearing, the district attorney, representing the state, must establish that all the essential elements of the crime are present, otherwise the defendant will not be bound over for trial.
		To be a crime there must be criminal intent or criminal negligence. Whether it is a general intent or specific intent crime is really a matter for the prosecutor.

Attempt to Commit a Crime

Introduction	Any attempt to commit a crime is a crime.
Attempt to commit	An attempt to commit a crime consists of:
a crime	intent to commit that crimea direct, but ineffectual, act done toward its commission
	Merely <i>thinking</i> about committing a crime is not sufficient to constitute an attempt. A crime is committed only when substantial effort has been exerted to carry out the criminal objective.
	A crime of attempt is possible whenever the circumstances make accomplishment of the objective apparently possible, even though in fact, it was not accomplished.
Examples	A woman told a drug dealer that she wanted to purchase heroin from him. Although she did not realize it, the dealer sold the woman talcum powder. The woman has attempted to possess a controlled substance.
	A man enters a bank and gives the teller a demand note. The teller pretends to faint and the man runs from the bank. The man has attempted to commit robbery. The crime is not complete because he did not get the money.
	Continued on next page

Attempt to Commit a Crime, Continued

Attempt crimes defined in the penal code	 The Penal Code addresses some attempted crimes individually. Attempt crimes having their own penal code section are charged and punished under that section. For example, if a person attempts to set fire to his former girlfriend's house, he is charged under <i>Penal Code Section 455</i>, attempt arson. The crime is punishable by imprisonment in the state prison. The attempt to commit certain sex crimes is charged under <i>Penal Code Section 220</i>.
	NOTE: Additional information regarding <i>Penal Code Section 220</i> is located in LD 10: <i>Sex Crime</i>
Attempt crimes not defined in the penal code	Attempt crimes not defined individually in the Penal Code are charged under the general provisions of <i>Penal Code Section 664</i> (attempts; punishment) and the penal code section for the crime attempted. For example, <i>Penal Code</i> <i>Sections 664 and 459</i> are charged for an attempt burglary.

Chapter Synopsis

Learning need	To enforce the law, peace officers must know what constitutes a crime and the information required to identify that a crime has occurred.
Crime and punishment [5.03.1]	<i>Penal Code Section 15</i> defines a crime as a violation of a criminal statute and provides the punishments for a crime.
Elements of a crime [5.03.5]	The elements of the crime are the basic facts that must be proved by the prosecution to sustain a conviction. If any of the elements is missing, the crime is incomplete.
An attempt to commit a crime [5.03.6]	 An attempt to commit a crime consists of two elements: an intent to commit that crime a direct, but ineffectual, act done toward its commission
General, specific and intent crimes [5.03.8]	All crimes require criminal intent which means a state of mind that the person knowingly did the particular criminal act (break the law). It may be general, specific, transferred.
Differences between criminal intent and criminal negligence [5.03.9]	Criminal intent must exist to distinguish the crime from an accident or mistake of fact. Criminal negligence meets the requirement of criminal intent. Negligence is the failure to exercise ordinary care.

Workbook Learning Activities

Introduction	To help you review and apply the material covered in this chapter, a selection of learning activities has been included. No answers are provided. However, by referring to the appropriate text you should be able to prepare a response.		
Activity questions	1. What is criminal negligence? How does it relate to the concept of intent?		

2. Define criminal intent. Explain the concept using the example of a suspect charged with vehicular manslaughter after hitting and killing a child playing in her front yard. Evidence shows that the suspect had been driving at least 40 mph on a winding residential street with a speed limit of 25 mph when he lost control of his car and swerved into the yard.

Workbook Learning Activities, Continued

Activity 3. List and explain in your own words the elements that are essential to every crime. (continued)

4. Three 18-year-old female gang members are angry with another female, Cheri, who has left the gang. Together the three work out a plan to surprise Cheri, confront her, and beat her with a baseball bat (assault with a deadly weapon). Because Cheri leaves town abruptly, no further action on the plan is ever taken. Is the crime of assault with a deadly weapon complete? Explain why or why not.

Workbook Learning Activities, Continued

Activity 5. Write a short example illustrating the concept of transferred intent. questions

Criminal Prosecution

Overview			
Learning need	To arrest a subject, peace officers must determine what type of crime has been committed, who was involved in the commission of the crime, and who cannot be criminally liable.		
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for th		
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID	
	 identify three classes of crimes: felony misdemeanor infraction 	5.04.1 5.04.2 5.04.3	
	 differentiate among the three parties to a crime: principals accessories accomplices 	5.04.4	
	• identify people legally incapable of committing a crime	5.04.7	

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on the prosecution of crimes. Refer to the chart below for specific topics.

Торіс	See Page
Classification of Crimes	1-24
Principals, Accessories, and Accomplices	1-27
People Legally Incapable of Committing a Crime	1-30
Chapter Synopsis	1-35
Learning Activities	1-39

Classification of Crimes

Introduction	Crimes are classified by severity of punishment into three categories.	
	FeloniesMisdemeanorsInfractions	
Felony	A <u>felony</u> is a crime, punishable by a fine and/or imprisonment in state prison, death, or removal from office. <i>(Penal Code Section 17)</i>	
Misdemeanor	A <u>misdemeanor</u> is a crime of lesser gravity than a felony. Misdemeanors are punishable by a fine and/or imprisonment in a county jail. (<i>Penal Code Section 19</i>)	
"Wobbler"	A crime that can be either a felony or a misdemeanor is commonly referred to as a wobbler , an alternative felony/misdemeanor. These crimes are punishable by imprisonment in either the state prison or the county jail and/o a fine.	
	Crimes that are considered to be wobblers are treated as felonies by law enforcement officers.	
	Example: Burglary in the second degree is a wobbler, because it allows sentencing to a county jail or state prison.	
Infraction	A public offense punishable by a fine only is an <u>infraction</u> . Normally, the offender is issued a citation in place of arrest.	
	A person charged with an infraction is not entitled to a jury trial or to representation by a public defender, except in cases involving arrest. A public defender may be appointed later if the person was refused bail or not released under his or her own recognizance.	
	However, except as otherwise provided by law, all provisions of law relating to misdemeanors (such as powers of peace officers, periods for commencing action, and burden of proof) apply to infractions. <i>(Penal Code Section 19.7)</i>	

Classification of Crimes, Continued

Postrelease Community Supervision and Mandatory Supervision	Two new classifications for out-of-custody felons subject to supervision postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and mandatory supervisionwere established in October 2011.
	Officers should establish whether an individual is on parole, probation, PRCS, or mandatory supervision pursuant to <i>Penal Code Section 1170(h)(5)</i> . Officers should determine individuals' supervision status by asking if they are on "parole, probation, or supervision", and not simply "parole or probation."
	Their status and the search terms for probationers and mandatory supervision offenders are generally available in the DOJ Supervised Release File. Information on the search terms while on probation or mandatory supervision may assist with maintaining officer safety.
Postrelease Community Supervision (PRCS)	Following the Postrelease Community Supervision Act of 2011, offenders were released from state prison to local county probation departments (see <i>Penal Code Section 3450</i>). PRCS offenders are not in custody with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) nor on probation. PRCS offenders are supervised by county probation officers. Pursuant to <i>Penal Code Section 3465</i> and similar to parole terms, PRCS offenders are subject to warrantless searches. Officers must have the reasonable belief that the individual is on PRCS at the time of contact. If an officer has probable cause to believe that a PRCS offender has violated the terms or conditions of release, the officer may arrest the PRCS offender without warrant, pursuant to <i>Penal Code Section 3455</i> .

Classification of Crimes, Continued

Penal Code Section 1170(h)(5) Custody/ Mandatory Supervision Under *Penal Code Section 1170(h)(5)*, qualifying felony offenses are served in local jails or in alternative local custody. Officers should understand that the default sentence for qualifying felony crimes is a "split term," pursuant to *Penal Code Section 1170(h)(5)(B)*. A split term sentence allows for the concluding portion to be served out of custody and on mandatory supervision by the county probation department. The court may determine that a straight term in jail may be more appropriate based on the circumstances.

Search terms for mandatory supervision are often applied during *Penal Code* Section 1170(h)(5) sentencing. If an officer determines that a person on mandatory supervision has a search condition, searches may be conducted without suspicion but not for arbitrary reasons or for the purpose of harassment. Data in the DOJ Supervised Release File may include information on the mandatory search terms, and the data is also available through the supervising county probation department.

If the officer has probable cause to believe that a mandatory supervision offender has violated the terms or conditions of supervision, the officer may arrest the offender without a warrant, pursuant to *Penal Code Section* 1203.2(a).

The following table helps to determine an individual's supervision status and scope of search that is generally permitted:

Status	Scope of Search Permitted
Parole	No 4 th Amendment protection. Always searchable.
PRCS	No 4 th Amendment protection. Always searchable.
Penal Code Section 1170 Supervision	May have search condition. If so, determine scope.
Probation	May have search condition. If so, determine scope.

Principals, Accessories, and Accomplices

 the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to comm the offense 	Introduction	All individuals who commit crimes in California (and, in certain instances, outside California) are subject to prosecution and punishment by the state. They are parties to crime as either:		
 commission of a felony or misdemeanor. All principals to a crime can be arrested and prosecuted. Persons are principal parties to a crime only if there is proof that they had the required criminal intent. Descriptions of principals A principal to a crime is anyone who: directly committed the offense aided and abetted in the commission of the offense advised and encouraged the commission of the offense counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another is the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense MOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 		 accessor 	ies	
required criminal intent. Descriptions of principals A principal to a crime is anyone who: • directly committed the offense • aided and abetted in the commission of the offense • advised and encouraged the commission of the offense • counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense • by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another is the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense • by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission	Principals	commission of a felony or misdemeanor. All principals to a crime can be		
 of principals directly committed the offense aided and abetted in the commission of the offense advised and encouraged the commission of the offense counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another is the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 				
 aided and abetted in the commission of the offense advised and encouraged the commission of the offense counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 	-	A principal	to a crime is anyone who:	
 advised and encouraged the commission of the offense counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 	• •	• directly	committed the offense	
 counseled, advised, or encouraged children under the age of 14 years, lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to comm the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 				
 lunatics, or idiots, to commit the offense by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 				
 by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another is the purpose of causing that person to commit the offense by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commission 				
the offense NOTE: A principal need not be present during the actual commissio		• by fraud, contrivance, or force, occasioned the drunkenness of another for		
		• by threats, menaces, command, or coercion compelled another to commit the offense		
		NOTE:	A principal need not be present during the actual commission of the crime.	

Principals, Accessories, and Accomplices, Continued

Aiding and abetting	A person <u>aids and abets</u> in the commission of a crime if he or she actively assists, supports, promotes, encourages, strengthens, or instigates by act or advice, the commission of the offense. The person who aids and abets, must have <i>knowledge of the unlawful purpose</i> (intent) of the actual perpetrator of the crime. Abet implies having a guilty knowledge and felonious intent. Aid is actively assisting or supporting without knowledge of guilt.
Examples	The following are examples of principals to a crime.
	At Brian's request, Julia obtained a motel room for use by Brian and an underage girl, knowing that Brian planned to have sexual intercourse with the girl. Julia took Brian and the girl to the room and returned to awaken them at a prearranged time. Both Brian and Julia have committed unlawful sexual intercourse. Even though Julia did not commit the offense herself, she aided and abetted Brian in the commission of an illegal act.
	Brian and Ken planned to rob a bank. They decided that Brian would drive the getaway car, and Ken would run into the bank and steal the money. They successfully robbed the bank and drove off with the stolen money. Both Brian and Ken are principals to the robbery, and they are equally culpable for the charge of robbery.
	A crowd of gang members attacked a member of a rival gang. The victim was knocked to the ground and kicked repeatedly in the head with heavy boots. The victim died from severe head trauma. Each of the participants in the assault is a principal to murder. It makes no difference which gang member struck the killing blow since each of them is liable for the natural and foreseeable consequences of the brutal attack.
	Continued on next page

Principals, Accessories, and Accomplices, Continued

Accessories	An <u>accessory</u> is anyone who, after a felony has been committed, meets all the following requirements. <i>(Penal Code Section 32)</i>	
		e that the principal has committed, has been charged with, nvicted of committing a felony.
	• Harbors, conc	eals, or aids a principal in the felony.
	• Has the intenti conviction, or	ion of assisting the principal to avoid or escape arrest, trial, punishment.
		ssory is punishable by imprisonment in the state prison or in or fine. <i>(Penal Code Section 33)</i>
	NOTE: Th	ere are no accessories to misdemeanor crimes.
Accomplices		ime becomes an accomplice when he or she testifies for the st another principal.
	tend to connect the corroboration is needed.	nony must be "corroborated by such other evidence as shall e defendant with the commission of the offense; and the ot sufficient if it merely shows the commission of the umstances thereof." <i>(Penal Code Section 1111)</i>
	Example:	Two men were arrested for a drive-by shooting. One volunteers to provide testimony against the shooter. His testimony was corroborated by the shooter's fingerprints found on the gun. His corroborated testimony against the shooter makes him an accomplice to the crime.

People Legally Incapable of Committing a Crime

Introduction	Certain people are presumed by the law to be legally incapable of forming the necessary intent and therefore are incapable of committing crimes.		
Incapable persons	<i>Penal Code Section 26</i> identifies those individuals who are presumed not capable of forming the designated state of mind and committing a crime. They include:		
	 children under 14 persons who are mentally in capacitated persons who committed the act or omission under ignorance or mistake of fact without being conscious of the act through misfortune or accident under threat or menace 		
Children	Children under the age of 14 are considered too young to form the necessary intent to commit a crime. An exception occurs if it can be shown that at the time of the crime, the child knew the wrongfulness of the act. Such a finding is a legal question determined by the court, not by individual officers. Officers should record any evidence that could have a bearing on this factor.		
	NOTE: In California, all children under the age of 18 are subject to the provisions of the juvenile justice system. (See LD 11: <i>Juvenile Law and Procedure</i>)		

Lack of mental capacity	Mentally incapacitated, people with very low mentality (which may include mental retardation), are presumed to lack the ability to form intent, and are therefore unable to commit a crime (<i>Penal Code Section 26</i>).
	Mental incapacitation is a condition that exists from birth. A mentally incapacitated person has an IQ between 0 and 24 on the Binet intelligence scale, in contrast to the average IQ between 90 and 100. Case law states that mental retardation is a defense if the defendant lacked substantial capacity to appreciate the criminality of his or her conduct or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of law.
Ignorance or mistake	Individuals who unlawfully act or fail to act because of ignorance or mistake are considered incapable of committing a crime.
	It must be determined by the jury that such individuals made an honest and reasonable mistake without any criminal intent or negligence.
Examples	Julia accidentally picked up someone else's coat when she quickly left a meeting. Julia is able to show that she intended to leave with her own very similar coat. Julia returned the coat. Julia has not committed a crime; she has made a mistake.
	An adult male held to answer for unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor female presented evidence that he had a good faith, reasonable belief based on the girl's appearance, actions, and representations that she was 18 years old and a voluntary participant in the act. There is no criminal intent because the defendant reasonably believed that the female had reached the age of consent.
	Continued on next page

Unconscious act	Individuals who unconsciously commit an unlawful act or omission are deemed to lack the intent necessary for the act to be considered a crime.
	To invoke this defense, the person must demonstrate that the act was involuntary and was caused by an irrational, unconscious response. For instance, the act may have been committed while that person was asleep, delirious with a high fever, suffering from medical seizure or diabetic complications, or involuntarily under the influence of alcohol or drugs.
	It is not necessary that the person be incapable of movement. This defense is different from that of insanity or mental disease. The person's actions were controlled by the subconscious rather than the conscious mind.
Misfortune or accident	Individuals who commit an unlawful act or omit an act through misfortune or by accident, with no apparent evil design, intention, or culpable negligence, are not considered responsible for committing a crime.
	This defense is most often used in cases of bodily injury or homicide, either excusable or justifiable.
	Continued on next page

Examples	A sober person driving a vehicle in good mechanical condition was traveling within the posted speed limit on a dry, clear day. Without warning, a pedestrian darted out from behind a parked car in the middle of the block and into the path of the vehicle. The car struck and injured the pedestrian. The injury was unintentional with no evil design (intent) on the part of the driver.
	A woman, defending herself against an unprovoked attack, punched her assailant in the head. The blow caused the assailant to fall onto a sharp object, resulting in the assailant's death. The woman did not have criminal intent, therefore, did not commit a crime.
Threat or menace	Individuals are not held accountable for a crime if they commit the unlawful act or omission (other than a capital crime) under threat or menace that reasonably causes them to believe their life would be endangered if they refused. Individuals who plead threat or menace must have been involved in the situation through no fault of their own.
	Continued on next page

PC 832 Student Workbook Vol. 2: Section 1 - Introduction to Criminal Law 1-33

Defense	Threat or menace may be used as a defense only in cases of self-defense.
of others	Action taken in response to threats to others' lives, while not applicable here, may come under justifiable homicide (<i>Penal Code Section 197.2</i>), statutes authorizing the defense of others (<i>Penal Code Section 692 et seq.</i>), or the defense of "necessity."
Examples	Upon approaching a convenience store late at night, Frank was stopped in the parking lot by a man with a gun. The man gave Frank an unloaded pistol and ordered him to rob the convenience store. The man tells Frank that he would be watching him through the window and would "cap him" if he didn't rob the store. Frank robbed the store and gave the gunman the proceeds. Frank has acted under threat or menace and lacks the criminal intent necessary for him to be guilty of a crime.

Section 1 Synopsis

Learning need	Peace officers must know the origins of current law to know the role of law enforcement today.
Current law [5.01.4]	The three origins for current law are Constitutional Law, Statutory Law, and Case Law.
	Continued on next page

Section 2 Synopsis, Continued

Violation

terminology

Prosecutor

Purpose

Crime

The state

Punishment

Learning need	Peace officers must know the nuances of the written law to correctly interpret the law.		
Letter of the law vs. spirit of the law [5.02.1]	Letter of the law means that the law is strictly applied in accordance with the <i>literal meaning</i> of the statute, leaving no room for interpretation. Spirit of the law means that the law is applied in accordance with the <i>intent of the legislature</i> and not in literal compliance with the words of the statute. The California Penal Code requires that laws are to be applied according to the spirit of the law, in accordance with the intent of the legislative body rather than the literal meaning of the words of the statute.		
Criminal		Criminal Law	Civil Law
and civil law [5.02.3]	Definition	Violations of a criminal statute	Noncriminal violations of the law

Continued on next page

Tort; Breach of Contract

The plaintiff

Redress

Section 3 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	To enforce the law, peace officers must know what constitutes a crime and the information required to identify that a crime has occurred.
Crime and punishment [5.03.1]	<i>Penal Code Section 15</i> defines a crime as a violation of a criminal statute and provides the punishments for a crime.
Elements of a crime [5.03.5]	The elements of the crime are the basic facts that must be proved by the prosecution to sustain a conviction. If any of the elements is missing, the crime is incomplete.
Attempt to commit a crime [5.03.6]	An attempt to commit a crime consists of: intent to commit that crime, and a direct, but ineffectual, act done toward its commission.
General, specific and intent crimes [5.03.8]	All crimes require criminal intent which means a state of mind that the person knowingly did the particular criminal act (break the law). It may be general, specific, transferred.
Differences between criminal intent and criminal negligence [5.03.9]	Criminal intent must exist to distinguish the crime from an accident or mistake of fact. Criminal negligence meets the requirement of criminal intent. Negligence is the failure to exercise ordinary care.

Section 4 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	To arrest a subject, peace officers must determine what type of crime has been committed, who was involved in the commission of the crime, and who cannot be criminally liable.
Crime classifications [5.04.1, 5.04.2, 5.04.3]	 Crimes are classified by severity of punishment such as, Felony: A fine, imprisonment in a state prison or death. Misdemeanor: A fine, imprisonment in a county jail. Infraction: A fine.
Parties to a crime [5.04.4]	 Principal: directly commits a crime, or aids, abets, advises, or encourages another person in the commission of any crime. Accessory: with knowledge that a felony was committed, harbors, aids, or conceals a principal, with the intent, or to avoid arrest or prosecution. Accomplice: is a principal to the prime and testifies for the preseqution.
People legally incapable of committing a crime [5.04.7]	 is a principal to the crime, and testifies for the prosecution. All persons are presumed capable of forming the designated state of mind and of committing a crime except those identified in <i>Penal Code Section 26</i> as persons incapable of committing a crime.

Workbook Learning Activities

Introduction	To help you review and apply the material covered in this section, a selection of learning activities has been included. No answers are provided. However, by referring to the appropriate text you should be able to prepare a response.	
Activity questions	1. How does case law differ from statutory law?	

2. Discuss the process that an officer would use to locate the correct enforcement section in a California Code (Penal Code, Business & Professions Code, etc.)

Activity3. How do the aims of criminal law differ from those of civil law? Write an
example for each type of violation showing the fulfillment of these aims.

4. A man grabbed a woman against her will, when she screamed, store security stopped and held the man. Peace officers were called at the woman's insistence. Do you think officers should treat this offense as a criminal and/or a civil matter? Explain your answer. Would this act be considered to be a public wrong or a private wrong?

Activity 5	5. What is meant by the term "spirit of the law?" What is the position of the
questions	California Penal Code regarding interpreting statutes by the spirit or the
(continued)	letter of the law? How might this required interpretation benefit citizens?

6. An officer saw two young boys riding their bicycles without a helmet on a public street. If the officer takes the boys home to talk to the parents, rather than cite them, is the officer responding to the spirit or letter of the law? Explain.

Activity 7. What is criminal negligence? How does it relate to the concept of intent? questions

8. Define criminal intent. Explain the concept using the example of a suspect charged with vehicular manslaughter after hitting and killing a child playing in her front yard. Evidence shows that the suspect had been driving at least 40 mph on a winding residential street with a speed limit of 25 mph when he lost control of his car and swerved into the yard.

Activity9. List and explain in your own words the elements that are essential to every
crime.(continued)9. List and explain in your own words the elements that are essential to every
crime.

10. Three 18-year-old female gang members are angry with another female, Cheri, who has left the gang. Together the three work out a plan to surprise Cheri, confront her, and beat her with a baseball bat (assault with a deadly weapon). Because Cheri leaves town abruptly, no further action on the plan is ever taken. Is the crime of assault with a deadly weapon complete? Explain why or why not.

Activity 11. Write a short example illustrating the concept of transferred intent. questions

12. What are the three major classifications of crimes? Provide a brief definition of each, including the characteristics that distinguish each from the others. Finally, define a "wobbler" and explain how such crimes should be treated by peace officers.

Activity 13. What is the difference between an accessory to a crime and an accomplice to a crime?

Activity	14. What are the six categories of persons that Penal Code Section 26	
questions	identifies as incapable of committing a crime? Why are all individuals in	
(continued)	these categories considered incapable of this?	

Student notes

This page intentionally left blank.

Chapter 2

Laws of Arrest Learning Domain 15

Overview

Constitutional Protections and the Role of a Peace Officer

Learning need Peace officers must have an understanding of the amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and similar sections of the California Constitution that are related to the authority, liability, and responsibility they have in making arrests.

 Learning objectives
 The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for this section.

 After completing study of this section, the student will be able to...
 Objective ID

 • recognize a peace officer's responsibility in relation to
 Image: Completion of the student will be able to able

• recognize a peace officer's responsibility in relation to	
the protections and rights included in the following	
amendments to the U.S. Constitution and related	
California Constitution sections:	
- Fourth Amendment	15.01.2
- Fifth Amendment	15.01.3
- Sixth Amendment	15.01.4
- Fourteenth Amendment	15.01.5
• recognize a peace officer's responsibility in relation to the protections included under federal civil rights statutes	15.01.6

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on the U.S. and California constitutional provisions that directly impact peace officers. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Overview of the U.S. and California Constitutions	2-3
Fourth Amendment	2-4
Fifth Amendment	2-6
Sixth Amendment	2-8
Fourteenth Amendment	2-10
Civil Rights Statutes	2-11

Overview of the U.S. and California Constitutions

Introduction	A Constitution is a written document which embodies the basic laws of a nation or state, defines the powers and duties of the government, and guarantees certain rights to the public.
Ethics	The authority to arrest and to deprive a person of freedom is one of the most serious and sensitive duties of a peace officer. Americans place high value on their personal freedom; they give the power of arrest to peace officers in full faith and consent with the understanding that it is to be used judiciously and under the strictest of limitations. The misuse of this authority undermines the relationship between peace officers and the community members they serve.
History of the Federal Constitution	The U.S. Constitution became effective in March 1789. The authors established this document to "form a more perfect Union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty."
Amendments to the Constitution	The first session of Congress proposed 10 amendments to the Constitution. These amendments are called the "Bill of Rights." Since 1791, 17 additional amendments have been proposed by Congress and ratified by the voters.
California Constitution	In 1850, California became the 31st state to enter the Union, adopting its own Constitution in 1879.All the laws passed by the voters in the state must comply with the California Constitution, which is organized into articles that represent different subject matter areas.
Officer responsibility	During the performance of their duties peace officers have a legal, moral and ethical responsibility to protect all of the rights afforded to a citizen by the United States and California Constitutions.

Fourth Amendment

Text	The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.
Guaranteed rights	The Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that people, houses, and effects (belongings) shall be secure from unreasonable searches and seizures, and requires probable cause for the issuance of warrants.
Meaning for peace officers	The first part of the Fourth Amendment deals with the right of people to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures. The second part defines procedures officers must follow when obtaining a warrant.
Related terms	Reasonable suspicion is the standard used to justify a detention. It exists when an officer has sufficient facts and information to make it reasonable to suspect that criminal activity may be occurring, and the person to be detained is connected to that activity.
	Probable cause to arrest exists when the totality of the circumstances or "total atmosphere" of the case would cause a person of ordinary care and prudence to entertain an honest and strong suspicion that the person to be arrested is guilty of a crime.
	A <u>search</u> occurs when an expectation of privacy that society is prepared to consider reasonable is infringed upon by the government.
	A <u>seizure of a person</u> occurs when a peace officer physically applies force to a person or when a person voluntarily submits to the officer's authority.
	A <u>seizure of property</u> occurs when there is some meaningful interference with an individual's possessory interest in that property by the government.

Fourth Amendment, Continued

OfficerPeace officers have a responsibility to protect the Fourth Amendment rights of
all citizens as it applies to unreasonable searchers and seizures.

Fifth Amendment

Text	No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.	
Guaranteed rights	The Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution provides that individuals cannot be compelled to be a witness against themselves in a criminal case, may not be tried for the same offense twice, or be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.	
Meaning for peace officers	 Peace officers need to understand the relationship between a person's right against self-incrimination and the Miranda decision. NOTE: The Miranda right to counsel was established by the United States Supreme Court in 1966 protecting a subject's Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination. 	
Officer responsibility	Peace officers have a responsibility to protect the Fifth Amendment rights of citizens as they pertain to double jeopardy, being a witness against ones self, or depriving a person of life, liberty of property without due process.	

Fifth Amendment, Continued

Examples An officer arrests a suspect for burglary. After the officer read the arrestee his Miranda rights, the arrestee waives his rights and agrees to answer questions. During questioning the arrestee confesses to the crime. If challenged in court the confession will not be suppressed because the officer obtained a knowing, voluntary waiver of the arrestee's 5th Amendment Right against self incrimination before obtaining the confession.

An officer arrests a subject for burglary. The officer reads the arrestee his Miranda Rights before questioning. After hearing his rights the arrestee invokes his 5th Amendment Right against self incrimination by stating that he wants his attorney present before answering any questions. The officer continues to question the arrestee and eventually obtains a confession. The confession will be suppressed in court as a violation of the arrestees 5th Amendment Rights.

An officer arrests a subject for burglary. Before reading the arrestee his Miranda Rights, the officer questions him about the crime and the arrestee confesses. The confession will be suppressed in court because the officer cannot show that the arrestee knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently waived his 5th Amendment Right against self incrimination.

Sixth Amendment

Text	In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.
Guaranteed rights	The Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution guarantees people accused of a crime the right to:
	 a speedy trial confront witnesses against them and obtain witnesses in their favor the assistance of counsel, during court proceedings
Meaning for peace officers	The Sixth Amendment entitles a person to counsel (i.e., an attorney) once adversary judicial proceedings have commenced. Adversary judicial proceedings are considered to have commenced when the person is either indicted by a grand jury, or makes his or her first court appearance. Once the Sixth Amendment right to counsel has attached and the person is represented by counsel, police are prohibited from initiating interrogation of the person about the charged crime, except in the presence of counsel.
	NOTE: This Sixth Amendment right to counsel is constitutional and was designed to help formally charged defendants defend themselves in court.

Sixth Amendment, Continued

Officer responsibility	Peace officers have a responsibility to protect the Sixth Amendment rights of people. The Sixth Amendment gives the right to a speedy trial, an opportunity to confront witnesses and the right to counsel during court proceedings.
Example	Following a grand jury indictment, officers went to Smith's house to serve an arrest warrant and "discuss his involvement in methamphetamine distribution." Smith made a series of incriminating statements before and after he was arrested. The officers violated Smith's 6 th Amendment Rights by deliberately eliciting statements without the presence of Smith's attorney after Smith had been indicted.

Fourteenth Amendment

Text	All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.
Guaranteed rights	Through its "due process and equal protection" clauses, the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution applies portions of the Bill of Rights to all states.
Meaning to peace officers	The Fourteenth Amendment requires peace officers to apply the law equally to all people regardless of race, creed, nationality, religious preference, or national origin.
	NOTE: An undocumented person is entitled to equal protection under the 14 th Amendment.
Officer responsibility	Peace officers have a responsibility to protect the Fourteenth Amendment rights of all people in the United States. The Fourteenth Amendment requires peace officers to apply the law equally to all people.

Civil Rights Statutes

Introduction	There are several Federal statutes protecting people's constitutional rights from conspiracies and from abuse by law enforcement. Violation of these statutes is a crime.
Text of <i>Section 1983</i>	U.S. Code, Title 42, Section 1983: Civil action for deprivation of rights. Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation
	of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.
Summary of <i>Section 1983</i>	This statute permits a civil rights suit seeking monetary damages to be awarded to anyone who proves, in a court of law, that they were deprived of some legal right through governmental action, that is, by a person acting under color of law.
	<u>Under color of law</u> means an action carried out as if under the authority of law, but is actually done in violation of the law (e.g., peace officers or magistrates using their positions to act in an unlawful manner).
	NOTE: Peace Officers could suffer monetary sanctions.
Meaning for peace officers	Peace officers are subject to this statute and can be held <i>personally liable</i> if, while acting under the color of law, they deprive or deny someone a legal right to which the person was entitled.
	Continued on next page

Civil Rights Statutes, Continued

Text of <i>Section 241</i>	U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 241: Conspiracy against rights of citizens. If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both;	
Summary of <i>Section 241</i>	 This law makes it a federal crime, punishable by a fine or imprisonment up to 10 years, or both: if two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person for doing anything that the person had a legal right to be doing or because the person previously exercised any such legal right NOTE: Conspiracy has different definitions under federal and state law. 	
Meaning for peace officers	Peace officers can be prosecuted <i>criminally</i> and be incarcerated if they conspire with other persons to deprive individuals of their legal rights.	
Officer responsibility	Peace officers have a responsibility and legal obligation to protect the Civil Rights of all people as those rights pertain to the Federal Civil Rights Statutes.	

Civil Rights Statutes, Continued

Text of <i>Section 242</i>	U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 242: Deprivation of rights under color of law. Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any inhabitant of any State, Territory, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such inhabitant being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both;	
Summary of <i>Section 242</i>	This law makes it a federal crime, punishable by a fine or imprisonment up to one year, or both:for any person, acting under color of any law	
	 to willfully deprive any person of any legal right 	
	 to will use any person of any legal right or to subject any person to a different punishment or penalty 	
	 based on that person's color, race, or citizenship status 	
Meaning to peace officers	Peace officers can be prosecuted <i>criminally</i> if they apply a law unevenly because of a person's color, race, or the fact that the person is an undocumented person.	
	Continued on next page	

Civil Rights Statutes, Continued

CaliforniaPenal Code Section 422.6(a) is broader than U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 242.equivalentIt does not limit the governmental agent to acting only under "color of law." It
includes additional categories of religion, ancestry, national origin, disability,
gender, and sexual orientation that are protected from discrimination.No person, whether or not acting under color of law, shall by force or threat of
force, willfully injure, intimidate, interfere with, oppress, or threaten any other
person in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him
or her by the Constitution or laws of this state or by the Constitution or laws of
the United States because of the other person's race, color, religion, ancestry,
national origin, disability, gender, or sexual orientation, or because he or she
perceives that the other person has one or more of those characteristics.

Consensual Encounters

Overview		
Learning need	Peace officers must recognize that a consensual encounter is a face-to-face contact with a person under circumstances which would cause a reasonable person to believe they are free to leave or otherwise not cooperate.	
Learning objectives	••••	
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	• recognize appropriate conduct during a consensual encounter	15.02.2
	• recognize conduct that may elevate a consensual encounter	15.02.3
	• recognize the consequences of elevating a consensual encounter	15.02.4
In this section	his section This section focuses on the characteristics of a consensual encounter.	
	Торіс	See Page
	Consensual Encounters	2-16

Elevating Consensual Encounters

2-18

Consensual Encounters

Introduction	Peace officers come into daily contact with individuals for a variety of reasons. The peace officer must be able to communicate with those individuals to aid and collect information without violating the Fourth Amendment.
Policing in our communities	With the advent of community policing, traditional measures of success such as the number of arrests are combined with new measures defined in terms of quality of life. These measures include reduction in alcohol-related traffic collisions, domestic abuse, homicide incidents, and drug-related recidivism. Arrests are not an end product in law enforcement work. They are useful tools in helping to achieve quality of life goals.
Definition	A <u>consensual encounter</u> is a face-to-face contact with a person under circumstances which would cause a reasonable person to believe they are free to leave or otherwise not cooperate. No legal justification is needed as long as officers are in a place they have a right to be.
Appropriate applications	 Peace officers must be vigilant when contacting the public to ensure their actions do not elevate a consensual encounter into a detention. Appropriate actions peace officers can take during a consensual encounter are: requesting information (including identification and personal information) interviewing witnesses at the scene of a crime or accident conversing casually disseminating information
	Continued on next page

Consensual Encounters, Continued

Examples	A highway patrol officer arrived at the scene of an accident to assist another officer. The second officer interviewed the witnesses to the accident and took their statements.
	Two peace officers were leaving a restaurant when an elderly couple approached them and asked for directions to the zoo. The officers gave them directions and then asked general questions about their visit to town.
	A peace officer suspects an individual of possessing a controlled substance but does not have enough information to legally detain him. The officer approaches the person and asks if he would be willing to answer a few questions.
Non- cooperation	If people refuse to cooperate during a consensual encounter, the peace officer may not require them to do so. People must be allowed to leave unless the officer has obtained or developed sufficient additional information which would justify detaining or arresting them.

Elevating Consensual Encounters

Introduction	Peace officers will ensure they do not violate a person's Fourth Amendment rights during a consensual encounter by elevating it into a detention or arrest without legal justification. Certain actions may elevate a consensual encounter into a detention. Usually, peace officers can take alternate actions to avoid elevation.		
Elevating actions			
	Possible Elevating Actions	Alternate Actions	
	Using emergency lights	Using a spotlight rather than emergency lights	
	Selecting a position or placing the patrol vehicle so as to prevent the person or car from leaving	Selecting a position or location that does not obstruct the person or vehicle	
	Issuing orders or commands	Requesting consent; seeking voluntary cooperation	
	Using accusatory questioning or tone of voice	Using non-accusing, helpful, inquisitive tone of voice; requesting compliance rather than ordering it	
	Conducting cursory/pat searches without legal justification	Asking for consent to pat search	
	Demanding and/or keeping a person's identification	Requesting identification and returning it when finished or upon request	

Elevating Consensual Encounters, Continued

Examples	Officers saw two men walk past each other in an alley in an area with a lot of drug-trafficking. They believed the men would have met each other if the officers had not been there. This "looked suspicious" to the officers, so they contacted one of the men and asked for identification. When he refused, they ordered him to stay and investigated further. Refusal to cooperate, by itself, is not reason enough to detain, so the detention was illegal. Late one evening, an officer observed someone sitting alone in a parked car in the empty parking lot of a closed business. Wishing to investigate, the officer drove up to the parked car. He turned on his emergency lights to identify himself as a peace officer. Because the officer's red light means "Stop," this was an illegal detention.
Elevation of contact	 Elevating a consensual encounter by improper behavior can have negative legal and professional repercussions. The peace officer could: violate the Fourth Amendment right against unreasonable searches and seizures, resulting in the suppression of evidence be civilly prosecuted for a violation of civil rights be criminally prosecuted for false imprisonment, or face agency disciplinary action

Detentions

Overview			
Learning need	Peace officers must recognize that a temporary detention is an assertion of authority that is less than an arrest but more substantial than a consensual encounter.		
Learning objectives The chart below identifies the student learning objectives			
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	ID	
	• differentiate between a detention and a consensual encounter	15.03.2	
	recognize reasonable suspicion	15.03.3	
	• recognize appropriate peace officer actions during a detention	15.03.4	
	• recognize the scope and conditions for warrantless searches and seizures during a detention	15.03.5	
	• recognize conditions where the use of force or physical restraint is appropriate during a detention	15.03.6	

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on detentions. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Detentions	2-22
Reasonable Suspicion for a Detention	2-25
Appropriate Actions During a Detention	2-28
Searches and Seizures During a Detention	2-32
Use of Force/Physical Restraints During a Detention	2-35

Detentions

Introduction	Peace officers may need to detain a person to investigate involvement in criminal activity. To be lawful, a detention must be based on <i>reasonable suspicion</i> that criminal activity has taken place, is taking place, or is about to take place, and that the person detained is connected to that activity.	
Definition	A lawful detention requires reasonable suspicion of criminal activity.	
	A temporary detention or stop is an assertion of authority by a peace officer that would cause a reasonable person to believe they are <i>not free to leave</i> . Such a belief may result from physical restraint, unequivocal verbal commands, or other conduct by an officer.	
	A detention of a person is limited in scope, intensity, and duration. It is less than an <i>arrest</i> and more substantial than a <i>consensual encounter</i> .	

Detentions, Continued

Consensual encounter vs. detention	Peace officers must be able to distinguish between a consensual encounter and a detention. The table below depicts some similarities and differences between a consensual encounter and a detention.		
	Consensual Encounter	Detention	
	An officer approached a man, known for selling drugs, in an alley and asked if they could talk. The officer proceeded to obtain general information from the man. The officer started filling out a field identification card and ran a records check, making small talk until the results came back.	An officer approached a man, known for selling drugs, in an alley and asked if they could talk. The officer proceeded to obtain general information from the man, and started filling out a field identification card. The man stated that he needed to leave, but the officer did not return his driver's license, continued to run a records check, and made small talk until the results came back. Because the officer kept the license, the man was not <i>free to leave</i> . The consensual encounter was elevated to a detention.	

Continued on next page

Detentions, Continued

Consensual	Consensual Encounter	Detention
encounter vs. detention (continued)	Officers observed a man walking through a shopping center at 1:20 a.m. when all the stores were closed. They shined a spotlight on him, and one of the officers asked, "Is everything okay?"	Officers observed a man walking through a shopping center at 1:20 a.m. when all the stores were closed. They shined a spotlight on him, got out of their car, and one of the officers said, "Come over here. I want to talk to you." This "command" would make the suspect believe he was not free to leave. The initial contact would now be classified as a detention.

Examples An officer made a vehicle stop for weaving within the lane. The officer determined that the driver was weaving because she spilled coffee in her lap. This was a lawful detention based on reasonable suspicion of a reckless driving violation.

While assigned to night foot patrol, a uniformed officer observed a man walking by a closed jewelry store. The man walked back and forth, looking at all corners of the window, as well as at the alarm box on the wall. The officer had been informed that there had been several "smash-and-grab" burglaries in the area. The officer approached the man and asked for identification. The man started to walk away without answering. The officer stepped in front of the man to prevent him from leaving the area. This is a legal detention.

Reasonable Suspicion for a Detention

Introduction	Unlike a consensual encounter, peace officers must have a reason or factual basis they can articulate in order to lawfully detain a person. This basis is called reasonable suspicion.		
Definition	Reasonable suspicion is when a peace officer has enough facts and circumstances present to make it reasonable to suspect that criminal activity is occurring and the person detained is connected to that activity. Reasonable suspicion of criminal activity must exist to make a detention		
	lawful.		
Basis for reasonable suspicion	Reasonable suspicion may be based on observation, personal training and experience, or information from eyewitnesses, victims, or other officers (totality of the circumstances).		
	Reasonable suspicion cannot be based on a hunch or instinct. If reasonable suspicion is not properly established in a court of law, the case against the defendant may be dismissed or any evidence seized may be excluded from trial.		
Contributing factors	Some factors that <i>contribute</i> to establishing reasonable suspicion are:		
	• appearance or condition of a person (intoxicated, resemblance to wanted person)		
	 person) actions (hiding objects, furtive movements, running from a crime scene) driving behaviors 		
	 knowledge of the person's "history" (criminal record or conduct) demeanor (non-responsive, nervous) 		

Reasonable Suspicion for a Detention, Continued

Contributing factors (continued)	locationofficer	day (unusualness) n of the stop (near crime scene, known criminal activity in area) training and experience (modus operandi, expertise in certain area narcotics or gang activity)	
	NOTE:	Flight by itself does not establish (reasonable suspicion) and can not justify a detention.	
Examples	for high dru directions. return for s detaining th An officer hit another	An officer observed a man and woman standing on a corner in an area known for high drug activity. The woman appeared nervous, slyly looking in all directions. The woman reached into her pocket and gave the man a baggie in return for something; he then walked away. The officer is justified in detaining the man and woman on reasonable suspicion of drug-dealing. An officer was driving when a car passed him. The car swerved and almost hit another car. The officer had reasonable suspicion to stop the driver for vehicle code violations.	

Reasonable Suspicion for a Detention, Continued

Role of a reliable source Peace officers can use information from others to investigate possible criminal action and detain those involved in that action. Information which triggers investigative action does not need to come from a source of proven reliability. A tip *may* support a detention if the surrounding circumstances make the information believable or if the reliable sources identity is known. A purely *anonymous* tip will normally *not* provide a sufficient basis to detain although it can be if there is sufficient collaboration or other indications of reliability.

Additionally, if the tip provides information or a person who poses a grave or more immediate risk to the public, such as driving under the influence, the detention can be upheld.

Appropriate Actions During a Detention

Introduction	Though a detention is considered to be a "seizure of the person," it does not intrude into a person's liberty as much as an arrest. To ensure that peace officers do not make illegal arrests, they are restricted in what actions they may take during detentions.
Investigative actions	Once officers have stopped or detained a person, they may take whatever investigative actions are reasonable under the circumstances to determine the person's possible participation in a crime.
Common actions	 Common investigative actions include: questioning the person about identity and conduct contacting other individuals (e.g. witnesses) to confirm explanations, verifying identification, or determining whether the person is wanted (warrant check) checking premises, examining objects, or contacting neighbors or other individuals to determine whether a crime (e.g., burglary) actually occurred bringing the victim to the suspect for identification purposes
Example	A peace officer detained a robbery suspect based on a broadcast description of the person and the area of the robbery. The officer contacted the store clerk to identify the person. The detention was legal even if the clerk says the person is not the one who robbed the store.

Appropriate Actions During a Detention, Continued

Length of detention	A detention must be temporary and last no longer than is necessary to resolve the reason for the stop. A detention legal at its beginning can become an illegal arrest if extended beyond what is reasonably necessary under the circumstances.
	Often what officers see and hear during a detention (evasiveness, nervousness, conduct, property) will increase their suspicion, justify a longer detention, lead to investigation of a different offense, or possibly even provide probable cause for arrest.
	If the person answers all questions about the suspicious circumstances satisfactorily, so that suspicion decreases or disappears, the person must be released.
Examples	A traffic stop, originally based on an excessively loud muffler, was properly prolonged to ascertain positive identification and vehicle ownership when the driver did not have a license, the car was not registered to any of the three male occupants, and the occupants gave conflicting answers to questions.
	A peace officer detained a possible murder suspect. The officer confirmed the person's identity and alibi. The officer must release the person as the initial reason for the detention had been satisfied and no other suspicious behavior was observed.

Appropriate Actions During a Detention, Continued

Transporting a person during detention The person usually will be considered *under arrest* if transported, without consent, by a peace officer to a different location. Because of this, officers must be careful before transporting a detained person. Officers should not transport a person during a detention unless:

- the detainee gives permission
- it is impractical to bring the witness/victim to the detainee's location
- the conditions of the detention are dangerous to the person
- the conditions of the detention are dangerous to the officer(s)
- independent probable cause exists to arrest the subject

Appropriate Actions During a Detention, Continued

beaten vict	detained a person as a suspect in a battery. Because the badly tim was still recovering in a nearby hospital, the officer may take the the hospital for the victim to view for identification purposes.	
known for men was a the known	Two peace officers approached a group of men acting suspiciously on a corner known for drug activity. They asked the men for identification; one of the men was a known narcotics dealer. When the officers continued to question the known dealer, the other men began to get belligerent and verbally harassed the officers. The officers were justified in moving the detainee for their own safety.	
a lawful de	is not obligated to answer any questions an officer may ask during etention. The refusal to answer questions alone does not provide ause for escalating a detention to an arrest.	
NOTE:	A person who flees from a lawful detention or intentionally gives misleading/incorrect answers may be arrested for violating <i>Penal Code Section 148</i> (resisting, delaying, or obstructing any officer), provided that the action delayed or obstructed the investigation. Not answering questions, however, is not a violation of law.	
	beaten vict detainee to Two peace known for men was a the known the officer safety. A detainee a lawful de probable c	

Searches and Seizures During a Detention

Introduction	Usually, searches are not permitted during a detention. If officers have a factual basis to suspect that the person is carrying a concealed weapon, dangerous instrument or an object that can be used as a weapon, the officers are justified in conducting a cursory/pat search to protect the officers from an assault.
Scope of a cursory/ pat search	Cursory/pat searches are allowed to protect officers from an assault, but only if there are specific facts that cause the officers to feel endangered. The scope of such a search is limited only to:
	 a frisk of the outer clothing locate possible weapons a pat search is not a search for evidence or contraband
	Once the officers realize an object is not a weapon, or an object that can be used as a weapon, the officers may not further manipulate the object; they must move on. Any additional feeling, grabbing, or manipulating of the item is outside the scope of a cursory/pat search for weapons and will be considered an illegal search.
Conditions	Peace officers must be able to articulate specific facts which caused them to reasonably believe the person might be carrying a weapon or dangerous instrument.
	The following factors may support reasonable suspicion to believe the person may be carrying a weapon or pose a danger:
	 person's clothing (e.g., a bulge in clothing, or wearing a heavy coat on a hot night) person's actions (e.g., trying to hide something or being overly nervous)
	Continued on next page

Searches and Seizures During a Detention, Continued

Conditions (continued)

• prior knowledge of person for carrying weapons or of violent behavior

- isolated location so officers are unlikely to receive immediate aid if attacked
 - time of day (e.g., a dark, moonless night may increase likelihood that the officer may be attacked)
 - reason for detention (e.g., serious, violent, or armed offense)
- a similar cursory/pat search of a detainee's companion revealed a weapon
- ratio of individuals to officers

Discovery

If officers discover an object during a cursory/frisk which officers believe is a weapon, dangerous instrument, or hard object which could contain or be used as a weapon, the officers have a right to remove it from the person.

Discovered Item	Officer Action
Legal objects that could be used as a weapon (e.g., screwdriver, pocket knife)	The officer may remove it, keep it until the detention has concluded, then return it to the subject
A container that is capable of containing a weapon or dangerous instrument	The officer may remove and open the container

NOTE: If contraband is discovered during the weapons frisk, the officers should seize it, ask appropriate questions, place the person under arrest, and conduct a full custody search.

Searches and Seizures During a Detention, Continued

Examples A peace officer observed a person walking with a screwdriver in the area of a recent auto theft; the person matched a broadcast description which had been given. The dispatcher also indicated that the person was armed with a knife. The officer made contact with the person. The officer conducted a frisk and retrieved an illegal firearm. Upon further questioning, the person was found not to be involved in the original incident but could be arrested for possession of an illegal firearm.

After seeing a bulge under an individual's shirt, the officer conducted a frisk search of the person. Unable to determine the nature of the bulge, the officer began to manipulate the bulge to determine if it was a weapon.

Use of Force/Physical Restraint During a Detention

Introduction	Sometimes officers may have to use force or physical restraints to detain a person. The reasonableness of the use of force will determine whether the detention is elevated to an arrest or remains a detention.
Use of force or physical restraints	If a person attempts to leave during a detention, officers may use <u>objectively</u> <u>reasonable force</u> and/or physical restraints to compel the person to remain. The use of force does not necessarily elevate the detention to an arrest. Uncooperative individuals may be:
	handcuffedplaced in a patrol vehicle
Examples	A lawfully detained person began to get nervous during questioning, looked around, and started to walk off; the officer ordered the person to stay. When the person continued to walk off, the officer went after him, grabbed him by the arm, escorted him to the squad car, and placed him in the back seat.
	When a peace officer started checking whether the person she had detained had an outstanding warrant, the person turned and ran. The officer chased him and grabbed him. When he continued to struggle, the officer handcuffed him, walked him back to her car, and confirmed the outstanding warrant.

Arrests

Overview		
Learning need	Peace officers must know and comply with the statutory rules of arrest in order to properly exercise their authority and responsibility while avoiding liability when making arrests.	
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for th	is section.
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	• recognize when there is probable cause to arrest	15.04.2
	• identify elements of a lawful arrest	15.04.3
	• differentiate between arrest and a detention	15.04.4
	• recognize information that must be given to an arrested person	15.04.5
	• recognize elements of a warrantless arrest for a misdemeanor	15.04.6
	• recognize elements of a warrantless arrest for a felony	15.04.7
	• recognize elements of a warrant arrest	15.04.8
	• recognize the requirements for entry into a dwelling to make an arrest	15.04.9

Overview, Continued

Learning objectives (continued)	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	• recognize the authority for a private person arrest and the peace officer's duty in response to a private person arrest	15.04.10
	• recognize conditions under which the use of force or physical restraint is appropriate during an arrest	15.04.11
	• recognize the statutory requirements for the disposition of an arrested person	15.04.12
	• recognize the exception(s) to the powers to arrest	15.04.13

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on identifying and classifying statutory law related to the authority to arrest and release individuals. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Probable Cause for Arrest	2-39
Elements of a Lawful Arrest	2-42
Information Given to an Arrestee	2-44
Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and Felonies	2-46
Warrant Arrests	2-50
Entry Into a Dwelling to Make an Arrest	2-52
Private Person Arrests	2-55
Use of Force/Physical Restraints During an Arrest	2-59
Disposition of the Arrestee	2-60
Immunity and Statute of Limitations	2-64

Probable Cause for Arrest

The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution requires probable cause to make arrests and/or conduct searches because searches or arrests conducted without probable cause infringe on a person's privacy.
Peace officers must know the law and the proper methods of enforcement. They are aware of any available options regarding the decision to arrest and how to apply them.
<u>Arrest</u> is taking a person into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law. (<i>Penal Code Section 834</i>). The arrest must be based on probable cause.
Probable cause for an arrest is a set of facts that would cause a person of ordinary care and prudence to entertain an honest and strong belief that the person to be arrested is guilty of a crime. Probable cause is required before an arrest is made and is based on the totality of the circumstances.
Facts required to establish probable cause may include, but are not limited to:
 direct investigation or reports circumstantial evidence second-hand statements from reliable sources

Probable Cause for Arrest, Continued

Reasonable suspicion vs. probable cause

Probable cause is a higher standard of suspicion than reasonable suspicion. However, factors that contribute to establishing reasonable suspicion can also be used to establish probable cause, or it can escalate into probable cause.

Factors for Reasonable Suspicion	Probable Cause to Arrest
possible influence of alcohol or drugs	illegal level of intoxication
actions/words/demeanor during detention	self-incrimination, contraband
erratic driving behaviors	DUI, contraband
Pat search for weapons	possession of illegal weapons or contraband
possible connection to burglary	discovery of stolen property

Officer training and experience

Peace officers' expertise is part of the equation for determining probable cause. For officers versed in a specific field of law enforcement, an activity which might otherwise appear innocent may provide probable cause to a trained eye.

Example An officer stopped Smith's car for a traffic violation and as he approaches the car, observes a card board box in the back seat. The box contained glass beakers and flasks with a white residue. The officer's training and experience enable him to recognize the contents of the box as items commonly used to manufacture methamphetamine and the officer makes an arrest.

Elements of a Lawful Arrest

Introduction	An arrest is considered a <i>full seizure</i> of a person under the Fou because it takes away a person's liberty. Federal and Californi establishes the authority to arrest and the information that mus a person being arrested.	ia law
Elements of a lawful arrest	The following chart lists the elements of a lawful arrest and the appropriate California Penal Code Sections.	
	Elements of a lawful arrest	Penal Code Section
	An arrest may be made by a peace officer or private person.	834
	The arrested person must be taken into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law.	834
	An arrest may be made by actual restraint of the person, or by the person's submission to the officer's authority.	835
	Objectively reasonable force may be used to make an arrest, prevent escape, or overcome resistance.	835a
Difference between an arrest and a detention	An arrest is the taking of a person into custody, in a case and in authorized by law. <i>Custody</i> is the key word; it implies the pers arrest has full control. A detention or stop is an assertion of authority that would caus person to believe they are not free to leave. A detention is lim intensity, and duration.	son making the se a reasonable

Elements of a Lawful Arrest, Continued

Peace officer authority to arrest	 <i>Penal Code Section 836</i> establishes the legal basis for an arrest by peace officers. Officers may make an arrest: pursuant to a warrant without a warrant
	 whenever they have probable cause to believe the person to be arrested has committed a public offense (felony or misdemeanor) in their presence when the person arrested has committed a felony, although not in the officer's presence whenever they have probable cause to believe the person to be arrested has committed a felony, whether or not a felony has in fact been committed
In the officer's presence	In the officer's presence is liberally construed by the courts to include what is apparent to the officer's senses, such as hearing, sight, and smell. Also, the officer can enhance his or her senses by using certain devices or objects, such as binoculars, a flashlight, a dog, a telephone, etc. However, the United States Supreme court has ruled the warrantless use of some thermal imaging devices constitutes a search.

Information Given to an Arrestee

Information required at time of arrest *California Penal Code Section 841* requires that any person making an arrest must convey certain information to the individual arrested at the time of the arrest. The three things that must be explained are:

- intent
- cause
- authority

Item	Description
Intent	The arresting person must tell the individual that he or she is being arrested
Cause	The arresting person must state the reason for the arrest (e.g., an outstanding warrant, or the name of the offense)
Authority	 A non-uniformed officer must show identification A uniformed officer and/or marked car satisfies this requirement (no ID required) A private person must state his or her authority to make the arrest

NOTE: A peace officer arresting or detaining a foreign national for more than two hours must advise the individual that they have the right to contact their consulate (except as specified); and the officer's agency must notify the consulate of the arrest or detention. (*Penal Code Section* 834(c))

Information Given to an Arrestee, Continued

Exceptions	 There are two situations when the arresting person is not required to provide the individual with the intent, cause, and authority of the arrest. These are when the individual to be arrested is: actually committing the offense attempting to escape
Examples	Upon serving an arrest warrant, the uniformed officer stated the individual was under arrest for sexual assault. The officer did not need to state his authority since he was in uniform. A store owner caught a young woman shoplifting perfume. He held her arm and stated under the authority of the California Penal Code he was making a
	private person's arrest for shoplifting.

Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and Felonies

Introduction	A warrantless arrest is a violation of the Fourth Amendment unless it is supported by probable cause. Peace officers must comply with numerous statutory requirements.
Conditions for warrantless felony arrests	Peace officers may make a warrantless <i>felony</i> arrest whenever they have probable cause to believe the person to be arrested has:
	 committed a felony in the officer's presence. (Penal Code Section 836(a)(1)), or
	 committed a felony, although not in the officer's presence. (Penal Code Section 836(a)(2)), or
	• committed a felony, regardless of whether or not the felony was, in fact, committed. (<i>Penal Code Section 836(a)(3)</i>)
Conditions for warrantless misdemeanor arrests	Peace officers may make a warrantless <i>misdemeanor</i> arrest whenever they have probable cause to believe the person to be arrested committed the misdemeanor in their presence. (<i>Penal Code Section</i> $836(a)(1)$)
	The officer may make a warrantless arrest if there is probable cause even though a misdemeanor was not committed in the officers presence in the following circumstances:
	• committed by a juvenile. (Welfare and Institutions Code Section 625), or

Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and Felonies,

Continued

Conditions for warrantless	• any of the following seven violations:
misdemeanor arrests (continued)	- driving while under the influence. (Vehicle Code Sections 40300.5 and 40600),
` ,	 carrying a loaded firearm on an individual's person or in a vehicle while in any <u>public place</u> or on any public street. (Penal Code Section 25850(a),
	 violating a domestic protective or restraining order, when the officer was responding to a call alleging the same. (<i>Penal Code Section</i> 836(c)), (Mandatory arrest)
	- committing an assault or battery on a spouse, cohabitant, or a parent of their child. <i>(Penal Code Section 836(d))</i> ,
	- committing an assault or battery on school property while school is in session. (<i>Penal Code Section 243.5</i>),
	- committing an assault or battery against a working firefighter, emergency medical technician, or mobile intensive care paramedic. (<i>Penal Code Section 836.1</i>), or
	 carrying a concealed firearm at an airport. (Penal Code Section 836(e)(1)(2))

Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and Felonies,

Continued

Examples	An officer received a radio dispatch of drug activity in front of an apartment complex. The officer arrived within two minutes and, from a nearby alley, surreptitiously watched the people in front of the complex. When a backup unit came into view, the officer observed an individual watch it approach, then walk in the opposite direction, take a baggie of white powder and three hypodermic syringes from his person and hide them in a bush. The officer had probable cause for a warrantless felony arrest.
	An officer responded to a domestic violence call and found a woman whose ex- boyfriend admitted hitting her. The woman did not want to press charges and was unwilling to make a citizen's arrest. The officer could make a valid arrest for misdemeanor battery because of probable cause, and <i>Penal Code Section</i> 836(c) provides an exception to the "in your presence" requirement.

Warrantless Arrests for Misdemeanors and Felonies,

Continued

Time of arrest	Warrantless arrests for felonies may take place at any time of day or night on any day of the week. (<i>Penal Code Section 840</i>)	
	Warrantless arrests for misdemeanors or infractions must be made between the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 p.m. (<i>Penal Code Section 836</i>), unless the person:	
	• is arrested	the crime in the officer's presence d in a public place
	• is already in custody pursuant to another lawful arrest	
	NOTE:	A public place is a location readily accessible to all those who wish to go there including law enforcement. A key consideration is whether a member of the public can access the place "without challenge." Thus, a property with a locked fence is not readily accessible. Other things, such as dogs, in yard show public is not welcome.
Exemption from prosecution		ng within agency policy and lawful scope of their authority are m prosecution for false arrest or imprisonment for both warrant ess arrests.

Warrant Arrests

Introduction	Arrest warrants are generally required to arrest an individual inside their residence. Arrest warrants permit <i>any</i> officer to make the arrest, since probable cause has already been established. However, there may be exceptions depending on whether the warrant is for a felony or a misdemeanor.
Definition	An <u>arrest warrant</u> is a written order signed by a magistrate which directs and commands a peace officer to arrest the person named in the warrant for the offense named in the warrant.
	In order to obtain an arrest warrant, the officer must establish probable cause. This is usually done through a sworn statement (affidavit) filed as part of the formal complaint process.
Arrest warrant contents	Arrest warrants are required by <i>Penal Code Section 815</i> to contain the following information:
	• name of the defendant
	• crime the defendant is suspected of committing
	• time the warrant is issued
	 city or county where the warrant is issued signature of the issuing authority with the title of office
	 signature of the issuing authority with the title of office name of the court or other issuing agency
	amount of bail
	Absent consent, exigencies, parole, or searchable probation, an arrest warrant is necessary to lawfully enter a dwelling to make an arrest.

Warrant Arrests, Continued

Pre-complaint warrants	<i>Penal Code Section</i> 817 allows a peace officer to obtain an arrest warrant <i>before</i> a criminal complaint has been filed.	
	<u>Pre-complaint warrants</u> (also known as <i>Ramey</i> warrants) are an alternative to the complaint/warrant procedure. Pre-complaint warrants contain the same information as other arrest warrants and are also issued based on sworn statements (affidavits) establishing probable cause.	
Obtaining a pre-complaint warrant	The process for obtaining a pre-complaint warrant includes the following actions:	
	• the peace officer makes a written or oral statement of probable cause (affidavit)	
	 a magistrate evaluates the information in the affidavit to assess whether probable cause for arrest exists 	
	 upon the magistrates finding of probable cause, an arrest warrant is issued the subject named in the warrant is arrested 	
	 a criminal complaint may subsequently be filed pursuant to <i>Penal Code</i> Section 849 	
Time of arrest	Warrant arrests for felonies may be made at any time of day or night on any day of the week. (<i>Penal Code Section 840</i>)	
	Warrant arrests for misdemeanors must be made between the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 p.m. unless the warrant is endorsed for nighttime service. <i>(Penal Code Section 840)</i>	

Entry into a Dwelling to Make an Arrest

general public.

"Knock Before entering a private dwelling to make an arrest, an officer needs lawful and access. notice" Simply because an officer can see an object in plain view from a lawful location does not automatically mean the officer may legally enter private property without a warrant to seize it, even if the object is obviously contraband or evidence of a crime. Lawful access to private property is most commonly obtained when the: officer's entry is based on consent • officer's entry is based on *exigent circumstances*, for example, a reasonable belief that the evidence will be destroyed if entry is delayed in order to obtain a warrant an officer has lawfully entered the area for some other purpose (e.g., to • conduct a parole or probation search, or an administrative or regulatory search, etc.) Knock and notice means that before entering a dwelling to make an arrest, with or without a warrant, officers must give notice to the person inside. (Penal Code Section 844). A private dwelling can be any place the person resides (not just houses or apartments) or areas of a business not open to the

Entry into a Dwelling to Make an Arrest, Continued

Knock and notice procedure	 Peace officers must follow the prescribed procedure for knock and notice: announce their presence identify themselves as peace officers state their purpose demand entry wait a reasonable amount of time (based on circumstances) if necessary, forcibly enter premises
Knock and notice exceptions	 The law allows peace officers to enter private property unannounced if they can demonstrate that compliance with the knock and notice requirements would be futile, or that compliance could result in: harm to the officers or other individuals (e.g., hostages) the destruction of evidence Only the officers serving the warrant can determine if the circumstances they face justify non-compliance with the knock and notice requirements of law. The issuing magistrate does not have the authority in the warrant to exempt officers from giving knock and notice and the legality of an officer's decision to omit knock and notice would likely be reviewed by a court to determine if it met a lawful exception.

Entry into a Dwelling to Make an Arrest, Continued

Examples Officers went to a motel room with a warrant to search the building for illegal drugs. After complying with initial knock and notice requirements and while waiting for a response from the occupants, officers heard muffled voices and the sound of a toilet flushing twice. Because the officers had reason to believe that suspects were attempting to destroy evidence, they could lawfully force entry.

Officers were sent to an apartment with a warrant to search for illegal weapons. The resident of the apartment had been arrested in the past by the same officers for armed robbery. The officers had specific reasons to believe the suspect was currently armed and would flee if given the opportunity. For reasons of officer safety and to prevent escape, the officers announced their presence but entered without waiting for a response.

Private Person Arrests

Introduction	A private person may arrest an individual for any public offense (felony, misdemeanor, or infraction) committed in their presence. In addition, a private person may arrest an individual if a felony actually has been committed and the arresting person has probable cause to believe the individual committed the felony.
Conditions	A private person is authorized to make both felony and misdemeanor arrests. <i>(Penal Code Sections 834 and 837).</i> The conditions under which private person arrests can be made are similar to peace officer arrests regarding the use of force and the information that must be supplied to the arrested person. <i>(Penal Code Section 841)</i>
Required actions	 According to <i>Penal Code Section 847</i>, when making a private person arrest, the person is, without unnecessary delay, required to: take the person before a magistrate
	• deliver the arrested person to a peace officer
Private searches and seizures	The Fourth Amendment protects citizens from unreasonable searches and seizures by <i>government</i> personnel or their agents. The Fourth Amendment does not apply to a <i>private person</i> . Evidence obtained as a result of searches and seizures by a private person will not normally be suppressed
	NOTE: A private person can make warrantless <i>entries</i> only for felonies.
	Continued on next page

Examples A peace officer arrived at the scene where two shopkeepers were confronting a young man whom they saw spray-painting their alley windows. The shopkeepers informed the man he was under arrest for vandalism. The peace officer then assisted in the physical apprehension of the young man and took custody of him.

A man saw a woman breaking into a car in a shopping mall parking lot. He confronted the woman and told her he wanted her to accompany him to the mall security station. The woman tried to run off; the man grabbed her, confiscated her belongings, and took her to the security office where she was handcuffed, and the police were called.

Private Person Arrests, Continued

Receiving a private	<i>Penal Code Section 142</i> states: "Any peace officer who has the authority to receive or arrest a person charged with a criminal offense and willfully refuses
person arrestee	to receive or arrest that person shall be punished by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment in the state prison, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment."

Disposition After an officer "receives" someone who has been arrested by a private person, the private person has no further say in the matter. The officer has three different ways to proceed, as indicated in the table below.

Option	Explanation	
Release	Release the person, unconditionally, if the officer is satisfied there are insufficient grounds for filing a criminal complaint (<i>Penal Code Section</i> $849(b)(1)$)	
	NOTE: A release under this section must be documented with a signed certificate indicating the arrest will be deemed to have been a detention. (Penal Code Sections $849(c)$ and 851.6)	
Issue a citation	The officer can issue a citation (signed promise to appear) if the arrest was for a misdemeanor, if the arrestee does not demand to be taken before a magistrate, and none of the statutory reasons for denying release exist (<i>Penal Code Section 853.6(i)</i>)	
	NOTE: Depending on agency policy, the private person may be required to sign as the "arresting party."	

Private Person Arrests, Continued

Disposition	Option	Explanation
(continued)	Take the arrested person to a magistrate	The officer can take the person "to the nearest or most accessible magistrate," (<i>Penal Code Section 849(a</i>)), if one is available
		NOTE: Otherwise, the officer can take the person to jail for booking and either bail or arraignment and then file a criminal complaint.
Exemption from prosecution		who "receives" an arrested person may not be held civilly liable for or imprisonment. (<i>Penal Code Section 836.5</i>)

Use of Force/Physical Restraint During an Arrest

Introduction	Individuals are not permitted to resist arrest by peace officers because they can take legal and/or civil action for a false arrest. Some individuals do resist arrest, and peace officers may have to use physical restraints.	
Penal code authority	<i>Penal Code Section 835a</i> authorizes peace officers to use force that is reasonable and necessary to make an arrest, prevent escape, or overcome resistance.	
Examples	Two officers observed a robbery suspect flee the store. The officers chased him, wrestled with him for five minutes before subduing him, handcuffed him, and walked him back to their police car. This is reasonable force. While being arrested for bank robbery, the individual tried to flee. The officer lightly touched him, causing the individual to lose his balance and fall; the individual broke his wrist during the fall. The amount of force applied by the officer was still considered within reason. It is the officer's conduct, not the injury itself, that must be considered.	

Disposition of the Arrestees

Introduction	Upon arresting a person, peace officers must follow statutory requirements to ensure the arrestee's rights are not violated. The nature of the offense and the presence or the absence of a warrant dictate how peace officers handle the arrestee.
Disposition of arrestees	Statutes provide different means of handling or "disposing" of arrestees, depending on the nature of their offenses (infraction, misdemeanor, or felony) and the manner of arrest (warrant or warrantless).
Compliance with warrant	If the arrest is made <i>pursuant to a warrant</i> (felony or misdemeanor), the arresting officer must proceed with the arrestee as commanded in the warrant. <i>(Penal Code Section 848). For misdemeanors only</i> , this may include cite and release or transport to jail. <i>(Penal Code Section 827.1)</i>
Infractions	A person arrested for an <i>infraction</i> is normally <u>cited</u> and released, although the arrestee must sign a written promise to appear. (<i>Penal Code Section</i> 853.5)
	A person arrested for an infraction may be taken into custody if he or she fails to present satisfactory identification, refuses to sign the written promise to appear, or if any of the exceptions listed in <i>Penal Code Section 853.6</i> exist.
Warrantless misdemeanor arrests and release	<i>Penal Code Section 853.6</i> requires, with some exception, that a person <i>arrested without a warrant</i> for <i>misdemeanor</i> offenses be cited and released in lieu of custody. Once arrestees sign a written promise to appear or post a bail bond, they are released.

Disposition of the Arrestees, Continued

Whenever any person is arrested by a peace officer for a misdemeanor, that **Exceptions to** misdemeanor person shall be released according to the procedures set forth by this section unless one of the following is a reason for non-release, in which case the cite and arresting officer may release the person, or the arresting officer shall indicate, release on a form to be established by his or her employing law enforcement agency, which of the following was a reason for non-release. (Penal Code Section 853.6(i)): The person arrested was so intoxicated that he or she could have been a danger to himself or herself or to others. • The person arrested required medical examination or medical care or was otherwise unable to care for his or her own safety. • The person was arrested under one or more of the circumstances listed in Sections 40302 and 40303 of the Vehicle Code. • There was one or more outstanding arrest warrants for the person. • The person could not provide satisfactory evidence of personal identification. • The prosecution of the offense or offenses for which the person was arrested, or the prosecution of any other offense or offenses, would be jeopardized by immediate release of the person arrested. There was a reasonable likelihood that the offense or offenses would continue or resume, or that the safety of persons or property would be imminently endangered by release of the person arrested. • The person arrested demanded to be taken before a magistrate or refused to sign the notice to appear. • There is reason to believe that the person would not appear at the time and place specified in the notice. The basis for this determination shall be specifically stated.

• The person was subject to Section 1270.1.

Disposition of the Arrestees, Continued

Domestic violence/ abuse exceptions	 Officers are <i>required</i> to take the person before a magistrate, rather than cite and release, if the arrest: is for a misdemeanor violation of a protective court order involving domestic violence as defined in <i>Penal Code Section 13700</i> is pursuant to agency policy for responding to domestic violence calls per <i>Penal Code Section 13701</i>
Warrantless arrest releases	When an arrest is made without a warrant by peace officers or private person, the person arrested, if not otherwise released, shall, without unnecessary delay, be taken before the nearest or most accessible magistrate in the county in which the offense is triable, and a complaint stating the charge against the arrested person shall be laid before the magistrate (<i>Penal Code Section</i> $849(a)$).
	However, Penal Code Section 849(b) lists five circumstances in which an officer may release a person who was arrested without a warrant. Those five circumstances are as follows:
	 there are insufficient grounds for criminal complaint the arrest was for intoxication only and no further proceedings are desirable
	• the arrest was for being under the influence of a controlled substance or drug and the person is taken to a hospital for treatment and no further proceedings are desirable
	• the arrest was for driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and the person is taken to a hospital for medical treatment which prohibits immediate delivery before a magistrate
	• the person arrested was taken to a medical facility for treatment and a mental health evaluation, and no further proceedings are desirable
Probable cause determination	Individuals arrested <i>without</i> a warrant must be given a <i>judicial</i> determination of probable cause within 48 hours after the arrest, including weekends and holidays.
	Continued on next page

Disposition of the Arrestees, Continued

Phone calls	After being booked, and within three hours of being arrested, an arrested adult must be allowed to make at least three completed phone calls. The calls are at no expense if completed to telephone numbers within the local calling area. Additionally, the arresting or booking officer must inquire, as soon as practicable but no later than three hours after arrest, as to whether the arrested person is a custodial parent with responsibility for a child. A custodial parent is entitled to make two additional completed phone calls for the purpose of arranging childcare. (Penal Code Section 851.5)
	Juveniles are allowed at least two phone calls immediately on being taken into custody. (Welfare and Institutions Code 627). The opportunity to use the telephone should be provided as soon as practical.
	Any officer who deprives an arrested person of the right to make telephone calls is guilty of a misdemeanor. (<i>Penal Code Section 851.5(f)</i>)
Visitation privileges	After a person has been arrested, any attorney licensed to practice law in California, upon the request of the arrested person or the arrested person's relatives may visit any time of the day or night. Any officer who willfully refuses to allow an attorney to visit can be charged with a misdemeanor and "shall forfeit" \$500 to the aggrieved party. <i>(Penal Code Section 825(b))</i>
_	A physician, surgeon, or an attorney who is employed by the arrested person in preparation for the defense is allowed to visit at any time. <i>(Penal Code</i> <i>Section 825.5)</i>

Immunity and Statute of Limitations

Introductions	There are circumstances when a person has committed a crime and cannot be arrested. These exemptions are based on case law and statutory law.
Related	Immunity is an exemption from a duty or penalty.
terms	A <u>diplomatic agent</u> is an official appointed by a government to reside in a foreign country to represent the <i>political interests</i> of citizens of the appointing country.
	A <u>consular officer</u> is an official appointed by a government to reside in a foreign country to represent the <i>commercial interests</i> of citizens of the appointing country.
	The <u>consular service staff</u> are people who work for the consular officer in a foreign country.
	NOTE: There are various levels of <u>diplomatic immunity</u> for foreign diplomats in the United States. Those with <i>full</i> diplomatic immunity may not be prosecuted for any crimes they commit. Those <i>without</i> full immunity may be arrested and prosecuted.

Immunity and Statute of Limitations, Continued

Statute of limitations

Suspects for most crimes must be arrested within a certain time limit called the **statute of limitation**, as shown in the chart below.

Crime	Statute of Limitation
most misdemeanors	one year
most felonies	three years
crimes punishable by death or life imprisonment	no limit
sex crimes, crimes against children, and others	can vary according to the crime

NOTE: Even though the statute of limitation has expired, this does not preclude the officer from conducting an investigation, notifying detectives, or seeking advice from a district attorney.

Administration of the Miranda Warning

Overview		
Learning need	When conducting a custodial interrogation, peace officers mus Miranda procedures to ensure that any answers they obtain wil in court.	
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for the	nis section.
	After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID
	• identify the purpose of the Miranda warning(s)	15.05.1
	recognize when Miranda warnings must be given	15.05.2
	• identify the proper administration of Miranda warnings	15.05.3
	 recognize the impact of invoking: the right to remain silent the right to counsel 	15.05.4
	recognize the types of Miranda waivers	15.05.5
	• recognize the exception(s) to the Miranda rule	15.05.6

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on when and how to administer Miranda warnings. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
The Miranda Warning	2-69
When the Miranda Warning is Required	2-70
Waiving or Invoking Miranda Rights	2-74
Exception to the Miranda Rule	2-81

The Miranda Warning

Purpose of Miranda	The Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states that:
warning	No person shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself.
	In <i>Miranda vs. Arizona</i> (1966), the United States Supreme Court ruled that unless certain warnings are given to the suspect first, any statement made during custodial interrogation will be viewed as "compelled" because of the inherently coercive atmosphere that exists and that statement(s) would be inadmissible in a court room proceeding.
	The Supreme Court set forth four advisements known as the <u>Miranda</u> <u>warning</u> , which a peace officer must give to a person at the start of custodial interrogation.
Miranda warning	Minimally, the following advisements must be provided to a person subjected to custodial interrogations.
	• You have the right to remain silent.
	• Anything you say may be used against you in court.
	• You have the right to an attorney before and during questioning.
	• If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed for you free of charge, before questioning, if you wish.

When the Miranda Warning is Required

Introduction	custodial i	ada warning and a valid waiver of those rights are required before any <i>interrogation</i> . Custody and interrogation must <i>both</i> exist before the varning is necessary.
Custody	determine	neans a formal arrest or its "functional equivalent." It is <i>objectively</i> d by the totality of circumstances. People are in custody for Miranda when they have been:
	• subjec	y placed under arrest ted to the kinds of restraints associated with a formal arrest (e.g., affs, guns, lockups, etc.)
	NOTE:	Because there is no "custody" (actual arrest or equivalent restraints), the Miranda warning is not required before interrogating a person who has been detained, for example, during a routine traffic stop, even though the person is not free to leave.
Interrogation	Interroga	tion occurs when a peace officer:
	• uses w	es in direct/express questioning of a person about a crime ords or conduct reasonably likely to elicit an incriminating response person
	-	estioning is "interrogation." For example, routine booking questions errogation. Neither are casual comments by custodial officials.

When the Miranda Warning is Required, Continued

Miranda not required	The Miranda warning is not required unless both <i>custody</i> and <i>interrogation</i> exist at the same time. In the absence of formal arrest or equivalent restraints, Miranda custody does not exist.
	Without <i>interrogation</i> , peace officers are not required to give a person their Miranda rights, even if there is custody. Without <i>custody</i> , the officers need not give the Miranda warning, even if they are about to interrogate the person.
	CUSTODY + INTERROGATION = NEED FOR THE MIRANDA WARNING
Examples	After announcing themselves as peace officers, two officers entered a person's room with their guns drawn. Because of the arrest-like restraints (drawn guns), the person was considered to be in Miranda custody. Before the officers may begin asking questions regarding the specific crime, they must give the person the Miranda warning. A man sold a stolen car to his friend. Later, an officer arrested the man. After
	some remarks about what the man could expect in jail, the officer said to him, "That was sure a cold thing you did to your friend, selling him that hot car." This was interrogation because the officer's remark, though not strictly a question, was likely to elicit an incriminating response.
	Continued on next page

When the Miranda Warning is Required, Continued

Volunteered statements	Statements volunteered by a person, and <i>not as a direct result of interrogation by the peace officer</i> , are not affected by Miranda. Statements may be volunteered in any setting, such as:
	 during a consensual encounter during a detention during an arrest during the heating process
	 during the booking process during forensic testing or transportation after the person has invoked the Miranda right(s)
Examples	Volunteered statements are admissible as evidence. For example:
	 after a person asked for a lawyer, he said "I just want to say, off the record, I didn't mean to kill that dude" an arrestee tells the jailer: "Off the record, I'm guilty as sin"

When the Miranda Warning is Required, Continued

Privilege against self- incrimination	The Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination applies to <i>testimonial</i> communication only. This privilege is not violated by requiring the person to provide:
	 handwriting samples voice samples for analysis body fluids or other samples for analysis
	In addition, this privilege is not violated if the person is asked to:
	 model articles of clothing participate in a lineup submit to routine fingerprinting repeat a statement for voice identification
Consequences of not administering Miranda	Peace officers need to realize that if they fail to follow the Miranda procedures, any statement they may obtain during custodial interrogation may be inadmissible against the person at the criminal trial to prove guilt.

Waiving or Invoking Miranda Rights

Introduction	Once officers read the Miranda warning and have ensured that the person understands it, the person may either <u>waive</u> (give up) or <u>invoke</u> (assert) their Miranda rights. A person has two rights he or she can waive or invoke under Miranda: the right to remain silent and the right to have counsel present during interrogation.	
Elements of Miranda	 There are three elements in the Miranda process. They are: advisement of the Miranda warning by the officer understanding of the warning by the person waiver or invocation of the Miranda rights (silence and counsel) by the person 	
The	The person must understand all four advisements of the Miranda warning. To	

The Miranda process The person must understand all four advisements of the Miranda warning. To determine this, the officer can ask the person about understanding after each advisement or ask one time after each advisement has been given. Once a person has acknowledged their understanding of the warning, they must go on to either waive (give up) their Miranda rights or invoke one or both of them.

Miranda Phase	Included in the Phase	
Warning	Includes informing a person of:	
	 the right to remain silent the fact that any statement made may be used against them in court the right to have an attorney present before and during any questioning the fact that an attorney will be provided if the person cannot afford one 	

The	Miranda Phase	Included in the Phase
Miranda process (continued)	Understanding	A person must understand the meaning of each advisement
()	Waiving or invoking rights	A person must knowingly and voluntarily either waive their rights to silence and counsel or must invoke one or both of these rights
Waivers	Only if a valid waiver is obtained will answers to questioning be admissible in court. A waiver can be either expressed or implied, but must always be <i>knowing</i> and <i>voluntary</i> .	
	For a waiver to be knowing, the person must have fully comprehended the four advisements, that is, must understand the nature of the rights he is giving up and the consequences of waiving them. ("Knowing" and "intelligent" both have this same definition and express a single concept.)	
Validity of waiver	four advisements, up and the conseq	that is, must understand the nature of the rights he is giving uences of waiving them. ("Knowing" and "intelligent" both

Types of waivers

A valid waiver of rights may be either expressed, implied, or conditional. The following chart explains the difference between these types of waivers.

Type of Waiver	Person's Action	Example
expressed	answers yes/no question about going forward with the questioning	After giving advisements and ensuring understanding, the peace officer asks, "Can we talk about what happened?"
implied	acknowledges understanding the advisements, and exhibits conduct indicating waiver of rights	The peace officer starts asking questions and the person answers
conditional	acknowledges understanding the advisements and is willing to go forward, but places a limitation/ qualification on answering questions	 The person: refuses to give a written statement, refuses to be tape recorded, answers some questions but not others, refuses to speak to one specific officer but not others, or refuses to answer questions until a specific amount of time has lapsed but will go forward if this condition is met

Examples	While talking to a murder suspect, after giving the Miranda warning, two sheriff's deputies told the suspect they wanted to help prevent him "from getting the death penalty." They also told him about a "hypothetical" case where the individual who had cooperated with the police got charged with manslaughter instead of murder. The suspect waived his rights and made a tape-recorded confession. The waiver was invalid since it resulted from improper coercion, threats, and promises of leniency.		
	A person, who was <i>very</i> drunk at the time he was informed of his rights a waived them, gave an incriminating statement to officers. The court coul determine that the person did not have "the requisite free will and rational intellect" to knowingly waive his rights.		
Invoking Miranda rights	A person may invoke the right to silence or the right to counsel only at the time of, or during, police custodial interrogation. Unless custody and interrogation both exist at the same time, there are no Miranda rights to invoke.		
	However, if these conditions both exist and the person invokes either to silence or the right to counsel, Miranda requires that <i>all interroga</i> cease.		
	Some differences between these two rights exist concerning how they are invoked and whether the peace officer may later try to reinitiate interrogation.		
	NOTE:	Miranda rights are personal to the person and may not be invoked by anyone else on his or her behalf, including an attorney or a parent.	

Right to remain silent	The right to remain silent may be invoked by <i>any words or conduct</i> which reflect an unwillingness to discuss the case.		
	Once a person invokes the right to <i>silence</i> , Miranda requires that all interrogation must cease. However, there are circumstances where reinitiation by the peace officer may produce an admissible statement. These circumstances include:		
	• a period of time has gone by		
	• the officers have some new information		
	• the officers want to ask about a different crime		
_	In any such "try again" situation, there would have to be full readvisement per Miranda and a valid waiver, with no pressure from the officer.		
Right to counsel	Unlike the right to silence, a person's invocation of the Miranda right to have an attorney present or to speak to an attorney can only be invoked by a <i>clear</i> <i>and express</i> request for an attorney.		
	Once the Miranda right to <i>counsel</i> has been asserted, all interrogation must cease. There are <i>no</i> circumstances, except for the actual presence of the attorney, where reinitiation by the officer is proper for as long as the person remains in custody. This is true even where officers from a different agency wish to interrogate the person about a different crime.		

Examples When an officer asked the person if, having the Miranda advisements in mind, he wanted to talk, the person said, "Not really." This amounted to an invocation of the person's right to *silence*.

When an officer gave a jailed person the Miranda warning, the person stated he wanted to talk to an attorney. Because the person had invoked his right to *counsel*, officers could not interrogate him to obtain an admissible statement without his lawyer being present.

When a suspect reinitiates questioning	Because Miranda rights are personal, <i>suspects</i> may change their mind. For example, suspects may reinitiate or express a desire to make a statement, even though they earlier invoked the right to silence or counsel. Under such circumstances, the officer should:	
	 re-admonish the person with Miranda warnings obtain a valid <i>express</i> waiver then interrogate further make a verbatim account of the reinitiation and any statement given 	
Documentation	Because of the different reinitiation rules, peace officers should always document whether a person has waived or invoked their right to <i>silence</i> or their right to <i>counsel</i> . This will protect other officers from inadvertently reinitiating contact with the person and possibly violating Miranda's requirements.	
Juveniles in custody	Miranda applies the same to minors as adults. The courts have found no difference in application. A juvenile does <i>not</i> have the right to have an adult present, and any request for one is not <i>automatically</i> either an invocation of the right to silence or the right to an attorney.	
	However, California has a statute <i>(Welfare and Institutions Code Section 625)</i> that requires an officer to give Miranda warnings "in any case where a juvenile is taken into temporary custody." This requirement exists even when the juvenile is not going to be interrogated. If the minor is not going to be interrogated, the statute does not require that the minor understands the warnings or any waiver of rights, but rather just the advisements.	
	A minor, 15 years of age or younger, shall consult with an attorney in person, by phone, or by video conference prior to custodial interrogation. This requirement cannot be waived <i>(Welfare and Institutions Code Section 625.6)</i> .	

Exception to the Rule of Miranda

Introduction	There is one exception to the general Rule of Miranda when a person is in custody and about to be interrogated. It is known as the <i>public safety</i> or <i>emergency rescue</i> exception, and it is based on exigent circumstances.
Public safety exception	No Miranda warning is necessary, even though a person is in custody, if the officer who is about to ask incriminating questions (interrogate) is motivated by a concern for someone's safety.
	The concern for safety can be for the victim, the defendant, some third person, the public at large, or the officer's own safety. Courts view this exception rather narrowly.
Examples	Miranda did not apply to a hostage situation where the person was holding a wounded hostage at gunpoint.
	Miranda did not apply where an armed person, who had been apprehended inside a busy supermarket and had apparently discarded his gun somewhere in the store, was asked where the gun was located.

Crime Scene Interviews and Investigative Interrogations

Overview

To develop admissible evidence while ensuring the constitutional rights of all individuals, peace officers must correctly follow standardized practices for conducting crime scene interviews and interrogations.		
The following table identifies the student learning objectives for this section.		
After completing study of this section, the student will be able to	Objective ID	
• differentiate between an interview and interrogation	15.06.1	
• differentiate between an admission and a confession	15.06.3	
	 individuals, peace officers must correctly follow standardized period conducting crime scene interviews and interrogations. The following table identifies the student learning objectives for After completing study of this section, the student will be able to differentiate between an interview and interrogation 	

In this section This section focuses on gathering evidence from individuals at a crime scene such as witnesses or the person who may have committed the crime. Refer to the table below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Crime Scene Interviews	2-83
Investigative Interrogations	2-89
Section Synopsis	2-94
Learning Activities	2-104

Crime Scene Interviews

Introduction	Statements made by victims, witnesses, or suspects at a crime scene can be critical in tying together the facts of a crime. Crime elements may be revealed by the statements of victims, witnesses, or the suspects themselves.		
Definition	An <u>interview</u> is the process of gathering information from a person who has knowledge of the facts that an officer will need to conduct an investigation.		
Purpose	Interviews are conducted at the scene of a crime in order to obtain and document information needed to:		
	 determine if a crime has taken place identify and locate victims and witnesses, identify possible suspects generate a crime broadcast to dispatch 		
Interviews vs. interrogations	It is important for officers conducting interviews at a crime scene to recognize the differences between an interview and an interrogation. The following table illustrates a number of such differences.		

Interviews	Interrogations
• The process of questioning non-suspects such as victims or witnesses (who typically are willing to cooperate)	 The process of questioning <i>suspects</i> (who often may be <i>unwilling</i> to provide information to investigating officers) Questions or conduct reasonably likely to elicit an incriminating response
• Should take place at the crime scene	• More than likely takes place as part of a follow-up investigation

Preparation

Before beginning any crime scene interview, officers should properly prepare themselves and the individual(s) to be interviewed.

Actions	Guidelines
Be physically and mentally prepared	 Become well acquainted with the circumstances surrounding the crime Determine the number, role, and priority of the people who should be interviewed (i.e., victim, witness, suspect, etc.) Develop an interview plan of questions that should be asked to establish the facts of the incident (i.e., who, what, when, where, why, how) Organize equipment that will be needed to document the interviewee's statements (e.g., notebook and pencil, tape recorder with charged batteries and blank cassettes)
Separate the involved parties	 If possible, move the person, with his or her consent, to a location where there will be no interruptions or distractions Focus the person's attention on speaking with the officer rather than on interacting with others
Establish rapport	 Tell the interviewee why the interview is being conducted Describe the interview process that will be followed Assure the person that by using this process, the officer will be able to gather that person's statement accurately Be courteous, considerate, and patient. Control the interview by remaining calm and polite

Listening attentively

Initially, the officer's focus should be strictly on the other person listening (not taking notes) to what that individual has to say.

Actions	Guidelines
Ask the person to recount what has happened	 Allow the person to speak freely Have the person describe the incident just as that person understands it, using that person's own words
Keep the person focused	 If the person should begin to wander from the specific topic, guide the person back to the subject (e.g., "You mentioned that" "Let's go back to") Maintain eye contact and use nonverbal gestures (e.g., nodding the head) to encourage the person
Listen carefully to what is being said	 Be particularly attentive to the essentials of the incident as described by that person including, but not limited to, the: role of the person being interviewed (victim, witness, etc.) type of crime, if any, that has been committed time of the occurrence exact location of the person during the crime or incident

Ask questions and take notes When the person has finished speaking initially, the interviewing officer can begin to question the individual and capture information on paper as part of that officer's field notes.

Actions	Guidelines
Obtain identification information	 Confirm the person's role in the event or incident (e.g., victim, witness, possible suspect, etc.) Note the person's: complete name address and phone number (home and work) any other information necessary for identification purposes
Ask the interviewee to repeat that person's account of what happened	 Guide the interview by asking questions that will keep the person from becoming distracted and wandering from the point Stop the person and ask questions when necessary to clarify points Write down information in short statements If a statement is particularly important, have the person repeat it until it is captured entirely in field notes
Ask additional questions	 Obtain descriptions of property, suspects, etc. Ask the individual if that person would like to add any additional information

Recording	Some officers may choose to use a small tape recorder while conducting an
the	interview. Officers should be aware that this may inhibit the person from
interview	talking freely. Electronic equipment can also malfunction, leaving the officer with little or no information.

Even if an officer is recording the interview, that officer should also take thorough and complete notes of the interview.

Verify information

Officers should review the individual's statements with the interviewee and allow the interviewee to clarify points, if necessary.

Actions	Guidelines
Review information with the person	 Repeat specific information to verify that the information is accurate and complete Give the person an opportunity to add facts as necessary
Ask for confirmation	 Have the person confirm important details such as: direct quotes time relationships information regarding weapons physical descriptions
Make modifications or corrections as necessary	 Information may have been initially recorded incorrectly because the officer: misunderstood the interviewee's statement inadvertently wrote something down incorrectly may have incorrectly characterized the interviewee's statement
Verify changes	• Once any changes have been made, the information that has been added or modified should be verified

Close the interview	At the end of each interview, the interviewing officers should thank the individual for that person's time and cooperation. Officers may also choose to explain any further actions that may be taken during the investigative process.	
	NOTE:	For additional information regarding field interviews, note taking, and report writing, refer to LD 18: <i>Investigative Report Writing</i> .
Additional sources of information	officer gathersscene intervinformationphysical	investigation of a crime, it is imperative that the investigating er as much relevant information as possible. To supplement crime riews or when conducting a follow-up investigation, additional may be obtained from: evidence nd private records and other documents

Introduction An interrogation means any questioning or conduct that is reasonably likely to elicit (produce) an incriminating response from a suspect (i.e., perpetrator or accomplice). Although, it is possible to "interrogate" a suspect at the scene *before* the suspect has been arrested, handcuffed, or otherwise placed in "custody," interrogation more commonly takes place *after* the suspect has been taken into custody, typically as part of a follow-up investigation. When a suspect is both in "custody" and about to undergo "interrogation," then Miranda comes into play.

Confession vs.A peace officer who conducts an interrogation must have a clear
understanding of the difference between a confession and an admission.

A(n)	involves acknowledging
Confession	the commission of all of the elements of a crime
Admission	certain facts that tend to incriminate the individual, but fall short of a confession

Inadmissible A confession is the most compelling evidence of a suspect's guilt. However, a confession or admission that violates the person's constitutional protections and statutory requirements can be ruled inadmissible as evidence and greatly jeopardize the state's position.

The U.S. Supreme Court has upheld the inadmissibility of statements (i.e., confessions, admissions) that were obtained in violation of the following four amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

Amendment	Rights and Freedoms	A confession or admission may be <i>inadmissible</i> in a court of law if the
Fourth	Freedom from unreasonable searches or seizures	arrest and statement was the result of an illegal search and seizure, such as an improper entry, unreasonable detention, etc
Fifth	Freedom from self- incrimination	statement was obtained during custodial interrogation carried out in violation of any Miranda requirements, such as without a complete advisement of rights, or without a valid waiver of rights

Inadmissible statements (continued)	Sixth	Right to counsel	Statement was obtained in violation of charged defendant's right to be assisted by counsel, such as by initiating interrogation about the charged crime outside the presence of defense counsel of a defendant who has already been to court and obtained a lawyer to represent him or her
	Fourteenth	Right to <i>due</i> process and equal protection of the law	 Statement was involuntary, i.e., was coerced by the government as the result of: physical force or threats express or implied threats express or implied promises of leniency overbearing psychological pressure
	NOTE:	Supreme Court n questioning of a Fifth Amendmen released from cu	tzer was a case where the United States ruled that peace officers could re- initiate charged defendant who initially invoked their nt rights only after the defendant has been ustody for a period of 14 days or more since they a attorney, so long as the defendant waives
Preparation	 themselves at In the course read all c crime evide earlie 	nd form a strategy of this preparation ase reports so as e scene nce collected r statements	gation, officers should take the time to prepare y for obtaining incriminating information. on, officers should: to be thoroughly familiar with the:
	• learn as n	nuch as possible a	about the individual to be interrogated

Preparation (continued)	 decide on an interrogation technique to begin with (understanding that other techniques may be used during the interrogation as well) prepare a list of key questions that refer to the: elements of the specific crime actions taken by the individual intent and motive for those actions 			
Location	To control all outside influences on the individual to be questioned, the interrogation should take place in a room that is:			
	• soundproof			
	• isolated from any other activity			
	• well lit (but not with glaring lights)			
	• furnished with a minimum of furniture and no distracting decorations			
	• secure and protected from interruptions			
	• connected to outside areas by a buzzer or intercom system			
Obtaining a statement	The goal of an interrogation is to obtain an incriminating statement from the suspect.			
	When the interrogating officers detect that the suspect is ready to talk openly and honestly, the officers should continue with the technique being applied along with additional tactics to bring the interrogation to a successful conclusion.			
	The interrogating officers may:			
	 increase the intensity of the questioning sum up all lies or contradictions already expressed by the suspect move closer to the suspect to gain his or her confidence 			

Obtaining a statement (continued)	Most importantly though, interrogating officers should never indicate in any way that their attitude has been anything but sincere, no matter which techniques have been employed.		
Use of subterfuge	<u>Subterfuge</u> is the use of deception or falsehoods as a tactic when interrogating a suspect. In contrast, <u>coercion</u> is the use of force (mental or physical), threats, or overbearing psychological pressure to deprive a suspect's free choice to admit, deny, or refuse to answer.		
	The use of subterfuge by an officer during an interrogation is permissible as long it as does not cause an innocent person to confess.		
	Subterfuge may include:		
	• falsely telling a suspect that he or she have been positively identified by a witness and it does not cause a suspect to make a false confession. The use of subterfuge may play on a suspect's individual fear and sense of guilt to the point of the suspect making a willing admission or confession.		
	Coercion may include:		
	• falsely telling a suspect that members of his/her family will be held accountable if he/she does not confess to a crime.		
Involuntary confessions	An <u>involuntary confession</u> or statement is one that results from the use of coercion and therefore <i>is not admissible in court for any purpose</i> . Unlike statements obtained in violation of Miranda, an involuntary confession may not be used as evidence to impeach witnesses or in any other way against the accused individual.		

Section 1 Synopsis

Learning need	Peace officers must have an understanding of the amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and similar sections of the California Constitution that are related to the authority, liability, and responsibility they have in making arrests.
The Fourth	If peace officers are not able to show probable cause, an arrest or search may
Amendment	be invalid, and the resulting evidence may be excluded.
and officer	During the performance of their duties, peace officers have a legal, moral and
responsibility	ethical responsibility to protect all of the rights afforded to a citizen by the
[15.01.2]	United States and California Constitution.
The Fifth	Peace officers need to understand how the Miranda decision protects a
Amendment	person's right against self-incrimination
and officer	During the performance of their duties, peace officers have a legal, moral and
responsibility	ethical responsibility to protect all of the rights afforded to a citizen by the
[15.01.3]	United States and California Constitution.
The Sixth Amendment and officer responsibility [15.01.4]	Once this right attaches (indictment or first court appearance) and the person is represented by counsel, peace officers are prohibited from initiating interrogation of the person about the charged crime, except in the presence of counsel. During the performance of their duties, peace officers have a legal, moral and ethical responsibility to protect all of the rights afforded to a citizen by the United States and California Constitution.

Section Synopsis, Continued

The Fourteenth Amendment and officer responsibility [15.01.5]	 The Fourteenth Amendment requires that peace officers must: apply the law equally to all people treat them the same regardless of race, creed, nationality, religious preference, or national origin
	During the performance of their duties, peace officers have a legal, moral and ethical responsibility to protect all of the rights afforded to a citizen by the United States and California Constitution.
Civil rights codes and officer responsibility [15.01.6]	 U.S. Code, Title 42, Section 1983, states that individuals acting under color of law who deprive someone of any legal right can be held civilly liable. U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 241, makes it a federal crime for two or more persons to conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate someone for doing something they have a legal right to do. U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 242, makes it a federal crime to deprive a person, under color of law, of any legal right, or to punish a person differently, based on that person's color, race, or citizenship status. Penal Code Section 422.6(a) is broader than U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 242. It adds categories of religion ancestry national origin disability gender and
	<i>Penal Code Section 422.6(a)</i> is broader than <i>U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 242.</i> It adds categories of religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, gender, and sexual orientation to those protected from discrimination.

Section 2 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	Peace officers must recognize that a consensual encounter is a face-to-face contact with a person under circumstances which would cause a reasonable person to believe they are free to leave or otherwise not cooperate.
Appropriate applications [15.02.2]	Peace officers must be vigilant when contacting the public to ensure they do not elevate a consensual encounter into a detention.
Elevating consensual encounters [15.02.3]	Certain actions can elevate a consensual encounter.
Consequences of elevating consensual encounters [15.02.4]	Elevating a consensual encounter could have legal negative repercussions.
	Continued on next page

Section 3 Synopsis, Continued

Peace officers must recognize that a temporary detention is an assertion of authority that is less than an arrest but more substantial than a consensual encounter.
Peace officers must be able to distinguish between a consensual encounter and a detention.
When an officer has enough facts and circumstances are present to make it reasonable to suspect that criminal activity is occurring and the person detained is connected to that activity.
Reasonable suspicion of criminal activity must exist to make a detention lawful
Peace officers can take certain investigative actions during a detention if they can be completed within a reasonably limited time.
No searches are permitted during a detention unless peace officers reasonably suspect that the detained person may be carrying a concealed weapon or dangerous instrument.
If a person attempts to leave during a detention, the officers may use objectively reasonable force and/or physical restraints to compel the person to remain. Uncooperative individuals may be handcuffed, and/or be placed in a patrol vehicle.

Section 4 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	Peace officers must know and comply with the statutory rules of arrest in order to properly exercise their authority and responsibility while avoiding liability when making arrests.
Arrest, detention and probable cause [15.04.2, 5.04.4]	An arrest is the taking of a person into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law. Probable cause to arrest is a set of facts that would cause a person of ordinary care and prudence to entertain an honest and strong belief that the person to be arrested is guilty of a crime.
	A detention or stop is an assertion of authority that would cause reasonable individuals to believe they are <i>not free to leave</i> . A detention is <i>limited</i> in scope, intensity, and duration. A detention is not an arrest.
Elements of a lawful arrest [15.04.3]	 <i>Penal Code Sections 834</i> and <i>835</i> authorize peace officers and private persons to make arrests. The elements of an arrest are: The arrested person must be taken into custody, for a crime and in the manner prescribed by law. An arrest may be made by actual restraint of the person or by the person's submission to the officer's authority. objectively reasonable force may be used to make an arrest, prevent escape, or overcome resistance.
Information that must be given to arrested person [15.04.5]	<i>Penal Code Section 841</i> requires that any person making an arrest must convey certain information to the person arrested at the time of the arrest. The three things that must be explained are, intent, cause, and authority.

Warrantless Peace officers may make warrantless arrests for certain misdemeanors. arrests for misdemeanors [15.04.6] Warrantless Peace officers may make warrantless arrests for felonies. arrests for felonies [15.04.7] Warrant An arrest warrant is a written order signed by a magistrate which directs and commands a peace officer to arrest the person named in the warrant for the arrests [15.04.8] offense named in the warrant. Entry to Knock and notice means that before entering a dwelling to make an arrest, with or without a warrant, officers must give notice to the person inside. make (Penal Code Section 844) arrest [15.04.9] Private A private person may arrest an individual for any public offense that has been committed in the private persons presence. person arrests [15.04.10] Use of Penal Code Section 835(a) authorizes peace officers to use force that is reasonable and necessary to make an arrest, prevent his escape, or overcome force [15.04.11] resistance. *Continued on next page*

Section Synopsis, Continued

Section Synopsis, Continued

Disposition of arrested persons [15.04.12]	If the arrest is made pursuant to a warrant, the arresting officer must proceed with the arrestee as commanded in the warrant. <i>(Penal Code Section 848)</i>
Exceptions to the power of arrest [15.04.13]	There are circumstances when a person who has committed a crime and cannot be arrested.
	Continued on next page

Section 5 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	When conducting a custodial interrogation, peace officers must follow Miranda procedures to ensure that any answers they obtain will be admissible in court.
The purpose of the Miranda warning [15.05.1]	Compliance with Miranda allows any statement the person makes to be admitted against him at trial to prove guilt without violating the person's Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination.
When Miranda warning is required [15.05.2]	If an officer is about to <i>interrogate</i> a person who is in custody, the officer must advise the person of the four Miranda advisements.
Administering the Miranda warning [15.05.3]	Once the four Miranda advisements have been given and the person has acknowledged understanding them, the person may either waive or invoke the right to silence, the right to an attorney, or both.
Invoking Miranda rights [15.05.4]	Under Miranda, a person may invoke the right to remain silent or the right to counsel only at the time of, or during, police custodial interrogation.

Section Synopsis, Continued

Waiving Miranda rights [15.05.5]	For any statement made after a waiver to be admissible in court, the waiver must be knowing and voluntary.
Exception to the Miranda rule [15.05.6]	A peace officer is not required to give the Miranda warning if there is imminent concern for the safety of a person or the public.
	Continued on next page

Section 6 Synopsis, Continued

Learning need	To develop admissible evidence while ensuring the constitutional rights of all individuals, peace officers must correctly follow standardized practices for conducting crime scene interviews and interrogations.
Interviews vs. interrogations [15.06.1]	Interviewing is the process of questioning non-suspects such as victims or witnesses (who typically are willing to cooperate). Interrogation is the process of questioning suspects who often may be <i>unwilling</i> to provide information to investigating officers.
Confession vs. admission [15.06.3]	A peace officer who conducts an interrogation must have a clear understanding of the difference between a confession and an admission.

Workbook Learning Activities

Introduction	of learnin	To help you review and apply the material covered in this section, a selection of learning activities has been included. No answers are provided. However, by referring to the appropriate text, you should be able to prepare a response.		
Activity questions	1. Match the provision to the correct Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.			
		freedom from unreasonable searches and seizures	A.	Fourth Amendment
		a speedy trial and assistance of counsel	B.	Fifth Amendment
		equal treatment, regardless of race, creed, nationality, religious	C.	Sixth Amendment
		preference, or national origin	D.	Fourteenth Amendment

2. Define a conspiracy according to *U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 241,* and give an example.

Activity Questions (continued) 3. Describe the impact of the Fourth Amendment on peace officers' investigations.

Activity	4.	Describe one way peace officers can help to ensure due process rights
questions		guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment.
(continued)		

5. A peace officer is interviewing witnesses at the scene of a drive-by shooting. What actions might the officer take to make sure these encounters remain consensual and do not elevate into detentions? What should the officer do if an eyewitness says she has to leave for an appointment?

ActivityG. What is the defining feature of a consensual encounter? Why is it important for an officer to be aware of the citizen's perspective of the encounter as well as his or her own?

7. Why must an officer guard against unintentionally elevating a consensual encounter into a detention? What actions do you think might easily cause such elevation?

Activity	8.	Give two examples describing circumstances in which an officer's
questions		questioning of a person would <i>not</i> be considered interrogation.

Activity9. Describe three actions a peace officer might take, aside from physically
restraining a person that would define a contact as a detention.

10. Describe an instance in which a peace officer may enter a dwelling without knock and notice.

Activity 11. A person commits a misdemeanor trespass in an officer's presence. The person flees the scene, and the officer pursues, but loses track of the person after about five blocks. After about 15 minutes, the officer stops the search and continues on patrol. Later that evening, the officer spots the person. What action should the officer take and why?

12. What is the difference between probable cause and reasonable suspicion?

Activity 13. In connection with an arrest for robbery, at what point is an officer required to provide the Miranda warning? Describe an occurrence that might cause an exception to this requirement.

14. After being given the Miranda warning, a murder suspect invokes her right to remain silent. When, and under what circumstances, can peace officers try again to interrogate the person without violating Miranda? If the person invokes her right to an attorney after about 10 minutes of interrogation, under what circumstances can reinitiation of interrogation produce admissible evidence?

Activity 15. Describe two situations in which a waiver of the right to remain silent under Miranda would be considered invalid. (continued)

16. What is the basis for the Miranda warning? Why do they exist?

Student notes

Chapter 3

Search and Seizure Learning Domain 16

Overview

Basic Principles of Search and Seizure Law

Learning need Peace officers must have a clear understanding of their authority, responsibility, and potential for liability in the areas of search and seizure law, as well as the protections provided by constitutional law, statutory law, and case law against unreasonable search and seizures.

The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for this section.

Learning objectives

After completing the study of this section, the student will be able to:	Objective ID
• recognize constitutional protections guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment	16.01.2
• identify the concept of reasonable expectation of privacy	16.01.3
 recognize standing and how it applies to an expectation of privacy 	16.01.4
• recognize probable cause to search and its link between Fourth Amendment protections and search and seizure law	16.01.5

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on the principles upon which law enforcement search and seizure practices are based. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Fourth Amendment Protections	3-3
Reasonable Expectation of Privacy	3-6
Probable Cause to Search	3-12

Fourth Amendment Protections

Introduction	The Fourth Amendment to the Constitution prohibits unreasonable searches and seizures by the state and establishes that any search or seizure by the state must be based on probable cause.
Policing in the community	The community expects their peace officers to abide by a set of rules and work within the limitations and restrictions placed on them to ensure a free and democratic society. Doing so fosters trust. Trust is the critical link in the community/law enforcement partnership.
Constitutional protections	 A priority of the authors of the United States Constitution and the California Constitution was to avoid unlimited actions and intrusions by the government and to protect a person's: privacy liberty possession of property
Fourth Amendment	The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution (Article 4 of the Bill of Rights) states: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized.

Fourth Amendment Protections, Continued

Article 1, Section 13	Article 1, Section 13, of the California Constitution states:
	The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable seizures and searches, shall not be violated, and a warrant may not be issued except on probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons and things to be seized.
Unreasonable searches	The Fourth Amendment does not give individuals an absolute right to privacy; neither does it prohibit all searches. It limits only those searches conducted by the government that are considered <i>unreasonable</i> by the courts.
	To determine what is reasonable, the courts must look at the totality of circumstances and balance the individual's right to privacy against the government's need to gather evidence and apprehend criminals.
Limitation on government's power	The Fourth Amendment, like the other Amendments in the Bill of Rights, limits the power of <i>the government</i> but does not apply to actions by private individuals. If a private individual violates someone else's expectation of privacy, the victim may be able to make a claim in the civil court system.
	Continued on next page

Fourth Amendment Protections, Continued

Related terms	To better understand the Fourth Amendment, peace officers need to understand the following terms.
	A search occurs when an expectation of privacy that society is prepared to consider reasonable is infringed upon by the government.
	A seizure of property occurs when there is some meaningful interference with an individual's possessory interest in that property by the government.
	A seizure of a person occurs when:
	 a peace officer physically applies force a person voluntarily submits to a peace officer's authority

Reasonable Expectation of Privacy

Introduction	The Fourth Amendment is not violated unless a person's legitimate expectation of privacy is infringed upon by the government.
Expectation of privacy	A <u>reasonable expectation of privacy</u> can exist almost anytime and anyplace as long as:
	• individuals have indicated that they personally <i>(subjectively)</i> expect privacy in the object or area
	• their expectation is one which society is prepared to recognize as legitimate
Related terms	To better understand the expectation of privacy, peace officers need to understand the following terms.
	<u>Subjective expectation of privacy</u> is a person's state of mind demonstrated by affirmative action designed to protect their privacy (e.g., building a fence, closing window shades, locking a compartment, etc.).
	Objective reasonableness refers to whether society is prepared to recognize the individual's expectation as reasonable.
	<u>Curtilage</u> means the relatively small and usually well-defined area immediately around a residence to which the occupant has a reasonable expectation of privacy.

Expectation of privacy beyond a home or person Everyone can reasonably expect privacy in his or her own *person* and *home*. A peace officer must also consider the expectation of privacy in areas beyond, but close to, the home. The following table illustrates a number of situations and how the expectation of privacy can vary depending on the totality of the circumstances.

Area	If	then	Expectation of Privacy
A backyard	a fence with a closed gate surrounds the backyard of a residence	common sense and custom would suggest that the general public is not expected to enter.	Higher
	the occupants of the residence post signs directing the general public to come into the backyard for a yard sale	the occupants expect the general public to enter the backyard freely.	Lower
	tall shrubbery is planted in front of the house, limiting the view and access by the general public	it can be assumed that the occupants expect this area to be blocked off from the general public.	Higher
A front yard	there are no physical barriers preventing members of the public from freely approaching a residence through the front yard	this area is open to the public having business with the occupants.	Lower

Expectation of privacy beyond a home or person (continued)	Area	If	then	Expectation of Privacy
		there are closed gates at the entrance of a driveway	the occupants wish to block access to the driveway by the general public.	Higher
	A driveway	the general public must use the driveway to gain access to the walkway that leads to the front door from the public street	it can be assumed the driveway is part of the open access to the front door.	Higher Lower Higher Lower
		the window shades or curtains of a room are drawn	the occupants wish to block any view of the area from the general public.	Higher
	Windows	the window shades or curtains are open or are constructed of material which is easily seen through	the occupants do not care if the general public can see into the area from the outside.	Lower

Expectation of privacy beyond a home or person (continued)	Area	If	then	Expectation of Privacy
		a solid wall is so tall that the general public cannot see over it	the occupants wish to block access and view to the area beyond the wall.	Higher
	Walls	a wall is only three feet tall	the occupants are not trying to prevent the general public from viewing what is beyond the wall.	
	Fences	a fence is constructed so that it cannot be seen through without getting very close and peeking	the occupants wish to block the view into the area beyond the fence.	Higher
		a fence is constructed of wire	the occupants wish to block access but not the view into the area beyond the fence.	Lower
		a garbage can is stored next to a side door to their house	the owner considers it within the curtilage of the residence.	Higher
	Garbage	a homeowner's garbage is bagged and placed at curbside	the trash is outside the curtilage of the residence within access to the general public.	Lower

Open fields	Open fields means outdoor real property, outside the curtilage of the residence.
	Open fields are areas which are so open to public view that the owner or possessor is deemed to have <i>implicitly invited</i> the general public to view the area. Because of the lack of a <i>reasonable</i> expectation of privacy in open fields, the protections of the Fourth Amendment do not apply.
	NOTE: Open fields do not have to be either <i>open</i> or real <i>fields</i> to qualify.
Overflights	An <u>overflight</u> is the flight of a plane or helicopter over a given area.
	Because of the lack of a <i>reasonable</i> expectation of privacy in an area that can be viewed from an overflight, the protections of the Fourth Amendment do not apply, as long as the aircraft is:
	 at an altitude permitted by FAA regulations being operated in a "physically nonintrusive manner"
Standing	Standing exists only if a subject has a reasonable expectation of privacy in the place or thing that is searched or seized. To challenge a particular search or seizure, a person must have a reasonable expectation of privacy in the place or thing that was searched or seized. Only a person with standing can challenge the search or seizure of property, based on Fourth Amendment protections.
	Standing generally is established by:
	 ownership lawful possession authority
	• control of the area searched or the property seized
	Continued on next page

Examples A live-in housekeeper gives consent for peace officers to enter and search for illegal weapons in the residence where she works. The homeowner has given the housekeeper authority over the residence; therefore, the housekeeper has standing to challenge the legality of the consent search later in court.

A male defendant contests the search of his tool box that he had locked and placed in a friend's garage. By locking the tool box, the owner demonstrated an expected level of privacy over its contents. Only the owner of the tool box, not the friend who owned the garage, would have standing to challenge the legality of the search of the tool box.

Probable Cause to Search

Introduction	The Fourth Amendment states:
	The right of the people to be secure in their person, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrant shall issue, but upon probable cause
Definition	Probable cause to search an area or object means having enough facts or information to provide a <i>fair probability</i> , or a <i>substantial chance</i> , that the item sought is located in the place to be searched.
	Thus, probable cause requires something less than an absolute or even a near certainty, but something more than a mere hunch or suspicion.
Probable cause to search	Peace officers must demonstrate that probable cause exists to search a specific place for specific property or contraband which will be used as evidence. Even though the court will consider the totality of the circumstances, to meet the Fourth Amendment requirement, officers must have specific facts which can be articulated in court or in a sworn statement (affidavit).
	To establish probable cause to search, peace officers must be able to articulate how and why they have a <i>fair probability</i> to believe:
	• a crime has occurred or is about to occur
	• evidence pertaining to the crime exists
	• the evidence is at the location they wish to search
Officer training and experience	A peace officer's training and experience is relevant in establishing probable cause. Facts must be seen and weighed as understood by a reasonable officer with that particular officer's training and experience.

Warrantless Searches and Seizures

Overview			
Learning need	When certain conditions are met, officers may lawfully search and seize evidence without a search warrant. For evidence to be admissible at trial, offiers must have a clear understanding of the legal requirement for warrantless searches.		
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for the		
	After completing the study of this section, the student will be able to:	Objective ID	
	• recognize the conditions and circumstances where warrantless searches and seizures are considered reasonable and legal	16.03.3	
	• recognize the scope and necessary conditions for conducting the following types of warrantless searches:		
	- cursory/frisk/pat search	16.03.4	
	- consent searches	16.03.5	
	- searches pursuant to exigent circumstances	16.03.6	
	- searches incident to arrest	16.03.7	

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on warrantless searches and seizures. Refer to the following chart for specific topics.

Торіс	See Page
Warrantless Searches in General	3-15
Cursory/Frisk/Pat Search	3-17
Consent Searches	3-22
Exigent Circumstance Searches3-30	
Searches Incident to Arrest 3-35	
Probation/Parole Searches 3	

Warrantless Searches in General

Introduction	Under the Fourth Amendment, warrantless searches of private property are presumptively illegal. However, case law has created some exceptions to the warrant requirement. Warrantless searches will be upheld if the peace officer's conduct came within one of these exceptions.
Fourth Amendment protection	The first clause of the Fourth Amendment states people have a right to be protected from unreasonable searches and seizures by government agents.
	The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized.
Case law exceptions	The Fourth Amendment does not give individuals an absolute right to privacy, and it does not prohibit all searches — only those that are unreasonable.
	The courts have identified certain specific conditions and circumstances where warrantless searches and seizures are considered reasonable and, therefore, legal.
	In addition to plain view seizures, these exceptions to the usual warrant requirement include:
	• cursory/frisk/pat down
	• consent searches
	searches pursuant to exigent circumstances
	searches incident to custodial arrestprobation/parole searches
	Continued on next page

Warrantless Searches in General, Continued

Establishing the basis for a warrantless search or seizure In deciding whether a warrantless search or seizure was legal, courts will always consider the *totality of the circumstances*. However, peace officers must always have specific facts to demonstrate the search or seizure fell within one of the exceptions to the warrant requirement.

Cursory/Frisk/Pat Searches

Introduction	Normally, non-consensual searches are not permitted during a detention. However, if an officer has a factual basis to suspect the person being detained poses a danger to the officer, or is carrying a concealed weapon or an object that could be used as a weapon, the officer is justified in conducting a <i>limited</i> search for the weapon without a warrant.
Definition	A cursory/frisk/pat search is a strictly limited search for <i>weapons</i> of the outer clothing of a person who has been lawfully detained. A cursory/frisk/pat search is a search for possible weapons only, not a search for contraband or other evidence.
Necessary conditions	Cursory/frisk/pat searches of detainees are allowed to prevent unexpected assault on peace officers. But a generalized, non-specific concern for officer safety is <i>not</i> sufficient reason to allow for the intrusion of a cursory/frisk/pat search.
	For a cursory/frisk/pat search to be lawful:
	 the person must be lawfully detained for an investigative purpose the searching officers must be able to articulate <i>specific facts</i> which caused them to reasonably believe the person is dangerous or may be carrying a weapon
Scope of the search	The scope of a cursory/frisk/pat search is limited to outer clothing for weapons or potential weapons <i>only</i> .
	Once the officer conducting the search realizes an object is not a weapon, the officer cannot further manipulate the object; the officer must move on.
	Any additional feeling, grabbing, or manipulating of the item is outside the scope of a cursory/frisk search and will be considered an illegal search.
	Continued on next page

Cursory/Frisk/Pat Searches, Continued

Absolute certainty not required An officer need not be absolutely certain that the person is armed or potentially dangerous. However, the officer's suspicion must be reasonable and based on specific facts.

The following table identifies factors that have been recognized as contributing to the suspicion that the person may be carrying a weapon or pose a danger.

Factor	Examples
Clothing	 Bulge in clothing that is the size of a potential weapon Wearing a heavy coat when the weather is warm
Actions	Trying to hide somethingAppearing overly nervousActing in a threatening manner
Prior knowledge	• History of carrying weapons or violent behavior
Reason for detention	• Stopped in order to investigate a serious, violent, or armed offense
Companions	• Lawful search of companions revealed a weapon or potential weapon
Location	• Stopped in an area known for violence, or where the officer is unlikely to receive immediate aid if attacked
Time of day/amount of light	Stopped during nighttimeStopped in an area with little or no lighting
Ratio	Detainees outnumber officers

Cursory/Frisk/Pat Searches, Continued

Contraband	If, during a lawful cursory/frisk/pat search for weapons, an item is discovered that is immediately recognized as contraband (based on plain sight, smell, or touch), the officer may seize it. If the person is placed under arrest, the officer may then conduct a <i>full</i> search incident to the custodial arrest.
	If the item is <i>not</i> immediately recognized as contraband, the officer may not manipulate the suspected area or object further in order to establish its nature, unless the officer is still concerned it may be a weapon or potential weapon.
Containers	If the officer comes across a container on the person during a cursory/frisk/pat search, the officer is entitled to seize it and open it <i>only if</i> it is reasonable to believe it can be used as a weapon or that it might contain a weapon.
	Detention alone does not give officers the right to search (open) the container, unless their knowledge and experience provide <i>probable cause</i> to believe that it contains contraband (i.e., they could easily feel that the object was small and resilient like a heroin-filled balloon), since with probable cause they could make an arrest. (In general, common containers like cigarette packs and film containers are not searchable.)
Reaching inside	During a cursory/frisk/pat search, an officer may reach inside a subject's clothing or pockets to inspect an object further only if:
	 the object reasonably felt like a weapon or something that could be used as a weapon the subject's clothing is so rigid or heavy that the officer could not rule out the possibility of a weapon or potential weapon

Cursory/Frisk/Pat Searches, Continued

Reaching inside (continued)	NOTE:	In addition to what officers may lawfully do as part of a cursory/frisk/pat search for weapons, they may also always seek voluntary <i>consent</i> to search. Such consent to search can be for any part of a suspect's clothing or belongings, and for any objects (such as drugs) the officer asks about.
Discovery	officer belie	discovers an object during a cursory/frisk/pat search which the eves is a weapon or a dangerous instrument which could be used as he officer has a right to seize it from the person.
	concluded.	may hold the weapon or potential weapon until the detention is If there is no probable cause to make an arrest, then the item must to the subject.
	NOTE:	A cursory/frisk/pat search <i>does not end</i> when an officer finds a <i>single</i> weapon or potential weapon. Officers must be aware subjects may be carrying more than one item at a time that could pose a potential danger.
Transporting a passenger	officers hav	ers may conduct a cursory/frisk/pat search of any person the e a <i>duty or are obligated to transport</i> before permitting the person law enforcement vehicle.
		re not obligated to transport the person, a cursory/frisk/pat search only if the officer informs passengers that:
		we the right to refuse the ride accept the ride, they must first consent to a cursory/frisk/pat search
		Continued on next nage

Examples An officer was in a hotel room questioning a female companion of a man who had been arrested for armed robbery earlier that day. In the course of the questioning, the woman grabbed her make-up bag from a nearby dresser. Because it was reasonable to suspect that the woman might be reaching for a weapon, the officer seized the bag. When the officer realized the bag was heavy and large enough to potentially contain a weapon, he opened the bag to search it.

An officer, responding to a complaint regarding a panhandler, noticed a large bulge in the front waistband of the man's trousers. Because of the size and location of the bulge, the officer believed the item could be a weapon and conducted a cursory/frisk/pat search of the man. When the item turned out to be a rolled up piece of clothing, the officer continued the frisk and found no other indications that the man was a potential danger.

While on routine patrol one morning, two officers spotted a young man looking into parked cars in an alley where there had been earlier complaints of vehicle tampering. As the officers drove by slowly, the man tried to hide behind a dumpster. When the officers approached him, the man became nervous, boisterous, and antagonistic. Because the young man's actions and behavior gave the officers reason to believe that he might pose a danger, they could lawfully frisk/pat search him for weapons or potential weapons.

Consent Searches

Introduction	peace officer	The Fourth Amendment prohibits warrantless searches. However, is may enter premises and/or conduct searches without a warrant obtained valid consent.	
Warrant searches vs. consent searches		we probable cause to search but lack an exigent circumstance to rantless entry, they should always seek a warrant instead of ent.	
	Without a warrant:		
	 the occupant of the property has the right to refuse entry and therefore refuse the search even if they enter with consent, officers may not detain persons who are on 		
	the premises unless they have reasonable suspicion of criminal activity		
subjects of pending law enforce or removed during the time		sent rather than obtaining a warrant can also serve to warn ending law enforcement action. The evidence may be destroyed during the time that the warrant is obtained. Peace officers are not ecure or freeze the premises in situations where they have created by their actions	
Necessary conditions	For consent	to be valid, the consent must be:	
	• voluntary		
	• obtained from a person with apparent <i>authority</i> or to give that consent		
	NOTE:	If the consent is valid, the consenter has temporarily relinquished any expectation of privacy for the area or item to be searched.	
	NOTE:	An unlawful detention invalidates a consent search.	

Scope of a consent search	Peace officers may search those places and things they reasonably believe the consenting person authorized them to search. As long as the search remains within the scope given, officers may seize any crime-related evidence which they discover.
	If the consenting person expressly or implicitly restricts the search to certain places or things, officers must honor those restrictions. If the officers tell the consenting person what type of evidence they are searching for, the scope of the search must be limited to those places and things in which such evidence may reasonably be found.
Examples	Consent to search inside a suitcase includes consent to look inside all the compartments of the suitcase.
	Consent to search the living room includes consent to look into small containers sitting on shelves and on tables within the room but not to enter any other rooms of the residence.
	Consent to search for documents within an office includes consent to look into file drawers as well as through a desk.
	Continued on next page

Voluntary
consentVoluntary consentmeans an act of free will and not the result of duress or
coercion. If consent is merely a submission to an assertion of authority or
coercion, the consent is not voluntary. Any search under such conditions
would be unlawful, and any item(s) seized would not be admitted as evidence
at trial.

PeacePeace officers may inadvertently undermine the voluntariness of consent byofficertheir conduct. Officers who seek consent must make it clear that they areconductrequesting permission to search -- not demanding it.

The table below offers examples of peace officer actions and their possible influence on the voluntariness of consent.

Action	Consent may be considered <i>involuntary</i> if peace officers
Show of physical force	• exhibit force while seeking consent (e.g., rest their hands on, or draw weapons).
Misrepresentation of authority	 state or imply they have a legal right to conduct an immediate search. falsely state they have a warrant when they do not. request entry for a purpose other than to conduct a search.
Illegal seizure	• illegally detain or arrest the subject.
Verbal coercion	• verbally demand consent rather than request it.
Intimidating demeanor	 appear in large numbers. use a demanding tone of voice. act in an overly authoritative manner, etc.

Peace officer	Action	Consent may be considered <i>involuntary</i> if peace officers
conduct (continued)	Impairment or limitation of consenter	 fail to recognize or acknowledge the consenting person may be: too young to understand the implications of the consent. severely under the influence of alcohol or drugs. mentally incapable of giving permission. overly distraught or too emotional to understand.

Express	vs.
implied	
consent	

Consent must be given in the form of some *affirmative act*, either as express consent or implied consent. The following table illustrates the differences between these two types of consent.

Express consent	Implied consent
occurs when the consenting person clearly authorizes the search either orally or in writing.	occurs when the consenting person authorizes the search by actions or behavior indicating that consent was given.
requires no inference to supply the full meaning.	must be reasonably inferred.
Examples:	Examples:
Verbal acknowledgment and approval (e.g., "Sure; go ahead") Signing a consent form	Nodding approval Stepping aside to allow entry

- NOTE: Consent may not be inferred simply from a failure to object or from mere silence.
- NOTE: Implied consent is usually more difficult to *prove* than express consent. Therefore, officers should make every effort to obtain *express* verbal or written consent before conducting a search.

Right toThe courts have ruled that it is *not legally* necessary for officers to adviserefusepotential consenters that they have a constitutional right to refuse consent of a
warrantless search.

However, giving the consenting person such a warning is a strong positive factor indicating the voluntariness of the consent.

Authority to consent

A consenter must have actual or apparent authority to consent to the search.

Problems may occur when a person consents to the search of property owned or possessed by another. The following table illustrates how the validity of a consent can vary, depending on the consenter's authority to waive the expectation of privacy.

Relationship	Consenter has authority if	Consenter has no authority if
husband/wife parent/child roommates/co- occupants	there is joint access or control over an area or thing (e.g., kitchen, family room).	the item is clearly a personal effect of, or the area is under the sole authority of, the nonconsenter (e.g., personal suitcase, tool box, locked closet). Co-occupant is present and objects to the search.
landlord/tenant motel owner/boarder	consenter is landlord or owner who has regained exclusive possession of a rental property.	consenter is landlord or owner, but the premises are still occupied by the tenant (e.g., apartment, motel room).
employer/employee	there is common authority or control over the area or thing (e.g., unlocked file cabinets, open office spaces).	the item is clearly a personal effect of, or the area is under the sole authority of, the nonconsenter (e.g., briefcase, purse, locked drawer).

Withdrawal of consent	The person giving consent has the right to withdraw or limit that consent at any time during the search. Officers should not engage in activity that will limit the consenter's ability to withdraw consent or limit scope of consent (i.e., person moves to another room).
	Consent can be withdrawn by:
	 expressly doing so (e.g., "I don't want you to search anything more") making a statement (e.g., "I want you to leave now") engaging in conduct that reasonably indicates that the consent is being withdrawn (e.g., blocking a doorway and saying "I don't want you to go in there," or not handing over the keys)
Failure to comply with withdrawal	If officers ignore the withdrawal or limitation of consent, any evidence that is subsequently seized may be inadmissible at trial.
Examples	While questioning a man in his driveway, officers asked if they could look through the trunk of his vehicle. The man shrugged his shoulders and handed the officers the keys to the car's trunk. The officers properly took the man's actions as implied consent, took the keys, and opened the trunk to conduct the search.
	Continued on next page

Examples

(continued)

A college student who attended classes in the nearby university lived in the basement area of his parents' residence. His parents offered the area to him without rent to help offset the cost of tuition. His mother, who cleaned the area for her son and otherwise passed freely through the area to get to the laundry facilities, consented to a peace officer's request to enter the son's living space to search for stolen computer equipment. Since the mother had open access to the son's living area, and since the son did not pay rent, the mother had authority to consent to the search.

A woman left her locked briefcase and its key with her coworker. Later, the coworker gave an investigating officer permission to open the briefcase to search it. By giving the coworker the key, the owner had relinquished her expectation of privacy over to the coworker. Even though the possession may have been temporary, the coworker had the authority to give consent to the search.

Exigent Circumstance Searches

Introduction	reasonable	cers may lawfully enter an area in which an individual has a expectation of privacy, when there is a <i>compelling need for official</i> no time to secure a warrant.	
Necessary conditions	Exigent ci to prevent:	rcumstances means an emergency situation requiring swift action	
	 imminent danger to a person's life or safety serious damage to property imminent escape of a suspect imminent destruction or removal of evidence 		
	NOTE:	Once inside, peace officers may do whatever is necessary to resolve the emergency nothing more. Once the emergency has dissipated (no longer any imminent danger to life, property, etc.), a warrant may be needed for further searching.	
	NOTE:	For additional information or exigent circumstance searches, refer to LD 9: <i>Crimes Against Children</i> .	
Scope of a search	Under exigent circumstances, the primary purpose of the officer's entry is to attend to the emergency situation. After entering the premises, officers may conduct a search only if it is reasonable to believe a search is necessary to secure the emergency.		
	may seize	Officers who are conducting a lawful search based on exigent circumstances may seize any item in plain view if there is probable cause to believe the item is contraband or evidence of a crime.	
		Continued on next page	

Exception to knock and notice	When exigent circumstances exist, peace officers are normally not required to comply with knock and notice procedures before entering.
Imminent danger to life	If an officer reasonably believes a <i>person</i> (victim or other person), inside an area that would be considered private <i>property</i> , may be injured or ill and in <i>immediate need</i> of help, the officer may enter the property without a warrant.

The following table illustrates a number of situations where there may be an imminent danger to life.

Emergency	A peace officer may enter without a warrant if the officer reasonably believes that
Sick or injured person	• there is a medical emergency where a person may be incapacitated.
Child abuse	 a child inside the premises is presently being physically abused, or a child is in immediate need of protection.
Violent assault	• there are people inside the residence who constitute an imminent and serious threat to themselves or others.
Domestic violence	• entry is necessary to protect a victim by preventing ongoing or additional violence.

Imminent	If an officer reasonably believes there is a need to enter a private area in order
danger to property	to protect the <i>property</i> of the owner or occupant, the officer may enter without a warrant.
1 1 5	

The following table illustrates situations where there may be an imminent danger to property.

Emergency	A peace officer may enter without a warrant if the officer reasonably believes that
Burglary	• the premises are presently being burglarized.
Other emergencies	 the premises are on fire. there are dangerous chemicals or explosives on the premises which pose a danger to people or property.

ImminentIt is lawful for peace officers to enter private property without a warrant inescapeorder to prevent the *escape* of a suspect, especially if the suspect is armed and
dangerous or has just committed a violent felony.

The following table describes two types of exigent circumstance pursuits.

Type of Pursuit	A warrantless entry is permitted if
<i>Hot</i> pursuit	 officers attempt to detain or arrest the suspect in a public place, <i>but</i> the suspect flees inside a private area.
<i>Fresh</i> pursuit	 there is no physical chase, but officers are quickly responding to information concerning the suspect's whereabouts, <i>and</i> the officers reasonably believe the suspect's escape is imminent.

DestructionPeace officers may enter premises without a warrant or consent when there isof evidenceimmediate danger of destruction or removal of crime-related evidence.

NOTE: A mere suspicion that evidence will be destroyed does not amount to exigent circumstances. There must be specific facts that evidence will likely be destroyed or removed without intervention.

Re-entry Following the exigent circumstance, peace officers must vacate the premises within a reasonable amount of time and may not reenter *unless* they obtain a search warrant or consent.

Creating an exigency	Peace officers may <i>not</i> use exigent circumstances as an excuse for a warrantless entry if they have <i>created</i> the emergency unnecessarily by their own conduct.
Examples	Two officers were investigating a truck hijacking that occurred earlier in the day. When the officers arrested three of the known suspects outside of a residence, one of the suspects told the officers that the fourth suspect was inside the home. Entry into the residence by officers, without a warrant, was lawful to prevent the escape of the fourth suspect.
	A commercial property was found unlocked and unattended. The officer entered the property to locate the name and phone number of the owner and to see if there were any signs of someone inside. While inside, the officer discovered contraband in plain view. The entry was legal because the officer was attempting to prevent damage or further damage to the property.
	While responding to a neighbor's complaint of strange noises coming from a nearby apartment, officers found a trail of fresh blood in the hallway leading to the apartment door. When the officers started to announce themselves, they heard vague moaning sounds from inside. The officers reasonably suspected that someone inside the apartment was in need of immediate medical attention and entered the property without a warrant or consent.

Searches Incident to Arrest

Introduction	When a suspect is lawfully arrested and taken into physical custody, a limited authority exists for peace officers to conduct a warrantless search of the suspect's person, also of the property and area within the suspect's immediate control.
Necessary conditions	 A search incident to arrest may be conducted when: probable cause for a lawful arrest exists the suspect is taken into custody the search is contemporaneous with the arrest
	NOTE: The search is justified by the custodial nature of the arrest, not by the nature or circumstances of the crime that lead to the arrest.
Scope of the search	 A search incident to a custodial arrest may include: a full search of the arrestee's person containers on the arrestee's person the nearby physical area that was under the immediate control of the arrestee (sometimes referred to as "within arm's reach")
Custodial arrest	To conduct a lawful search incident to arrest, the person must be taken into custody.
	A <u>custodial arrest</u> is one in which the person will be transported to another location or facility, such as a station, jail, detox center, juvenile hall, or school.
	A search incident to arrest is <i>not</i> permitted when the person is merely <i>cited and released</i> .
	Continued on next page

Searches Incident to Arrest, Continued

Contempor- aneous search	 search must at or nea at or nea while the NOTE: The search of the delay 	 the search must be <u>contemporaneous</u> with the arrest. That is, the be conducted: ar the time of arrest, although either can precede the other ar the place of the arrest e arrestee is still on the scene If the search precedes the arrest, the officer must have probable cause to arrest at the time of the search. can still be upheld as contemporaneous even if delayed somewhat, is reasonably necessary (e.g., for safety reasons), and the search is
"Arm's reach" rule	Peace office arrestee's co • grab a w • obtain a	ers may search any area that is or was reasonably within the control. This could include any area from which the arrestee may: Weapon ny item that could be used as a weapon evidence The fact that the arrestee has been handcuffed or otherwise immobilized does <i>not</i> eliminate or change the "arm's reach" rule. It is improper to try to expand or enlarge the area of an arrestee's immediate control by moving the arrestee (e.g., from one room to another) in order to enhance an officer's ability to see objects

Searches Incident to Arrest, Continued

Protective sweeps	A <u>protect</u>	ive sweep is a brief search to look for individuals only.	
	specific fa	fficers are already lawfully inside or outside a house and have a ctual basis for believing there may be other people inside who pose o them, the officers can conduct a protective sweep.	
	Protective arrest:	sweeps are limited to spaces <i>immediately adjoining</i> the area of an	
		another person could be hiding which an attack could be immediately launched	
	arrest loca	It is illegal to sweep into areas <i>beyond</i> those "immediately adjoining" the arrest location, unless the officer has reasonable suspicion, based on articulable facts, that there may be someone there who poses a danger to the officer.	
	•	aband or crime-related evidence in plain view during a protective y be seized.	
	NOTE:	Obtaining a warrant to search for evidence is always preferable. A warrant would allow not only the seizure of an item in plain view, but also a further and more thorough search for similar or additional evidence that may be on the premises.	
		Continued on next page	

Examples Two officers, who had exigent circumstances and probable cause, entered an auto service establishment without a warrant. They found the two suspects for whom they were searching inside and arrested them. The officers then conducted a warrantless search of the desk the suspects had been sitting behind and found cocaine and a handgun. The search was upheld since it was in an area in the suspect's immediate control.

Arresting officers had to use force to gain custody of an armed robbery suspect in his bedroom. The officers searched the area within 6-8 feet of the suspect and discovered two guns in a box at the foot of the bed. Searching an area that far away was lawful because it was within a reasonable *lunging distance* of the agitated suspect at the time of the arrest.

Probation/Parole Searches

Introduction	Under specific circumstances, peace officers may conduct warrantless searches of a person who is on probation or on parole. Searchable probation or parole status must be established prior to a search.
Probation	Probation is a <i>sentencing alternative</i> for a person convicted of a criminal offense and is granted at a judge's discretion. Rather than incarceration, the individual remains under the authority of the probation department. Probation may be formal (supervised) or informal (unsupervised). An individual serving a period of probation must agree to certain conditions. These may include conditions such as:
	 getting a job avoiding drugs and other criminal behavior not traveling outside a limited area submitting to periodic searches without a warrant, probable cause, or reasonable suspicion
Parole	<u>Parole</u> is a conditional release from a state prison which allows an individual to serve the remainder of a sentence outside of prison, which a person must serve on the "outside" after having completed the actual prison sentence.

Probation/Parole Searches, Continued

Probation/Parole Searches, Continued

Search of a residence

The following conditions apply when the location being searched is the residence of a probationer/parolee.

	Conditions
Certainty	Although absolute certainty is <i>not required</i> , the officer must possess some specific information that reasonably indicates the residence is, in fact, the probationer's/parolee's.
Knock and Notice	Officers must comply with all knock and notice requirements unless compliance is excused for good cause.
Joint Occupants	Officers who are conducting a lawful probation/parole search need not obtain the consent of a joint occupant of the premises, nor will the objections of a joint occupant invalidate the search.
Rooms	Officers may search any rooms under a probationer/parolee's control, including any areas controlled jointly with other occupants of the residence.
Personal Property	Personal property may be searched when officers reasonably believe it is owned or controlled, or jointly owned or controlled by the probationer/parolee.
Denials	If the probationer/parolee denies that they live in the residence or that personal property belongs to them (or if a joint occupant denies such), officers are <i>not required</i> to accept such denials. (A false denial might be expected when contraband is on the premises.)

Probation/Parole Searches, Continued

 Probation/parole searches must never be conducted for reasons unrelated to the rehabilitative, reformative, or legitimate law enforcement purposes. A search is invalid if the reason it was undertaken was to <i>harass</i> the probationer/parolee. Searches may also be considered <i>harassment</i> if they: occur too often take place at an unreasonable hour are unreasonably prolonged demonstrate arbitrary or oppressive peace officer conduct are undertaken with personal animosity toward the probationer/parolee
According to the California Supreme Court, notification of the individual's probation officer is not required prior to a warrantless probation search as long as the search condition authorizes a search by <i>any law enforcement officer</i> or <i>any peace officer</i> . However, if this specific language is not included in the search condition, officers must contact the probation officer first. It will then be up to the probation officer to conduct the search or to authorize a peace officer to
conduct the search. If the search condition stipulates that the probationer must submit to a warrantless search <i>upon request or whenever requested</i> , officers must <i>contact</i> the probationer before conducting the search. However, the probationer need not be physically present at the time of the search.
Notification to the individual's parole officer is not legally required prior to a warrantless parole search. However, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) has <i>requested</i> notification prior to warrantless searches of a parolee's <i>residence</i> or <i>business</i> .

Probation/Parole Searches, Continued

Examples Officers investigating a number of residential break-ins went to the residence of a local gang member, who was on probation, and searched for possible evidence. Since *stolen property* had been named as a searchable item as part of the search condition, the warrantless search was valid.

An officer investigating reported stolen batteries contacted the probation department to see if his suspect had a search condition. The department told the officer that the language authorized warrantless searches "by any law enforcement officer." Based on this information, the officer went to the suspect's residence and conducted a lawful search.

Searches and Seizures Involving Motor Vehicles

Overview			
Learning need	The Fourth Amendment's protection against unreasonable searches and seizures extends to a person's vehicle and property inside the vehicle. However, the courts have created several exceptions to the Fourth Amendment's warrant requirement because of a motor vehicle's potential mobility and reduced expectation of privacy.		
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for this section.		
	After completing the study of this section, the student will be able to:	Objective ID	
	 recognize the scope and necessary conditions for conducting the following types of motor vehicle searches: probable cause searches seizures of items in plain view protective searches consent searches searches incident to custodial arrest instrumentality searches 	$16.04.1 \\ 16.04.2 \\ 16.04.3 \\ 16.04.4 \\ 16.04.5 \\ 16.04.6$	
	• recognize the scope and necessary conditions for conducting a vehicle inventory	16.04.7	

Overview, Continued

In this section This section focuses on lawful searches and seizures involving vehicles. Refer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Probable Cause Searches of Vehicles	3-46
Plain View Seizures from Vehicles	3-51
Protective Searches of Vehicles	3-53
Consent Searches of Vehicles	3-55
Searches of Vehicles Incident to Custodial Arrest	3-57
Searches of Vehicles as Instrumentalities	3-60
Vehicle Inventories	3-62

Probable Cause Searches of Vehicles

Introduction	The courts have created an exception to the warrant requirement when a motor vehicle is involved. They have determined that the risk of the vehicle being moved to a different location, in combination with the reduced expectation of privacy that people have in vehicles, justifies a warrantless search as long as the search is based on <i>probable cause</i> that the vehicle contains contraband or evidence of a crime.	
Probable cause exception	If officers honestly believe they have enough information to obtain a search warrant for a vehicle from a magistrate, it is legal for them to go ahead and search the vehicle <i>without</i> a warrant. Warrantless searches of vehicles based on the probable cause exception are also referred to as searches under the "automobile exception," or the "vehicle exception," to the usual warrant requirement.	
	exception,	to the usual warrant requirement.
	NOTE:	The probable cause exception applies not only to any vehicle which is mobile, but also to any vehicle which <i>reasonably appears</i> to be mobile even if, in fact, it is not.
	NOTE:	If the vehicle is in a place which has a reasonable expectation of privacy, such as a garage, a warrant may be necessary to search (enter) the property (garage).

Necessary conditions			
	For a search of a vehicle to be legal under the probable cause exception:		
	 the vehicle must have been lawfully stopped, or otherwise be lawfully accessible the officers must have enough facts, knowledge, training, or experience to provide probable cause that the item they are seeking will be found inside the vehicle 		
Scope of the search	The scope of a vehicle search based on probable cause depends on the item or object peace officers are searching for.		
	Officers may search any part of a motor vehicle, or anything inside the vehicle, as long as what they are searching for might <i>reasonably be located there</i> . This includes, but is not limited to:		
	• the passenger compartment		
	• the glove compartment		
	• the hood		
	• the trunk		
	• any closed personal containers (including locked containers)		

Vehicles	propelled. E following:	e Section 415 defines a motor vehicle as a vehicle that is self- examples of motor vehicles include, but are not limited to, the		
	• automobiles			
	amplanesbuses	 airplanes buses 		
		nal vehicles		
	• carts, etc.			
	NOTE:	Self-propelled wheelchairs, invalid tricycles, or motorized quadri-cycles when operated by a person because of disability are <i>not</i> considered motor vehicles.		
	NOTE:	Boats are searchable under the same rules as motor vehicles.		
		ne is considered a motor vehicle when it is being used on a if it is capable of such use and is located in a place not regularly dential purposes.		
	Example:	A motor home parked on the public street in front of a residence is considered a motor vehicle.		

Probable cause	Probable cause to search a vehicle means <i>exactly the same thing that it does in a search warrant context</i> .
	Probable cause to search means there is enough credible information to provide a fair probability that the object the peace officers is looking for will be found at the place they want to search.
Time of search	Under the probable cause exception, it is <i>not</i> necessary that the search of the vehicle take place contemporaneously with the vehicle stop (e.g., on the roadside at the time of the stop). Instead, officers may have the car towed away and conduct the search at a later time, even after it has been impounded and is in police custody, as long as they still have probable cause.
Closed containers	If peace officers have probable cause to believe the item they are looking for is inside a vehicle, they are entitled to open and search any closed, personal container within the vehicle which might <i>reasonably contain the item</i> . (This rule also applies to locked containers.) Probable cause to search a container may be established through the officer's sight, smell or touch, or by the container's shape, design, or the manner in which it is being carried.
	Continued on next page

Examples During a traffic stop for speeding, an officer noticed the smell of beer inside the vehicle. Although the driver adequately performed a series of sobriety tests, the officer had probable cause to search the passenger compartment for open containers of alcohol.

Information from a reliable source, plus the officer's knowledge of a person's past criminal record, provided probable cause to search a person's recreational vehicle, parked in the public parking area of an apartment complex, for items from a residential burglary.

Peace officers had probable cause to believe a person had stolen cash from an open cash register at a convenience store. The officers were justified in searching all areas of the person's vehicle where the cash might reasonably be located (i.e., the recesses of the car seats, the trunk, the pockets of a jacket on the back seat, etc.).

Plain View Seizures from Vehicles

Introduction	Seizing crime-related evidence in an officer's plain view from a place the officer has a lawful right to be does <i>not</i> involve any type of search.		
Requirements for seizure	Peace officers must meet the same requirements for plain involving vehicles as they would for seizing an item within anywhere else.		
	Peace officers must:		
	 have probable cause to believe the item is crime-related lawfully be in a location to observe the item have lawful access to the item 		
Probable cause	To seize evidence from a vehicle, peace officers must recognize the item as being crime-related or have probable cause to believe that it is. Such probable cause may be based upon information from reliable sources, the knowledge and training of the officers, plain smell, etc.		
Lawful observation	Generally it makes little difference if an officer observes the crime-related item from outside a vehicle or while the officer is lawfully inside the vehicle.		
and access	The area that can be observed from outside a vehicle (i.e., the passe compartment) carries such a low expectation of privacy that officer the vehicle to seize the property.		
	NOTE: The use of a flashlight or other reasonable se tool, either from outside the vehicle or after 1 permissible as long as the device allows the of anything that would have been visible during	awful entry, is officer to see	
		ontinued on next page	

Plain View Seizures from Vehicles, Continued

Example A peace officer stopped a car for expired registration tags. From outside the vehicle, the officer observed an open and partially filled bottle of beer on the floor of the passenger compartment of the car. The officer ordered the driver from the car, seized the bottle of beer, and then conducted a search of the passenger compartment for more open containers of alcohol.

Protective Searches of Vehicles

Introduction		A protective search of a vehicle is a <i>limited</i> warrantless search of the passenger compartment of a vehicle for weapons.		
Necessary conditions	A protective	e vehicle search is permitted if:		
	• the offic weapon	 the driver or other occupant is being lawfully detained the officer reasonably believes, based on <i>specific facts</i>, that there may be a weapon (lawful or unlawful) or item that could be used as a weapon, inside the vehicle 		
	is in the vel	Officers need only a <i>reasonable suspicion</i> that a weapon or potential weapon is in the vehicle. However, this suspicion must be based on specific facts or information.		
Scope of search	Like a cursory/frisk/pat search of a detained person, protective vehicle searches are allowed to prevent an unexpected assault on peace officers.			
	Officers ma	Officers may search:		
	 in the <u>p</u> where the there is the provided of the provided o	weapons or potential weapons assenger compartment of the vehicle he occupant(s) of the vehicle would have reasonable access to a or item that could be used as a weapon		
		arching officer determines there are no weapons or potential thin the passenger compartment, the search must end.		
	NOTE:	Officers should never assume there is only one weapon. If a weapon is discovered, the officer may seize it and continue to search for others even if there is no specific reason to believe there are additional weapons in the vehicle.		
	-			

Protective Searches of Vehicles, Continued

Plain view	While conducting a protective vehicle search, officers may seize any item in plain view if there is probable cause to believe it is contraband or evidence of a crime. Officers may also develop enough probable cause to continue searching the vehicle based on the probable cause exception to the warrant requirement.		
Containers	During a protective vehicle search, if the officer comes across a container within the passenger compartment, the officer is entitled to seize it and open it only if it is reasonable to believe that it could be used as a weapon, or that it might contain a weapon.		
Examples:	After receiving notification of an <i>armed</i> assault within the area, officers stopped a vehicle containing occupants who roughly matched the description of the assailants. The officers ordered the driver and the passenger out of the car and conducted a limited protective search of the passenger compartment for weapons.		
	A man, known by the officer for previously carrying a concealed weapon, was ordered to step out of the car in which he was a passenger. During a cursory/frisk/pat search of the man, the officer found a handgun. Even though the man was able to produce documentation showing the possession of the weapon was legal, the officers were justified to conduct a limited protective search of the passenger compartment of the vehicle for additional weapons.		
	NOTE: If, while conducting the protective vehicle search of the passenger compartment, officers identified evidence which provided probable cause to believe there was contraband or evidence of a crime in the trunk, the officers could have searched the trunk based on the probable cause exception to the general warrant requirement		

Consent Searches of Vehicles

Introduction	If peace officers obtain valid consent to search a vehicle and/or any item within the vehicle, the warrantless search will always be upheld as legal.		
Necessary conditions	The conditions for searching a vehicle based on consent are the same as any other consent search .		
	 Consent must be: <i>voluntary</i> obtained from a person with the <i>authority</i> (or apparent authority) to give that consent 		
	• NOTE: each officer is responsible for knowing their agency policy or consent searches		
Scope of search	Peace officers may search only those areas of the vehicle they reasonably believe the consenting person authorized them to search. If the consenting person expressly or implicitly restricts certain areas of the vehicle or items within the vehicle, the officers must honor those restrictions.		
Voluntary consent during vehicle stops	For any consent obtained during a vehicle stop or detention, there may be a question of its <i>voluntariness</i> . A court will determine whether consent was truly voluntary based on the <i>totality of the circumstances</i> .		
	Although not required by law, voluntary consent <i>during</i> a lawful vehicle stop, or even <i>after</i> a vehicle detention has been concluded, will be easier for officers to prove if they:		
	• obtain a signed consent-to-search form		

Consent Searches of Vehicles, Continued

Voluntary consent during vehicle stops	• verbally inform the individuals in authority that they have a right to refuse consent		
(continued)		Peace officers are responsible for knowing their agency policy for consent searches	
Closed containers	Consent to search a particular area may or may not include searching any closed container within the area. If the container is locked the officer would need specific consent to open that container. Peace officers must clearly understand the scope of the consent being given.		
	Establishing ownership of an object within a vehicle is also particularly important since individuals who disclaim ownership may lack <i>authority</i> to grant permission to search it.		
	validity of the sask if a contain	o deny ownership may also lack standing to challenge the search later in court. Therefore, peace officers should <i>always</i> her within a vehicle belongs to the person who is granting the bearch the vehicle and include the answer in their report.	
Examples	entitled to look	btained voluntary consent to search a vehicle for drugs were inside a closed paper bag on the front seat, because narcotics of in similar containers.	

Searches of Vehicles Incident to Custodial Arrest

Introduction	When an officer makes a custodial arrest of a person in a vehicle, the officer may conduct a warrantless search of the vehicle's <i>passenger compartment</i> .		
Necessary conditions	Officers may search the <i>passenger compartment</i> of a vehicle if they have made a valid custodial arrest of any occupant of the vehicle.		
	 hand has the orthogonal technologies 	arrestee is unsecured (e.g. not locked in the police car, not dcuffed) and reachable access to the vehicle and/or officer has reasonable suspicion to believe evidence, pertaining to crime for which the suspect was arrested, is to be found in the cle and/or officer has reasonable suspicion there is a weapon in the vehicle	
	NOTE:	The search may be conducted before the occupant is actually placed under arrest as long as probable cause to arrest existed at the time of the search.	
Scope of search	No matter what the arrest is for, as long as the driver or occupant of a vehicle is taken into custody, peace officers may search:		
	the passenger compartment of the vehicleeverything and anything in it		
	Examples of such areas include, but are not limited to:		
	 closed or open glove compartments consoles containers within the area (e.g., luggage, boxes, bags, clothing, locked or unlocked) 		
	NOTE:	The trunk of a vehicle may not be searched incident to the arrest of an occupant of the vehicle.	
	NOTE:	Containers owned by <i>nonarrested</i> occupants of the vehicle <i>are</i> also subject to a search incident to custodial arrest.	

Searches of Vehicles Incident to Custodial Arrest, Continued

Custodial arrest	The arrest must be <i>custodial</i> , meaning the arrestee will be transported by law enforcement personnel to another location, such as a jail, detox facility, or school. An arrest is not custodial, and therefore no search is allowed, if the arrestee is merely cited and released.
Proximity to the vehicle	 It is immaterial whether the occupant was inside or outside the vehicle at the time of the arrest or when the search began. If officers did not see the arrestee inside the vehicle, they may nevertheless consider the person to be an occupant of the vehicle if: the officers reasonably believe the arrestee was an occupant shortly before the arrest there was something else indicating a close association between the vehicle and the arrestee at the time of the arrest (e.g., the arrestee placed an object inside the vehicle just before the arrest)
Contempor- aneous nature of the search	 A search is deemed incident to an arrest only if it occurred: at or near the <i>time</i> of the arrest at or near the <i>place</i> of the arrest while the arrestee is still at the scene On rare occasions, the contemporaneous requirement can be waived if it was reasonably <i>necessary</i>: to delay the search to conduct the search in another location to conduct the search after the arrestee was removed from the scene the search was conducted <i>as soon as it was practical to do so</i>

Searches of Vehicles Incident to Custodial Arrest, Continued

Examples Peace officers arrested a man at the scene of an armed robbery. They handcuffed the man, placed him in their patrol car, and then conducted a search of the man's vehicle that was parked nearby. The search was considered contemporaneous and legal even though the man did not have access to the car at the time of the arrest.

The driver of a vehicle was taken into custody for driving while under the influence of alcohol. While conducting a search of the vehicle, the officers opened and searched the belongings of a hitchhiker whom the driver had picked up prior to the vehicle stop. Even though the items did not belong to the arrestee, the search was legal because the items were in the passenger compartment of the vehicle.

Searches of Vehicles as Instrumentalities

Introduction	When peace officers have probable cause to believe the <i>vehicle itself</i> constitutes evidence of a criminal act, they may seize the vehicle without a warrant and wait until later for an examination performed in accordance with sound scientific procedures.		
Necessary conditions	A vehicle may generally be deemed an instrumentality of a crime if:		
contantions	• the crime was committed <i>inside</i> the vehicle		
	 the vehicle was committed <i>instate</i> the vehicle the vehicle was the means by which the crime was committed (e.g., hit and run) 		
	NOTE: A vehicle is <i>not</i> an instrumentality merely because it is used <i>during</i> the commission of a crime.		
Obtaining a warrant	Even though officers will have probable cause to search any vehicle which was used as an "instrumentality" of a crime, meaning that no search warrant is required, many agencies prefer to obtain a warrant before conducting a scientific examination of a vehicle.		
Scope of search	If the search is undertaken <i>without a warrant</i> , the scope will be determined by the nature of the evidence being sought. That is, officers may search any part of the vehicle where the object(s) they are looking for might reasonably be located.		
	If the search is undertaken <i>pursuant to a warrant</i> , the scope will be determined by the terms of the warrant.		

Searches of Vehicles as Instrumentalities, Continued

Examples: A female victim was kidnapped and raped in the suspect's van. Peace officers later arrested the suspect at his residence and seized and impounded his vehicle. Three days later, the officers legally entered the van to conduct a scientific examination to search for blood and semen stains.

The vehicle of a man arrested for kidnaping a 10-year-old boy was seized and later searched for fingerprints and other evidence that the boy had been in the vehicle.

Vehicle Inventories

 To inventory a vehicle: the vehicle must be in the <i>lawful custody</i> of law enforcement the officer conducts the inventory pursuant to a <i>standardized agency policy</i>
 The courts have made it clear that a standardized agency policy may be very broad regarding vehicle inventories, permitting examination of any area where valuable or dangerous items are commonly kept. This may include, but is not limited to: under the seats glove compartments consoles the trunk closed containers
 A vehicle inventory should never be undertaken for the purpose of finding evidence or contraband, but rather only for <i>taking note of personal property</i>. The purpose of a vehicle inventory is to protect: the property of a person whose vehicle has been impounded or stored the government agency from false claims of loss If, <i>during the course of an inventory,</i> officers discover evidence of a crime or contraband, they may lawfully seize it.

Vehicle Inventories, Continued

Lawful custody	An inventory may be conducted only after the vehicle has come into lawful custody for reasons other than solely for the purpose of conducting the inventory.	
	The decision to impound and/or inventory must be made in good faith for lawful reasons such as, but not limited to:	
	 the driver (sole occupant) is taken into custody the vehicle, involved in a traffic accident, cannot be driven the vehicle must be moved to protect it or its contents from theft or damage circumstances listed in the Vehicle Code (e.g., vehicle as a traffic hazard, stolen vehicle, etc.) 	
	NOTE: The driver should never be taken into custody on the pretext of searching for evidence or contraband, or to examine personal belongings that may be inside the vehicle.	
Authority to impound	Assuming the vehicle is lawfully in police custody, the officer always has legal authority to impound or store it and, therefore, to inventory it. However, depending on agency policy, there may be occasions where the officer may choose to release the vehicle to a validly licensed passenger or other person.	
Personal possessions		
	Whether or not the item still must be inventoried as an object that was in the vehicle at the time the vehicle was impounded or stored will depend on agency inventory procedures.	
	Continued on next page	

Vehicle Inventories, Continued

Standardized procedures	Agency procedures for conducting a vehicle inventory will be considered sufficiently <i>standardized</i> as long as:	
	 the agency has an established routine all officers know about the routine all officers are supposed to follow the routine when conducting vehicle inventories 	
	NOTE:	Standardized procedures do not necessarily have to be reduced to writing as long as they are commonly known to all officers.
	NOTE:	All inventories should be documented even if nothing of value is found.
Officer discretion	The courts have recognized that standardized procedures may leave <i>some</i> discretion in the hands of a field officer whether or not to open a given container. However, this discretion cannot be unlimited and must be based on concerns related to the purposes of an inventory.	
Repossessed vehicles	If a licensed repossession agency has already repossessed a vehicle and completed the statutorily required inventory, peace officers may examine and seize inventoried items without a warrant if they have reason to believe the items are connected to a crime being investigated.	

Examples An officer impounded a vehicle because the driver, also the sole occupant, had no valid driver's license. While waiting for the tow truck, the officer conducted an inventory and discovered illegal narcotics in the glove compartment. The officer lawfully seized the narcotics as evidence and placed the driver under arrest for possession of an illegal controlled substance.

An officer conducted an inventory of a vehicle, following the DUI arrest of the driver and sole occupant of the vehicle, and found a back pack behind the front seat. Inside the backpack, the officer found a nylon bag containing metal canisters with drug paraphernalia and cocaine inside the canisters. The officer also found cash sealed in an envelope that was located in a side pocket of the backpack. Discovery and seizure of all these items was legal.

Searches and Seizures Involving Bodily Intrusions

Overview

Learning need	Peace officers must recognize when a search or the seizure of evidence involves intrusion into a subject's body, special care must be taken to balance the subject's reasonable expectation of privacy under the Fourth Amendment against the government's need to collect evidence.		
Learning objectives	The chart below identifies the student learning objectives for the After completing the study of this section, the student will		
	be able to:	ID	
	• recognize the legal framework establishing a peace officer's authority to seize physical evidence from a subject's body:	16.05.1	
	with a warrant, andwithout a warrant	16.05.1 16.05.2	
	• recognize conditions under which a peace officer may use objectively reasonable force to prevent a subject from swallowing or attempting to swallow evidence	16.05.3	
	• recognize the conditions necessary for legally obtaining blood samples	16.05.5	
	• recognize the conditions for legally obtaining the following nonintrusive bodily evidence:		
	fingerprints, andhandwriting samples	16.05.6 16.05.7	

Overview, Continued

In thisThis section focuses on search and seizure issues involving bodily intrusions.sectionRefer to the chart below for a specific topic.

Торіс	See Page
Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-68
Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-72
Use of Force During Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures	3-76
Specific Circumstances	3-79
Section Synopsis	3-81
Learning Activities	3-89

Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures

Introduction	Understandably, a person's reasonable expectation of privacy over their own body is <i>very high</i> . Because of this, a <i>warrant will usually be required to enter a person's body</i> to search for and seize evidence.
Fourth Amendment protection	The Fourth Amendment protection against unreasonable searches and seizures is violated when a legitimate expectation of privacy has been infringed. This expectation applies not only to a suspect's property or possessions, but also to the suspect's person.
	The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized.
Fifth Amendment protection	No person has the legal right to withhold or destroy physical evidence, even if that evidence is located on or inside one's person. The Fifth Amendment protection against self-incrimination only protects what a person may <i>say</i> , not any physical evidence that person may <i>possess</i> .
Express wording	Wording authorizing the search of a person's "home, car, <i>and person</i> " does not authorize them to enter the person's body. A warrant to conduct a bodily intrusion search must contain exact wording that <i>expressly permits</i> any type of bodily intrusion, such as collecting a blood sample.

Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and

Seizures, Continued

Probable cause plus	As in any other warrant procedure, peace officers must show probable cause search within their affidavit to obtain a warrant. That is, there must be encoded by the information to provide a fair probability that the search will result the discovery of evidence of a crime. But, in addition to probable cause, the courts also require that the more		
	But, in addition to probable cause, the courts also require that the more intense, unusual, prolonged, uncomfortable, unsafe, or undignified the procedure contemplated, the greater the showing for the procedure's necessity must be. This additional show of need is often referred to as probable cause plus.		
Additional requirements	Before issuing a search warrant to enter a person's body, the court will also address a number of factors regarding the necessity and safety of the search itself. The following table identifies these additional factors.		
	Factor	Consideration	
	Method used	What is the likelihood this type of search will result in the discovery of the evidence sought?	
	Seriousness of the offense	Does the nature of the offense justify the infringement on the person's privacy and dignity?	
	Importance of the evidence to the	Is this particular evidence absolutely necessary to the investigation, or is it sought merely to corroborate	

other existing evidence?

investigation

Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and

Seizures, Continued

Additional requirements (continued)

Factor	Consideration
Existence of alternate means	Are there any other less intrusive methods or means of obtaining the same evidence?
Safety and intrusiveness	 Will the method or extent of the proposed intrusion: threaten the individual's safety or health? be conducted in accordance with accepted medical practices? involve unusual or untested procedures? result in psychological harm to the individual?

Warrant Requirement for Bodily Intrusion Searches and

Seizures, Continued

Examples	granted a con after they we that there wa the suspect v	suspected of assaulting the victim with a knife. Detectives were burt order allowing them to obtain a blood sample from the suspect ere able to show that the suspect had been identified by a witness, as blood at the scene that may have been the assailant's, and that would be taken to a facility where the blood would be drawn by connel in accordance with accepted medical practices.
	NOTE:	When a warrant is sought to obtain a blood sample, the "probable cause <i>plus</i> " requirement is almost non-existent, since taking blood involves such a minimal intrusion and is so routine in our society. Accordingly, the warrant will be sufficient if it shows (1) probable cause that the test results will show evidence of a crime, and (2) that the removal of blood will be conducted by trained medical personnel in accordance with accepted medical practices.

Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures

Introduction	Under certain conditions, evidence may be taken from a suspect's body <i>without</i> a search warrant.	
Consent	Peace officers may seize evidence from a suspect's person if they have obtained <u>valid consent</u> from that person to do so, and if the search is not considered unreasonably intrusive.	
Implied consent	A person who drives a motor vehicle in California has given <u>implied consent</u> for chemical testing (blood, breath, or urine) without a warrant.	
	<i>Vehicle Code Section 23612</i> states that persons have given implied consent if they drive a motor vehicle and are lawfully arrested for being under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol.	
	Refusal to comply with the implied consent law may result in a fine, mandatory imprisonment if convicted, and suspension or revocation of driving privileges.	
	Despite the authority given by implied consent under <i>Vehicle Code Section</i> 23612; where no exigent factors exist other than the natural dissipation of blood alcohol, a warrant is still required for chemical testing (blood, breath, or urine).	
	Continued on next page	

Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures,

Continued

Incident to arrest	Under certain circumstances, seizing evidence from a suspect's person may be done without a warrant as incident to an arrest. The requirements for such a seizure are identified in the following table.		
	To search for and seize evidence from a suspect's body without a warrant, peace officers <i>must</i> have	Explanation	
	probable cause to arrest.	The officer must be aware of facts that constitute probable cause to arrest.	
	probable cause to search.	The officer must reasonably believe that the search will result in the discovery of evidence of a crime.	
	exigent circumstances.	It must be reasonable to believe that evidence will be lost or destroyed if the officer waits to obtain a warrant.	
	a need that outweighs the intrusiveness.	The need for the evidence must outweigh the intrusive nature of the search and any foreseeable danger.	

Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures,

Continued

Exigent The existence of exigent circumstances may depend on the stability of the evidence being sought. Officers may seize evidence from a person's body if it reasonably appears the evidence will be lost or destroyed if the officers wait to obtain a warrant.

Evidence is considered to be either <u>stable evidence</u> or <u>evanescent evidence</u>. The following table further clarifies the two levels of evidence stability.

Stable Evidence	Evanescent Evidence	
Evidence that will <i>not change</i> over time.	Evidence that <i>will change</i> or be lost over time.	
Example:Blood samples for routine tests, such as typing or DNA	 Examples: Blood samples to test for drugs or alcohol levels Scrapings from under a suspect's fingernails 	

Warrantless Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures,

Continued

Examples	Officers, with probable cause to believe a suspect committed a murder, took scrapings for analysis from under the suspect's fingernails. The warrantless seizure was considered reasonable, both because the intrusion was minor and because the evidence was easily destructible.
	Blood to test for drugs or alcohol was taken from a sniper who was acting "crazy" when police caught him in the act. No warrant was required since the evidence was evanescent and was relevant to the suspect's mental state at the time of the offense.

Use of Force During Bodily Intrusion Searches and Seizures

Introduction	-	Forcibly resists the lawful seizure of evidence from his/her body, y use objectively reasonable force to carry out the search and
Level of force	person's res	y use only that degree of force that is necessary to overcome the istance and recover the evidence. Officers may not use e force to recover evidence.
		I rule, no bodily intrusion is permissible if the force necessary to shock the conscience.
Preventing a suspect from swallowing evidence	If officers have probable cause to believe there is evidence in a person's mouth, they may use objectively reasonable force to remove it, or to prevent the person from swallowing it.	
	If only minimal force is necessary to remove an object, the warrantless search and seizure will no doubt be upheld. However, if the person refuses to open his mouth or tries to swallow the evidence, or it appears that he is about to swallow the evidence, a problem can arise.	
	Officers are permitted to exert minimal pressure on the neck area to prevent swallowing. However, such pressure may not prevent breathing or substantially impair the flow of blood to the person's head. In other words, no "choke holds" may be used, because they are too dangerous.	
	NOTE:	Officers should be fully aware of their own department policies and procedures in this area.

Use of Force During Bodily Intrusion Searches and

Siezures, Continued

Swallowed evidence	If the person has swallowed the suspected evidence or contraband, peace officers have several options.	
	• Detain the suspect under controlled conditions and wait until the evidence naturally passes through the suspect's system	
	• If a doctor declares the suspect's life is in danger or the suspect is at risk for serious bodily injury then the suspect's stomach can be pumped or an emetic can be administered to induce vomiting	
	• The suspect may give consent to a stomach pump or emetic but it should occur under the supervision of a doctor	
	In all other circumstances it should be assumed that a search warrant would be required to pump a suspect's stomach or administer an emetic to induce vomiting for the recovery of evidence.	
Documentation	The manner in which officers describe their conduct in incident or arrest reports may significantly affect the admissibility of any evidence recovered through the application of physical force. Officers must present enough information to show that their actions were necessary and that the amount of force was reasonable under the totality of the circumstances.	

Use of Force During Bodily Intrusion Searches and

Siezures, Continued

Examples	When an officer asked a female suspect her name, he immediately noted that she had difficulty speaking and observed balloons normally used to contain heroin inside her mouth. The officer reached inside the suspect's mouth and retrieved the evidence before the suspect could swallow it.
	After a suspect placed narcotics in his mouth in an attempt to swallow them, an officer applied a hold to the suspect's neck for approximately 10 seconds, while simultaneously ordering the suspect to spit out the evidence. The officer's actions were considered reasonable after the officer noted that, during the application of the hold, the suspect was able to breathe and speak, because the suspect continued to shout profanities at the officer.

Specific Circumstances

Introduction		ers cannot obtain evidence such as blood samples or fingerprints at the same time, individuals cannot prevent officers from lawfully ridence.
Blood samples	seizure of a medically ap is sought, it taking blood	ommon type of bodily intrusion authorized by a warrant is the person's blood for testing. Blood samples, obtained in a oproved manner, are considered minimally intrusive. If a warrant does not require a detailed explanation of need. Instead, because involves such a minimal intrusion and is so routine in society ffidavit must demonstrate only:
	• the remo	e cause that the test results will show evidence of a crime oval will be conducted by trained medical personnel in accordance epted medical practices
	have, in add	oing to be taken without a warrant or consent, officers must ition to probable cause to arrest and probable cause to search, umstances, which typically exist because of the evanescent nature nce.
	0	nese conditions are met, blood may be taken, even in situations uspect is unconscious, or where the officers must apply reasonable
	NOTE:	Subjects' failure to participate in tests they have no legal right to refuse may be used as evidence of consciousness of guilt.
	NOTE:	Officers should be fully aware of their agency's own policies and procedures in this area.

Specific Circumstances, Continued

Fingerprints	Peace officers may obtain fingerprint samples from a person if they have that person's consent or probable cause to believe the person was involved in criminal activity.
	If the person has been placed under arrest, the person has no legal right to refuse a fingerprint examination.
	Officers may use a reasonable amount of force to obtain the fingerprints of a person who refuses to cooperate. However, fingerprints taken by force are often smeared or incomplete and are seldom useful.
Handwriting samples	Handwriting samples obtained by peace officers are admissible as evidence. The refusal to give a handwriting sample may be commented upon later at a person's trial as consciousness of guilt.
	It is impractical to physically force a person to provide handwriting samples. If a person refuses to willingly provide handwriting samples, a court may order them to provide one or be held in contempt-of-court.
Voice evidence	A person has no legal right to refuse to give voice evidence. Although a person can not be forced to provide a vocal sample, refusal to do so can later be commented on at trial for the purpose of showing consciousness of guilt.

Section Synopsis

Learning need	Peace officers must have a clear understanding of their authority, responsibility, and potential for liability in the area of search and seizure law, as well as the protections provided by constitutional law, statutory law, and case law against unreasonable search and seizures.
Fourth Amendment protections [16.01.2]	 The Fourth Amendment provides for: the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause
	 supported by oath or affirmation particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized
Reasonable expectation of privacy [16.01.3]	 A reasonable expectation of privacy exists as long as: individuals have indicated that they personally <i>(subjectively)</i> expect privacy in the object or area
	• their expectation is one which society is prepared to recognize as legitimate
Standing [16.01.4]	Standing exists only if a subject has a reasonable expectation of privacy in the place or thing that is searched or seized. Standing generally is established by ownership, lawful possession, authority, and/or control of the area searched or the property seized.

Probable	Probable cause to search for an object or area means having enough facts or
cause to search [16.01.5]	information to provide a <i>fair probability</i> , or a <i>substantial chance</i> , that the object sought is located in the place to be searched.

Learning need	Although warrantless searches and seizures are presumptively illegal, when certain conditions are met, officers may lawfully search and seize evidence without a search warrant. For evidence to be admissible at trial, officers must have a clear understanding of the legal requirements for warrantless searches
Plain view seizures [16.03.1]	When an officer sees an item in plain view, no search has taken place. The owner or possessor obviously has <i>no reasonable expectation of privacy</i> to items which are in plain view. Without an expectation of privacy, the owner or possessor has no Fourth Amendment protection.
Plain view seizure requirements [16.03.2]	 Peace officers must still meet certain requirements before the item in plain sight may be legally <i>seized</i> as evidence. Peace officers must have: probable cause the item is contraband or evidence of a crime a lawful right to be in the location lawful access to the item
Probable cause and warrantless searches [16.03.3]	 The Fourth Amendment does not prohibit all searches only those that are unreasonable. The courts have identified the following warrantless searches and seizures as reasonable and, therefore, legal. cursory/frisks/pat search consent searches searches incident to exigent circumstances searches incident to custodial arrest probation/parole searches
Cursory/frisk/ pat search [16.03.4]	Limited to a frisk of the outer clothing, for weapons or potential weapons only.
	Continued on next page

Consent [16.03.5]	Those places and things the officer reasonably believes the consenting person authorized them to search.
Exigent circumstances [16.03.6]	Peace officers may do whatever is necessary to resolve the emergency, but nothing more.
Incident to custodial arrest [16.03.7]	A full search of the arrestee's person, containers on the arrestee's person, and the nearby physical area that was under the immediate control of the arrestee may be conducted. When probable cause for a lawful arrest exists and the suspect is taken into custody, and the search is contemporaneous with the arrest.
Probation/ parole [16.03.8]	A search is limited to item(s) specified in actual search conditions. Such as, the probationer's person, their residence, and any other property under their control. No reasonable suspicion of criminal activity or of a probation/parole violation is required.

Learning need	The Fourth Amendment's protection against unreasonable searches and seizures extends to a person's vehicle and property inside the vehicle. However, the courts have created several exceptions to the Fourth Amendment's warrant requirement because of a motor vehicle's potential mobility and reduced expectation of privacy.
Probable cause [16.04.1]	Officers may conditionally search any part of a motor vehicle, or anything inside the vehicle, as long as what they are searching for might reasonably be located there.
Plain view seizures [16.04.2]	Those items officers believe to be crime-related. Officers must have probable cause to believe the item is crime-related, lawfully be in a location to observe the item, and have lawful access to the item.
Protective searches [16.04.3]	Officers may conditionally search only for weapons or potential weapons in the <i>passenger compartment</i> of the vehicle where the occupant(s) would have reasonable access to the weapon or item that could be used as a weapon.
Consent searches [16.04.4]	Only those areas of the vehicle the officers reasonably believe the consenting person authorized them to search. Consent must be <i>voluntary</i> . The person giving consent must have <i>authority</i> to give that consent.

Searches incident to custodial arrest [16.04.5]	There must be a valid custodial arrest of <i>any</i> occupant of the vehicle before the search can take place. The search must be done contemporaneously with the arrest.
Instrument- ality searches [16.04.6]	Instrumentality searches are limited to the areas where the evidence sought might reasonably be located or, if a warrant was obtained, to the areas specified in the search warrant. The crime must be committed <i>inside</i> the vehicle, or the vehicle is the means by which the crime was committed.
Vehicle inventories [16.04.7]	The vehicle must be in the <i>lawful custody</i> of law enforcement before the inventory can take place. The inventory must be conducted pursuant to <i>standardized agency policy</i> or regulations.

Learning need	Peace officers must recognize when a search or the seizure of evidence involves intrusion into a subject's body, special care must be taken to balance the subject's reasonable expectation of privacy under the Fourth Amendment against the government's need to collect evidence.
Warrant body searches [16.05.1]	Peace officers must show <i>probable cause</i> in order to obtain a warrant allowing bodily intrusion searches. This means that in addition to the usual probable cause to search, the courts will also require that the more intense, unusual, prolonged, uncomfortable, unsafe, or undignified the procedure contemplated, the greater the showing for the procedure's necessity must be.
Warrantless body searches [16.05.2]	 Unless officers have valid consent, they may seize evidence from a suspect's body without a warrant only if they have: probable cause to arrest probable cause to search exigent circumstances a need that outweighs the intrusiveness
Use of reasonable force [16.05.3]	Officers may not use unreasonable force to recover evidence. No bodily intrusion is permissible if the force necessary to do it would <i>shock the conscience</i> . Officers may use only that degree of force necessary to overcome the suspect's resistance and recover the evidence.
	Continued on next page

Blood samples [16.05.5]	 To obtain a warrant allowing the seizure of a person's blood, peace officers must demonstrate: probable cause that the test results will show evidence of a crime the removal will be conducted by trained medical personnel in accordance with accepted medical practices
Fingerprints [16.05.6]	Peace officers may obtain fingerprint samples from a person if they have that person's consent or probable cause to believe the person was involved in criminal activity. If the person has been placed under arrest, the arrestee has no legal right to refuse a fingerprint examination.
Handwriting samples [16.05.7]	Peace officers may obtain handwriting samples from a person if they have that person's consent or probable cause to believe the person was involved in criminal activity. It is impractical to physically force suspects to provide handwriting samples. If suspects refuse to willingly provide handwriting samples, a court may order them to do so.

Workbook Learning Activities

Introduction	To help you review and apply the material covered in this section, a selection of learning activities has been included. No answers are provided. However, by referring to the appropriate text, you should be able to prepare a response.
Activity questions	1. What are exigent circumstances? How do exigent circumstances justify a warrantless search?

Workbook Learning Activities, Continued

Workbook Learning Activities, Continued

Activity questions	3. An officer on routine patrol saw two people smoking rock cocaine through the open window of a townhouse. The officer went to the front door,
(continued)	identified himself, and requested entry. While the officer was waiting for a response, he heard sounds of a toilet flushing and suspected that the occupants were attempting to destroy possible evidence of a crime. Under
	the circumstances, does the officer have justification for forcing his way into the apartment without a warrant? Why or why not?

Workbook Learning Activities, Continued

Activity	4.	Under what circumstances may a vehicle be considered an instrumentality
questions		of a crime? Give an example for each circumstance you list.
(continued)		

Introduction	The following glossary terms apply to PC 832 Student Workbook, Volume Two: Law and Evidence
accessory	One who knowingly assists a perpetrator after the felony has been committed
accomplice	A principal becomes an accomplice when the principal <i>testifies</i> for the prosecution against another principal
admission	Certain facts that tend to incriminate the individual, but fall short of a confession
aids and abets	Actively assisting, supporting, promoting, encouraging, strengthening, or instigating in the commission of a crime by act or advice
arrest	Taking a person into custody, in a case and in the manner authorized by law
arrest warrant	A written order signed by a magistrate, directed to and commanding a peace officer to arrest the person named in the warrant for the offense named in the warrant
breach of contract	Failure to comply with the terms of a contract
case law	Laws that are based upon appellate court decisions
cited	A person is given a written notice to appear in court; it contains the time and place where the person shall appear in court
	Continued on next page

civil law	Noncriminal violations of the law
codes	All current statutes or ordinances enacted by a legislative body
coercion	The use of force, threats, or psychological pressure used to deprive a suspect of free choice or refusal to answer
confession	The commission of all the elements of a crime
consensual encounter	A face-to-face contact between a private individual and a peace officer under circumstances that would cause a reasonable person to believe that they are free to leave or otherwise not cooperate
consent search	Search conducted with consent that is <i>voluntary</i> and obtained from a person with the <i>authority</i> to give that consent
constitutional law	Rules and provisions found in the federal and state constitutions
consular officer	An official appointed by a government to reside in a foreign country to represent the <i>commercial interests</i> of citizens of the appointing country
consular service staff	The consular service staff work for the consular officer in a foreign country

contempor- aneous	Search conducted at or near the time of arrest, although either can precede the other, at or near the place of the arrest, and while the arrestee is still on the scene.
crimes	Violations of a criminal statute
criminal intent	A mental state or frame of mind that the person knowingly did the particular criminal act (break the law). May be general, specific or transferred intent
criminal law	Criminal statutes that describe crime and punishment
criminal negligence	A negligent act that is aggravated or reckless, and constitutes indifference to the consequences
curtilage	Relatively small and usually well-defined area immediately around a residence to which the private activities of the home extend, and in which the owner has an expectation of privacy
custodial arrest	Arrest in which the suspect will be transported to a station, jail, detox center, juvenile hall, or school
custody	A formal arrest or its "functional equivalent"
detention	An assertion of authority that would cause reasonable people to believe they are <i>not free to leave</i> or otherwise disregard the police and go about their business; a detention is <i>limited</i> in scope, intensity, and duration

diplomatic agent	An official appointed by a government to reside in a foreign country to represent the <i>political interests</i> of citizens of the appointing country
diplomatic immunity	Those with <i>full</i> diplomatic immunity may not be prosecuted for the crimes they commit; those <i>without</i> full immunity may be arrested and prosecuted
elements of the crime	The basic facts that must be proven by the prosecution to sustain a conviction
evanescent evidence	Evidence that will change or be lost over time
ex post facto laws	Laws written after the fact to punish an action that has already taken place and was not illegal at the time of commission
exigent circumstances	An emergency situation requiring swift action to prevent imminent danger to life, serious danger to property, imminent escape of a suspect, or the destruction of evidence
felony	The most serious crime, punishable by a fine and/or imprisonment in a state prison, removal from office, or death
general intent crimes	Intent is presumed and does not have to be proven. Definition of a crime consists only of the description of a particular act, without reference to intent
immunity	Exemption from a duty or penalty
implied consent	By obtaining a driver's license in California a person has agreed to chemical testing without a warrant (i.e., blood, breath, urine)
	Continued on most more

infraction	A public offense punishable by only a fine
interrogation	Direct/express questioning by a peace officer of a person about a crime, or statements or actions by an officer that are reasonably likely to elicit an incriminating response the process of gathering information from a person who has knowledge of the facts that an officer will need to conduct an investigation
interview	The process of gathering information from a person who has knowledge of the facts
invoke	To assert a right, constitutional or otherwise
involuntary confession	A statement that results from the use of coercion
judicial review	The power held by the judicial branch that permits it to review actions of the legislative and executive branches of government and declare void acts it finds are in violation of the Constitution
knock and notice	A requirement that before entering a dwelling to make an arrest, with or without a warrant, peace officers must give notice to the person inside through certain actions
letter of the law	When the law is applied in accordance with the literal meaning of the statute, leaving no room for interpretation
Miranda warning	The four advisements which an officer must give a person at the start of custodial interrogation
	Continued on next page

misdemeanor	A crime of lesser gravity than a felony, punishable by a fine and/or imprisonment in a county jail
motor vehicle	Self-propelled vehicle (e.g., automobiles, airplanes, buses, recreational vehicles, carts, etc.)
objective reasonableness	Whether society is prepared to recognize an individual's expectation of privacy as reasonable
objectively reasonable force	A term for how much and what kind of force a peace officer may use is a given circumstance
open fields	Outdoor real property, outside the curtilage of the residence
ordinances	County and municipal codes or statutes
overflight	Flight of a plane or helicopter over a given area
parole	Extension of a felon's sentence, that is, an additional specific period of time (usually three years) which a person must serve on the "outside" after having completed the actual prison sentence
passenger compartment	Can be considered to include all areas accessible to occupants within the vehicle
plain view	Objects in the line of sight of an officer who has the right to be in that position are subject to seizure without a warrant if the officer has lawful access to the object(s)
	Continued on next page

precedent	Previous appellate court decisions that become binding on later court decisions
pre-complaint warrant	Arrest warrant obtained before a complaint has been filed; sometimes referred to as <i>Ramey</i> warrant
principals	All persons involved in the commission of a felony or misdemeanor
probable cause	A set of facts that would cause a person of ordinary care and prudence to entertain an honest and strong suspicion that the person to be arrested is guilty of a crime
probable cause exception	Warrantless vehicle search based on probable cause that the vehicle contains contraband or evidence of a crime. Also referred to as the "automobile exception," or the "vehicle exception"
probable cause to search	Enough credible information to provide a <i>fair probability</i> that the object the peace officers seek will be found at the place they want to search
probation	Sentencing alternative for a person convicted of a criminal offense granted at a judge's discretion
protective search of a vehicle	Limited warrantless search of the passenger compartment of a vehicle for weapons
protective sweep	Brief search of a house or building to look for individuals only
	Continued on next page

public place	A public place is a location readily accessible to all those who wish to go there including law enforcement. A key consideration is whether a member of the public can access the place "without challenge." Thus, a property with a locked fence is not readily accessible. Other things, such as dogs, in a yard show public is not welcome
reasonable expectation of privacy	When individuals have indicated that they personally <i>(subjectively)</i> expect privacy in the object or area, and their expectation is one which society is prepared to recognize as legitimate
reasonable suspicion	Enough facts and information to make it reasonable to suspect that criminal activity is occurring, and the person detained is connected to that activity; reasonable suspicion is required to justify a detention
redress	To right a wrong
search	Governmental infringement or intrusion upon an expectation of privacy that society is prepared to consider reasonable
seizure of a person	Peace officer's physical application of force, or a person's voluntary submission to a peace officer's authority
seizure of property	Meaningful interference with an individual's possessory interest in that property by the government
specific intent crimes	An element of a crime that must be proven and cannot be presumed; the requirement of the specific intent element varies according to the definition of the crime
	Continued on next page

spirit of the law	Interpreting law in accordance with the intent of the legislative body rather than the literal meaning of the words of the statute
stable evidence	Evidence that will not change over time
standing	When a person has a legitimate possessory interest or relationship over an object or area
statute of limitation	The time limit within which suspects for most crimes must be arrested
statutory law	The written law enacted by a legislative body
subjective expectation of privacy	A person's state of mind demonstrated by affirmative action designed to protect their privacy
subterfuge	The use of deception or falsehoods as tactic when interrogating a suspect
tort	Private wrong committed by one person against another
transferred intent	When an unlawful act affects a person other than, or in addition to, the person it was intended to affect
	Continued on next page

under color of law	An action that is carried out as if under the authority of the law, but that is actually done in violation of law
valid consent	A person can give permission for search of areas/items within their control
vehicle inventory	Procedure peace officers use to account for personal property in a vehicle that is about to be impounded or stored
voluntary consent	An act of free will and not the result of duress or coercion
waive	To give up a right, constitutional or otherwise
wobbler	A crime that can be punished either as a felony or misdemeanor

PC 832 Student Workbooks

Volume One:	Leadership, Diversity, Principled Policing in the Community, and the Justice System	
	Learning Domain 1:	Leadership, Professionalism and Ethics
	Learning Domain 42:	Cultural Diversity/Discrimination
	Learning Domain 2:	Introduction to the Criminal Justice System
	Learning Domain 3:	Principled Policing in the Community
	Learning Domain 39:	Crimes Against the Justice System
Volume Two:	Law and Evidence	
	Learning Domain 5:	Introduction to Criminal Law
	Learning Domain 15:	Laws of Arrest
	Learning Domain 16:	Search & Seizure
Volume Three:	Investigations	
	Learning Domain 17:	Presentation of Evidence
	Learning Domain 18:	Investigative Report Writing
	Learning Domain 30:	Crime Scene, Evidence and Forensics
Volume Four:	Use of Force/ Deescalation	
	Learning Domain 20:	Use of Force/ Deescalation
	Learning Domain 33:	Arrest Methods/Defensive Tactics
		Continued on next page

PC 832 Student Workbooks

Volume Five: Firearms

Learning Domain 35: Firearms