

# MEMORANDUM

Department of Justice

TO: All Commissioners

AT Sacramento

FROM: Executive Officer

DATE August 16, 1961

SUBJECT: Proposed Agenda  
Commission Meeting of September 9, 1961  
Hyatt House - 9 A. M.  
1333 Bayshore Highway  
San Francisco

1. Call to order.
2. Approval of minutes of June 9, 1961.
3. Approval of expense claims.
4. Financial report.
5. Summary report on first allocation.
6. Peace officer training in the alcoholic problem:
  - (a) John R. Philp, M.D., Chief of Division of Alcoholic Rehabilitation, and Mr. Howard Dunphy, Health Education Consultant.
7. Decision on the "Conference on Occupational Selection of Peace Officers."
8. Executive Officer's report:
  - (a) Form letter on trainee terminations.
  - (b) Policy on annual vacation.
  - (c) Operation \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (d) Legislation.
  - (e) Zone meetings.
  - (f) Magazine article.
  - (g) Service to certified schools.
9. Policy on G. E. D. tests.
10. Attorney General's Opinion on adherence to both the recruitment and training requirements.
11. Establish term of office and set date for election of Chairman and Vice-Chairman.
12. Date and place of next meeting.
13. Adjourn.

132h  
State of California  
Department of Justice  
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

MINUTES

September 9, 1961  
San Francisco

Acting Chairman Andersen called the meeting to order at 9:25 A.M. A quorum was present:

LOHN R. FICKLIN, Chairman (9:55 A.M.)  
MARTIN C. MC DONNELL (9:50 A.M.)  
ROBERT T. ANDERSEN  
HOWARD CAMPEN  
JAMES V. HICKS  
DAN KELSAY  
ROBERT S. SEARES

Absent:

ALLEN B. COTTAR  
GEORGE H. BRERETON, representing the Attorney  
General

Also Present:

GENE S. MUEHLEISEN, Executive Officer  
GEORGE H. PUDDY, Assistant to the Executive Officer  
MERRILL S. GAFFNEY, Executive Secretary, California  
Peace Officers' Association  
MRS. ESTHER LEVIN, Stenographer

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion by Seares, seconded by Hicks, and unanimously carried that the minutes of the meeting of June 9, 1961, be approved as mailed to all Commissioners.

APPROVAL OF EXPENSE CLAIMS

The following travel expense claims of the Executive Officer were declared approved by the Acting Chairman upon the motion of Campen, seconded by

Hicks:

May 21-24, 1961	Attended California Peace Officers' Association Annual Conference in North Sacramento.	\$ 27.50
May 30 thru June 21, 1961	Monterey, Seaside, Watsonville, Carmel, Palo Alto, Oroville, Mt. Shasta, Auburn & Stockton.	\$158.55
June 28-30, 1961	Oroville and Nevada City.	\$ 29.00
July 10-28, 1961	Orange, Los Angeles, Santa Ana, Gardena, San Diego, Oceanside, Bakersfield, Fresno, & Glendale.	\$214.82

Seares questioned the low amount claimed by the Executive Officer. The Executive Officer explained that the maximum rates allowed by the State for an employee in his category are:

Breakfast	\$1.30
Lunch	1.75
Dinner	2.70
Lodging	7.00

All agreed that these were not sufficient to cover expenses based upon the obligations assigned to the Executive Officer.

Motion by Campen, seconded by Kelsay, and unanimously carried that the Commission initiate a request for the Executive Officer to be moved into the per diem bracket in order that he might receive more realistic travel allowances. It was decided that the Executive Officer should phrase the request in judicious language for submission by the Chairman to the Board of Control.

FINANCIAL REPORT

<u>Revenue</u>	
Balance July 31, 1961	\$472,085.06
Revenue August	<u>23,580.66</u>
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>\$495,665.72</b>
<u>Expenditures</u>	
Salaries	\$ 1,784.00
Travel & Commissioner Exp.	296.92
City & County Allocations	95,474.99
Other Operating Expenses	<u>592.94</u>
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b><u>\$ 98,148.85</u></b>
<b>BALANCE AUGUST 31, 1961</b>	<b><u><u>\$397,516.87</u></u></b>

The Executive Officer emphasized that the report for the month of August was an important one, since it included the 1961 allocation. Seares asked if it would be possible to show a comparison of the revenue for the fiscal year with the previous year. It was decided in the future to show this year to date and last year to date on the bottom of the financial report.

The Acting Chairman declared the financial report accepted and filed.

At this point, Chairman Ficklin took the chair and presided over the meeting.

SUMMARY REPORT ON FIRST ALLOCATION

The following reports were discussed:

1. Bulletin, dated August 23, 1961, subject - "Cities and Counties Participating in the 1961 Allocation" (See Attachment "A")

It was mentioned that this bulletin will be forwarded to our master list of 2,877 persons and agencies, and should be an excellent means to emphasize the program.

2. Analysis by Classes of Cities & Counties - 1961 Period of Allocation  
(See Attachment "B")

This report was an analysis of jurisdictions in the program by population, aid received, percent of total aid, and number of officers trained.

3. Report from State Controller's Office, dated August 11, 1961, on Deposits to Peace Officers' Training Fund.(See Attachment "C")

The figures presented in this report were discussed at some length. It was felt that the deposits for the F. Y. 1960-61 by certain counties were very low, particularly San Francisco. The Executive Officer stated these were the best available figures; however, many counties were slow in reporting to the Controller and their total assessments would not be accurately reflected until a later date.

4. Status Report of Jurisdictions Meeting P. O. S. T. Standards

	<u>Month of August, 1961</u>		
<u>Cities</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percent of Total Population</u>
Cities which do meet P. O. S. T. standards	170	9,466,546	84.3%
Cities which do not meet P. O. S. T. standards	201	1,758,007	15.7%
Cities which have raised standards	109	2,500,887	22.3%
 <u>Counties</u>			
Counties which do meet P. O. S. T. standards	17	2,811,453	62.4%
Counties which do not meet P. O. S. T. standards	41	1,696,722	37.6%
Counties which have raised standards	6	438,235	9.7%

<u>Total Jurisdictions</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Percent of Total Population</u>
Total jurisdictions which do meet P. O. S. T. standards	187	12,277,999	78.0%
Total jurisdictions which do not meet P. O. S. T. standards	242	3,454,729	22.0%
Total jurisdictions which have raised standards	115	2,939,122	18.7%

### ADHERENCE TO STANDARDS

The question was raised as to how we determine whether jurisdictions are adhering to the standards. Muehleisen stated it was accomplished on a spot-check basis. The method used has been approved by the Controller. He reviewed the checklist used in the inspections. Thus far, he has checked approximately 15 jurisdictions. With the appointment of an Assistant, considerably more inspections will be made. In the smaller jurisdictions and questionable areas, a greater percentage of trainees will be checked. It is planned to check each jurisdiction approximately once a year.

### PEACE OFFICER TRAINING IN THE ALCOHOLIC PROBLEM

This item on the agenda was not discussed, since Dr. John R. Philp, Chief of Division of Alcoholic Rehabilitation, and Mr. Howard Dunphy, Health Education Consultant, were unable to attend the meeting.

### DECISION ON THE "CONFERENCE ON OCCUPATIONAL SELECTION OF PEACE OFFICERS"

The Executive Officer discussed his meeting on August 4, 1961, with Dr. Robert T. Ross, Chief Research Psychologist, State Department of Mental Hygiene, several other representatives from that Department, and Mr. Ray Craig, Assistant Regional Chief, Mental Health Services, U.S. Department of Health.

The purpose of the meeting was to determine whether or not it would be practical for the Commission to coordinate, or recommend that another agency coordinate, a conference composed of a limited number of people

to discuss methods of determining the mental and emotional stability of peace officer candidates.

He reported that the persons attending the meeting felt there is a definite need for constructive work in this field; that a conference would be beneficial, and to be effective it should be limited to approximately 10 people including one or two Psychologists, City or County personnel experts, University of California testing experts, one Psychiatrist, one or more police personnel administrators and a representative of the Commission.

A lengthy discussion followed as to whether the Commission should take the initiative in sponsoring such a conference. It was generally agreed that a conference of this nature would be worthwhile, and we should encourage it; but the majority of the Commissioners felt that at the present time it should be sponsored by some other agency.

Vice Chairman McDonnell reminded the Commission that we demonstrated our support of testing for mental and emotional stability by our participation in the meeting of the Advisory Committee for the San Jose Research Project on Occupational Selection of Police Officers (Dr. Ruth Levy) held on December 1, 1960, at San Jose. Vice Chairman McDonnell represented the Commission at the meeting and confirmed our endorsement of the project. He also advised the Committee that the Commission would be glad to take part in future meetings.

Muehleisen stated that the results of the New York research project which presently is being conducted by the American Research Institute, aimed at improving the methods of selection and training of recruits for the New York Police Department, including tests for emotional stability, will be provided to the Commission when it is completed.

Motion by Andersen, seconded by Campen and unanimously carried that the matter of decision on the Conference on Occupational Selection of Peace Officers be filed until such time as the Commission wishes to bring it up again.

The Chairman stated for the record that until a guide or standard is established, it was the sense of the Commission to permit the Executive Officer to use his best judgment in appraising the excellence or lack of it with which cooperating agencies assay the emotional suitability of candidates; and the Commission will back his judgment 100% in every case.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT:

FORM LETTER ON TRAINEE TERMINATIONS

The Executive Officer requested approval of the following form letter:

"In order to accurately maintain our records, it is requested that you verify whether trainee John William Smith is identical with the John William Smith who was terminated from the Jonesville Police Department on May 30, 1961."

Hearing no objections, the Chairman ordered that the letter be used.

POLICY ON ANNUAL VACATION

The Executive Officer was directed to use his own judgment in scheduling his annual vacation and to notify the Commissioners of vacation leave through the Executive Officer's monthly itinerary.

OPERATION P. O. S. T.

The Executive Officer referred the Commissioners to the document entitled "Operation P. O. S. T.", set forth as follows:

1. Objective: To induce 206 cities and 41 counties to raise their peace officer standards for recruitment and training to the level established in the Commission's rules and regulations.
2. Operation Plan:
  - a. The Executive Officer and Assistant to the Executive Officer shall sample ten to fifteen below-level cities and counties and compile reasons given for not meeting standards.
    - (1) Time table: Periodically from October 18 through November 30, 1961.
    - (2) Reports on individual cities and counties shall not be published but may be used for planning within the Commission and cooperating agencies.



- b. Call a meeting of the League of California Cities, County Supervisors Association of California, Peace Officers Association and PORAC to plan campaign.

(1) Time table

(2) Method of campaign contacts

- (a) Letters
- (b) Bulletins
- (c) Personal
- (d) General Publicity

(3) Scope of contacts

- (a) Chiefs of Police
- (b) Sheriffs
- (c) City Managers
- (d) County Administrators
- (e) Mayors
- (f) Chairmen of Boards of Supervisors
- (g) City Personnel Directors
- (h) County Personnel Directors

(4) Coordinated Publicity

- (a) Commission Bulletins and Newsreleases
- (b) Peace Officers Magazine
- (c) Western City Magazine
- (d) League Bulletins
- (e) County Supervisors Newsletter
- (f) Cal Tax
- (g) Announcements at Conferences
- (h) Portable Display for Conferences & Conventions
- (i) Speeches and Briefings

(5) Coordinated Personal Contacts by:

- (a) Executive Officer and Assistant
- (b) Individual Commissioners
- (c) League of California Cities
- (d) Supervisors Association of California

(e) Peace Officers Association

1. Standards and Qualifications Committee
2. Training and Research Committee

(f) PORAC

1. Professionalization Committee

(g) County Personnel Administrators Association of California

The discussion of Operation P. O. S. T. developed the following:

1. Request greater assistance from the staff of the League of California Cities and the Supervisors Association of California.
2. Chairman Ficklin offered to request members of his "Law Enforcement Problems" Committee of the League to contact below-level cities in an effort to sell the program.
3. Request the staff of the League and Supervisors Association to mention the program in talks at division meetings. (There are 13 geographical divisions within the League.)

In summation, the Commission suggested we proceed with the basic concept of Operation P. O. S. T. and leave the details of procedure and techniques to the Executive Officer.

LEGISLATION

None of the bills or resolutions still pending have been set for hearings.

SB-123 (Changes method of assessment)

Aid of the League and Supervisors has been enlisted. Muehleisen will contact Judge Richard Eldred, legislative advocate of the proponents of the bill.

The hearing date has not been set.

SB-1475 (Established mandatory standards for classes of Peace Officers created by the legislation after September 15, 1961.)

The Bill was passed, signed by the Governor, and is now law.

SR-150 (A study of Peace Officer classification, recruitment, training and retention)

The hearing date has not been set.

### ZONE MEETINGS

The Commission was invited to participate in the Attorney General's six Zone Meetings for Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs. They are presided over by George Brereton, Deputy Director, Department of Justice. The Executive Officer and the Assistant to the Executive Officer will attend all meetings. Puddy will be publicly introduced at each meeting.

The first meeting was held at Turlock and the second at Ukiah. Commissioner Kelsay passed out the allocation checks to the group at Turlock. Attorney General Stanley Mosk presented the checks at Ukiah. A News-release was distributed with each check for local publicity. The Executive Officer will give a briefing on the program at each meeting.

### MAGAZINE ARTICLE

In compliance with the suggestion made at the Mt. Shasta meeting, Chairman Ficklin submitted an article which was accepted by "Western City Magazine".

### SERVICE TO CERTIFIED SCHOOLS

The Executive Officer reported we are now rendering service to the certified schools.

The first bulletin asked each school to forward their three most outstanding lesson plans. A list will be compiled and sent to the 28 schools to encourage development of the best possible lesson plans.

A bulletin regarding availability of training films was also sent to the certified schools.

POLICY ON G. E. D. TESTS

The Executive Officer referred the Commissioners to the following bulletin relating to the proposed policy on General Educational Development Tests:

- "1. Section 1002(a)(6) of the Rules and Regulations states in part that the minimum educational requirements shall be graduation from high school or a passing of the General Educational Development Test indicating high school graduation level.

For purposes of determining "high school graduation level", the trainee must have achieved an over-all score of not less than 45 and a standard score of not less than 35 on any section of the test. This score is recognized by the California State Department of Education and the American Council on Education as the equivalency of a 12th grade level of education.

- "2. Tests administered by any agency at any place will be recognized, provided the report of the test results indicate that the test form used was a 'secure' form.

If a secure form was used, the report of the test results on both the Veterans' Testing Service form and the United States Armed Forces Institute form will display one of the following letters :

VTS form: A, C, D, E, EE, F, G, H, J.

USAFI form: Q, R, S, T, W, X, Y, Z.

- "3. Other G. E. D. Tests will not be accepted."

Motion by Seares, seconded by Andersen, and unanimously carried that the policy proposed by the Executive Officer on G. E. D. Tests be adopted, particularly with reference to the list of security forms.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION ON ADHERENCE  
TO BOTH THE RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

Muehleisen reviewed the Attorney General's opinion which states to be eligible for aid a jurisdiction must adhere to the recruitment and training

standards for each and every recruit employed during the period of allocation for which aid is requested.

Campen stated that, in his opinion, neither the law nor our regulations obligates jurisdictions to this requirement. The Executive Officer recommended that it be included in the Rules and Regulations, whenever the next hearings are held.

Motion by Campen, seconded by Seares, and unanimously carried that the Executive Officer prepare a draft of a proposed regulation and distribute it to the Commission members for consideration at the next meeting.

#### POLICY ON RECRUITS WHO HAVE COMPLETED THE BASIC COURSE

The Attorney General's opinion dated August 16, 1961, was reviewed. It states that it is not necessary for a jurisdiction to require the retraining of a recruit who has previously completed the prescribed course.

The following policy was discussed:

"When a jurisdiction has evidence that a recruit has previously completed the basic course at a certified school and has not previously been claimed as a trainee, it is not required that the officer complete the basic course a second time.

"The Commission shall determine whether the previous training satisfies the standards for training established in the Rules and Regulations.

"The officer must meet the required recruitment standards established in Section 1002 of the Rules and Regulations; however, he cannot be claimed as a trainee for financial aid from the Peace Officer Training Fund."

A long discussion followed on the subject of whether or not a recruit with pre-service college police training should be required to take the 160-hour basic training course. It was generally felt that if a recruit had previously completed the subjects in the basic course, he should not be required to repeat the subjects in the basic course.

Various suggestions were made for rewording the first paragraph of the policy and it was finally read by the Chairman as follows:

"When the Commission determines that a recruit has previously acquired all or some of the knowledge and training contemplated by the prescribed courses of training by reason of past training, it may award to said recruit constructive credit for such training which need not be undertaken a second time."

Motion by Seares, seconded by McDonnell, and unanimously carried that the statement as read by the Chairman be indicated to represent the present thinking of the Commission and that the Executive Officer be instructed to confer with the Attorney General and to come to the next meeting with a final draft to be considered by the Commission as a policy statement.

ESTABLISHMENT OF TERM OF OFFICE AND DATE  
FOR ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN

Motion by Kelsay, seconded by Campen, and unanimously carried that we regularly elect officers of the Commission at the meeting prior to the last meeting of each calendar year.

DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING


Motion by Andersen, seconded by McDonnell, and carried by a majority that future meetings will not be held on Saturdays. Those in favor (do not want Saturday meetings) - McDonnell, Andersen, Campen and Brereton (by proxy). Those against (want Saturday meetings) - Ficklin, Seares and Hicks.

Motion by Kelsay, seconded by Andersen, and unanimously carried that the next meeting be held in Los Angeles on Friday, December 8, 1961, time and place to be decided by the Executive Officer.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:15 P. M.

Respectfully submitted,

  
GENE S. MUEHLEISEN  
Executive Officer



COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

ROOM 235 FORUM BUILDING  
SACRAMENTO 14, CALIFORNIA

August 23, 1961

BULLETIN

Subject: CITIES AND COUNTIES PARTICIPATING  
IN THE 1961 ALLOCATION

The first annual allocation was declared on June 30, 1961. On that date the Commission had been in "field operation" for eight months. Fifty-four cities and nine counties received a total reimbursement of \$95,474.99.

Four hundred seventy-six new peace officers completed an average of 412 hours of basic training in Commission certified schools.

Following is a list of jurisdictions eligible for reimbursement in the 1961 allocation:

<u>JURISDICTION</u>	<u>OFFICERS TRAINED</u>	<u>REIMBURSEMENT</u>
1. Alameda County	10	\$ 3,010.50
2. Alhambra	4	377.84
3. Anaheim	7	1,444.80
4. Arcadia	4	793.60
5. Arcata	1	263.18
6. Atwater	1	114.39
7. Berkeley	11	2,636.86
8. Beverly Hills	4	869.60
9. Brea	1	222.40
10. Buena Park	5	992.00
11. Burbank	2	559.40
12. Ceres	1	122.38
13. Compton	4	940.90
14. Contra Costa County	2	465.60
15. Downey	5	1,084.00
16. El Cerrito	1	217.60
17. Fullerton	5	1,006.88
18. Gardena	2	433.60
19. Glendale	1	215.20

20.	Glendora	1	\$ 256.10
21.	Hawthorne	1	271.70
22.	Huntington Beach	2	369.60
23.	Huntington Park	2	440.96
24.	Inglewood	11	1,568.90
25.	Kern County	4	784.74
26.	Long Beach	17	3,753.60
27.	Los Altos	2	497.58
28.	Los Angeles	165	26,741.92
29.	Los Angeles County	71	15,960.80
30.	Manhattan Beach	5	1,013.60
31.	Merced	2	516.44
32.	Modesto	9	1,225.89
33.	Montclair	2	363.20
34.	Montebello	3	599.53
35.	Mountain View	3	861.54
36.	Nevada City	1	231.98
37.	Oakdale	2	244.77
38.	Orange	1	189.20
39.	Orange County	4	793.60
40.	Palm Springs	5	1,218.50
41.	Pasadena	7	1,467.20
42.	Pittsburg	2	398.40
43.	Pleasanton	2	299.88
44.	Richmond	10	3,211.80
45.	Riverside	4	840.00
46.	Riverside County	16	4,176.00
47.	Roseville	1	259.98
48.	San Diego County	9	2,049.60
49.	San Gabriel	4	848.00
50.	San Joaquin County	1	251.98
51.	San Leandro	2	452.80
52.	San Mateo	4	1,193.52
53.	Santa Monica	3	827.10
54.	Santa Paula	2	473.00
55.	Stanislaus County	9	1,201.05
56.	Stanton	1	199.20
57.	Torrance	3	722.40
58.	Tracy	1	250.38
59.	Turlock	1	235.98
60.	Upland	1	199.20
61.	Vallejo	3	814.74
62.	Walnut Creek	3	650.40
63.	West Covina	3	777.50
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>\$95,474.99</b>

*Gene S. Muehleisen*  
 GENE S. MUEHLEISEN  
 Executive Officer



State of California  
 Department of Justice  
 COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Analysis by Classes of Cities & Counties  
1961 Period of Allocation  
 (October 23, 1960, to June 30, 1961)

	<u>Total in Program</u>	<u>Total Population</u>	<u>Aid Received</u>	<u>Percent of Aid</u>	<u>Officers Trained</u>
Over 1,000,000	2	3,523,013	\$42,702.72	44.7%	236
500,000 to 1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0
250,000 to 500,000	1	344,168	\$ 3,753.60	3.9%	17
100,000 to 250,000	11	1,553,959	\$17,224.88	18.0%	71
50,000 to 100,000	15	1,099,532	\$15,650.03	16.4%	73
25,000 to 50,000	12	402,507	\$ 7,908.82	8.3%	40
10,000 to 25,000	13	205,715	\$ 5,849.58	6.1%	26
5,000 to 10,000	5	40,059	\$ 1,486.35	1.6%	7
2,500 to 5,000	4	16,002	\$ 899.01	1.0%	6
	63	7,184,955	\$95,474.99	100.0%	476

June 30, 1961

ATTACHMENT "B"

DEPOSITS TO PEACE OFFICERS' TRAINING FUND

<u>Total Deposits All Counties</u>		<u>Deposits by Los Angeles County</u>		<u>Percentage of Los Angeles County Deposits to Total</u>
1959/60 F. Y.	\$153,183.96	1959/60 F. Y.	\$57,022.29	1959/60 F. Y. 37%
1960/61 F. Y.	333,271.22	1960/61 F. Y.	133,691.91	1960/61 F. Y. 40%

<u>Deposits By Counties</u>	<u>1959/60 Fiscal Year</u>	<u>1960/61 Fiscal Year</u>
Alameda	\$ 7,946.52	\$ 15,531.06
Alpine	-0-	-0-
Amador	-0-	-0-
Butte	726.62	1,500.64
Calaveras	57.75	191.85
Colusa	256.30	585.00
Contra Costa	4,087.45	7,293.27
Del Norte	164.75	378.00
El Dorado	584.55	1,227.51
Fresno	3,587.54	6,493.19
Glenn	197.37	382.00
Humboldt	1,298.02	1,639.11
Imperial	2,040.40	2,667.44
Inyo	64.01	202.50
Kern	4,041.93	7,242.27
Kings	815.70	1,708.30
Lake	181.00	606.80
Lassen	181.90	340.25
Los Angeles	*57,022.29	*133,691.91
Madera	613.75	1,336.09
Marin	377.25	635.19
Mariposa	58.75	146.37
Mendocino	399.38	595.50
Merced	1,262.98	1,944.79
Modoc	152.50	271.95
Mono	53.14	81.19
Monterey	1,919.73	3,754.25
Napa	220.50	448.00
Nevada	317.60	565.30
Orange	9,434.10	16,352.84

(Cont'd. next page)

Deposits By  
Counties1959/60 Fiscal Year1960/61 Fiscal Year

Placer	\$ 765.32	\$ 1,613.98
Plumas	253.63	383.50
Riverside	6,096.63	8,793.18
Sacramento	2,935.30	5,395.92
San Benito	293.01	414.01
San Bernardino	4,723.60	7,843.26
San Diego	13,418.10	40,317.37
San Francisco	2,676.12	9,108.69
San Joaquin	1,624.97	3,827.71
San Luis Obispo	564.75	873.74
San Mateo	2,647.05	8,393.51
Santa Barbara	2,243.62	4,789.96
Santa Clara	4,538.87	10,892.15
Santa Cruz	847.69	2,050.25
Shasta	325.00	905.71
Sierra	-0-	-0-
Siskiyou	376.46	776.10
Solano	1,385.92	2,647.83
Stanislaus	1,518.81	2,580.09
Sutter	371.00	687.00
Tehama	184.03	391.70
Trinity	82.50	169.00
Tulare	1,806.24	3,229.05
Tuolumne	316.71	467.51
Ventura	2,650.89	4,881.97
Yolo	569.50	1,314.25
Yuba	773.29	1,185.75
Sonoma	1,054.40	2,133.45

\* Total \$190,714.20

Aid to Los Angeles City and County, \$49,980.00 (26% of the total L. A. County revenue)