SUPPLEMENT TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS Commission Regulation 1009 Amend Academy Instructor Certificate Program (AICP)

At the February 8, 2018, meeting, the Commission approved the proposed amendment to the Regulation Section 1009 – Academy Instructor Certificate Program (AICP) Content.

POST is proposing a regulatory amendment to Regulation 1009, as part of an ongoing process to determine if they are consistent with current practice, clear and concise, and meet the needs of POST's clients.

A review of the current process of the Academy Instructor Certificate Program has proved to be burdensome. Mandatory participation in AICP, while at face value is a simple process to ensure a high level of instructional competency, has created an adverse operational impact on agencies and presenters due to the logistics of getting prospective instructors through the program.

Justification – Regulation 1009

Revising Commission Regulation 1009, Academy Instructor Certificate Program (AICP), will allow Regular Basic Course instructors to satisfy the AICP requirement within 12 months from the date of promotion, appointment, or transfer. This is consistent with other POST regulations that allow such a time frame for supplemental training for certain classifications.

Academy Directors and Modular Format Coordinators have determined that the AICP mandate has created an adverse operational impact on agencies and presenters due to the logistics of getting prospective instructors through the program. The AICP mandate has been cumbersome and at times, a hindrance to academy operations.

The mandated ongoing professional development training is not specified nor specifically tracked, causing presenters to question the value of the requirement. The proposal to revise Regulation 1009 will remove the pre-service AICP completion mandate to allow Regular Basic Course instructors to satisfy the AICP requirement within 12 months from the date of promotion, appointment, or transfer.

Considerations of Alternatives

The Commission determined that there were no reasonable alternatives to the proposed regulation.